# Surgery versus stent for malignant gastro-duodenal obstruction.

No registrations found.

**Ethical review** Positive opinion

**Status** Pending

Health condition type -

**Study type** Interventional

## **Summary**

#### ID

NL-OMON20885

**Source** 

NTR

**Brief title** 

**SUSTENT** study

**Health condition** 

randomized, multicenter study

## **Sponsors and support**

**Primary sponsor:** ZonMW

Intervention

#### **Outcome measures**

#### **Primary outcome**

Total area under the survival curve, adjusted for the abillity to eat at least soft solids (GOOSS score of 2 or more).

#### **Secondary outcome**

- 1. Procedure-related (within 7 days) and long term (later than 7 days) minor and major
  - 1 Surgery versus stent for malignant gastro-duodenal obstruction. 3-05-2025

#### complications;

- 2. Reinterventions or recurrent obstructions;
- 3. Survival, calculated from day of randomization;
- 4. Health related quality of life, including the perceived burden of the procedure, burden of reintervention and generic and disease specific HRQoL;
- 5. Cost and cost-effectiveness.

# **Study description**

#### **Background summary**

#### Objective:

To compare a surgical procedure(gastrojejunostomy, GJJ) with endoscopical stent placement in patients with malignant gastric outlet obstruction (GOO).

#### Design:

Randomized, multicenter study.

Study population:

Patients with inoperable distal stomach, periampullary or duodenal carcinoma.

Intervention:

GJJ (laparoscopic or open) or duodenal stent placement.

Outcome measures:

1) Medical effects (ability to eat, complications, reinterventions, survival), 2) Quality of life, and 3) Costs and Cost-effectiveness.

#### Power:

The sample size calculation is based on total time that patients are not able to eat at least a soft diet after both procedures. For this, a log transformation was performed. Assuming that stent patients are approximately 7 days earlier able to eat a soft diet after treatment, but develop more recurrent obstruction, 2x70 patients are needed for a 84% power at the 5% level (t-test). We will include 2x74 patients to compensate 5% lost to follow-up.

#### Analysis:

Chi-square test, t-test, Kaplan-Meier method/log rank test and non-parametric analyses with bootstrap techniques.

Economic evaluation:

GJJ and stent placement will be compared in a cost-effectiveness analysis from a societal perspective, including hospital care, health care after discharge, and informal care.

#### Study objective

To compare a surgical procedure (gastrojejunostomy, GJJ) with endoscopical stent placement in patients with malignant gastric outlet obstruction (GOO)

#### Intervention

- 1. Duodenal stent placement;
  - 2 Surgery versus stent for malignant gastro-duodenal obstruction. 3-05-2025

2. Gastrojejunostomy.

## **Contacts**

#### **Public**

Erasmus Medical Center, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, room HS 510,

P.O. Box 2040

S.M. Jeurnink

Rotterdam 3000 CA

The Netherlands

+31 (0)6 54954623

#### Scientific

Erasmus Medical Center, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, room HS 510,

P.O. Box 2040

S.M. Jeurnink

Rotterdam 3000 CA

The Netherlands

+31 (0)6 54954623

# **Eligibility criteria**

#### Inclusion criteria

- 1. Obstructive cancer (more than 25% of the circumference as seen by endoscopy) extending from the distal duodenum;
- 2. Gastric outlet obstruction scoring system (GOOSS) score of 0 (no oral intake) or 1 (liquids only);
- 3. Incurable or metastatic disease;
- 4. Informed consent.

#### **Exclusion criteria**

- 1. Evidence of other strictures in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract;
- 2. Previous gastric, periampullary or duodenal surgery;
- 3. Previous (palliative) treatment for the same condition;
- 4. WHO performance score of 4 (patient is 100% of time in bed);
- 5. Unable to fill out quality of life questionnaires.

# Study design

## **Design**

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Parallel

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Active

#### Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Pending

Start date (anticipated): 01-01-2006

Enrollment: 150

Type: Anticipated

## **Ethics review**

Positive opinion

Date: 13-12-2005

Application type: First submission

# **Study registrations**

## Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

## Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

# In other registers

Register ID

NTR-new NL489 NTR-old NTR531

Other : 945-06-503 ISRCTN ISRCTN6702358

# **Study results**

### **Summary results**

N/A