

Intravenous immunoglobulin and intravenous methylprednisolone as optimal induction treatment in CIDP

No registrations found.

Ethical review	Positive opinion
Status	Recruiting
Health condition type	-
Study type	Interventional

Summary

ID

NL-OMON21678

Source

Nationaal Trial Register

Brief title

OPTIC

Health condition

Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyneuropathy (CIDP)

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: Amsterdam UMC, location AMC

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: ZonMW, Prinses Beatrix Spierfonds, Sanquin (logistical support)

Intervention

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

Remission at 1 year

Secondary outcome

Our secondary objectives are to assess whether the combination of IVIg and IVMP, compared to IVIg alone, leads to:

Improvement more frequently;

Less healthcare costs

Study description

Background summary

Background and study aims

Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) is a rare neurological disorder which causes chronic inflammation (swelling) of nerves causing weakness and sensory problems in legs and arms. Induction treatment (the first phase of treatment) CIDP currently consists of either intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) (treatment made from donated blood that contains health antibodies) infusions or high dose corticosteroids (anti-inflammatory medication), including daily oral prednisolone, pulsed dexamethasone or pulsed intravenous methylprednisolone (IVMP) (types of steroids). Both IVIg and IVMP are recommended as first line treatment, but choice of induction treatment is usually based on patients' and physicians' preferences as both treatment options have their own specific advantages. Patients treated with IVIg usually respond fast, but this treatment rarely leads to long term remissions (meaning the symptoms are gone). Corticosteroids may lead to long term remissions. Both fast clinical response and long term remissions can be considered equally important. The aim of this study is to determine whether the addition of methylprednisolone to IVIg as induction treatment leads to a better outcome.

Who can participate?

Adults aged 18 and older who have probably or definite CIDP.

What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to one of two groups. Those in the first group receive intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) + intravenous methylprednisolone. Those in the second group receive intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) + placebo (saline infusion). Participants receive seven infusions every three weeks over the course of 18 weeks. During the 18 week intervention period participants are prescribed osteoporosis prophylactics (vitamin D daily and alendronic acid weekly). In the Netherlands the first treatment is given in the hospital and the remaining six infusions are given at home. Outpatient clinic visits are planned every six weeks during the intervention period and a consultation by phone is planned three weeks after start of intervention period. Three follow-up visits are planned in week 24, 52 and 104. Unscheduled visits can be planned at any time during study.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Participants can benefit from the combination therapy: a fast improvement of

symptoms(attributed to the IVIg) and long term remission (attributed to the methylprednisolone), without the need for further treatments. Risks include medication induced side effects. These side effects include (and not limited to) gastro-intestinal complaints, headaches, muscle aches, oedema, mood/behavior disorders (methylprednisolone); musculoskeletal complaints (muscle, joint and/or bone aches) and gastro-intestinal complaints (alendronic acid); skin rash, hypertension, headaches and gastro-intestinal complaints (IVIg, standard care).

Study objective

Primary objective of this randomized controlled trial is to assess whether combining IVIg and methylprednisolone leads to more frequent long-term remission in CIDP compared to treatment with IVIg alone.

Study design

Remission status is assessed at 1 year. Remission is defined as sustained improvement. Improvement is assessed at 18 weeks post-randomization. Patients visit outpatient clinic every six weeks the first half year, with a consultation by phone three weeks after first treatment. Total follow-up is two year, with a long term safety assessment taking place two years after randomization.

Intervention

Intravenous methylprednisolone

Contacts

Public

Amsterdam UMC, location AMC
Sander Bus

020 566 6889

Scientific

Amsterdam UMC, location AMC
Sander Bus

020 566 6889

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria

1. Probable or definite CIDP according to the EFNS/PNS criteria 2010 (all CIDP phenotypes)
2. Age \geq 18 years
- 3.1. Treatment naïve patients; or
- 3.2. Previously treated patients who have a relapse after a remission of at least 1 year; or
- 3.3. Patients treated with subjective or objective improvement after a single loading dose of IVIg in the last 3 months, and subsequent deterioration as judged by his or her treating physician.

Exclusion criteria

A potential patient who meets any of the following criteria will be excluded from participation in this study:

- 1) Presence of IgM paraproteinemia and/or anti-MAG antibodies or CIDP specific antibodies associated with poor treatment response to IVIg
- 2) Use of drugs associated with a demyelinating neuropathy
- 3) Use of any immunosuppressive or immunomodulatory drugs in previous 6 months (except for a single loading dose of IVIg within 3 months or low dose prednisolone (20 mg or less) during a short period (maximum duration of two weeks)).
- 4) Known serious adverse events with previous IVIg or corticosteroid treatment. Hypersensitivity to methylprednisolone or any component of the formulation. Hypersensitivity to the human immunoglobulins or to any of the excipients. Selective IgA deficiency patients who developed antibodies to IgA.
- 5) Systemic fungal infections, unless specific anti-infective therapy is employed.
- 6) Known hyperprolinaemia type I or II or known fructose intolerance.
- 7) One of more of the risk factors associated with increased risk of adverse events of IVIg or IVMP or conditions that could lead to unblinding of treatment (i.e. diabetes; IgA deficiency; gastric ulcers; psychosis; severe hypertension (180/110 mmHg or more on repeated measurements); hypocalcaemia (lower than 2.20 mmol/L, corrected for albumin); moderate or severe heart failure; severe cardiovascular disease (i.e. more than one myocardial infarction and or ischemic stroke); renal failure (glomerular filtration rate < 30 ml/min)
- 8) History of osteoporosis or osteoporotic fractures
- 9) Known active malignancy, currently treated with chemotherapy or immunomodulatory drugs, or with a life expectancy of less than 1 year.
- 10) Bodyweight more than 120 kg
- 11) Pregnancy or nursing mother; intention to become pregnant during the course of the study; female patients of childbearing potential either not using or not willing to use a medically reliable method of contraception for the entire duration of the study. A woman is considered of childbearing potential from menarche and until becoming post-menopausal, unless permanently sterile. Permanent sterilisation methods include hysterectomy, bilateral salpingectomy and bilateral oophorectomy. A postmenopausal state is defined as no menses for 12 months without an alternative medical cause. Acceptable methods of contraception are: combined (oestrogen and progestogen containing) hormonal contraception associated

with inhibition of ovulation (whether oral, intravaginal or transdermal), progestogen-only hormonal contraception associated with inhibition of ovulation (whether oral, injectable or implantable), progestogen-only oral hormonal contraception, where inhibition of ovulation is not the primary mode of action, male or female condom with or without spermicide, cap or diaphragm, intrauterine device (IUD), intrauterine hormone-releasing system (IUS), bilateral tubal occlusion, vasectomised partner (provided that partner is the sole sexual partner of the trial participant and that the vasectomised partner has received medical assessment of the surgical success).

12) Known cataract or cataract obvious on fundoscopy

13) Current psychosis or past history of psychosis

14) Poor dental status

15) Known pulmonary embolism or other deep venous thrombosis in patient's medical history, without current anticoagulant therapy

16) Adults lacking capacity to give informed consent.

17) Lack of written informed consent

Study design

Design

Study type:	Interventional
Intervention model:	Parallel
Allocation:	Randomized controlled trial
Masking:	Double blinded (masking used)
Control:	Placebo

Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Recruiting
Start date (anticipated):	19-02-2019
Enrollment:	96
Type:	Anticipated

IPD sharing statement

Plan to share IPD: Undecided

Plan description

The data sharing plans for the current study are unknown and will be made available at a later date. When this information becomes available we will disclose it.

Ethics review

Positive opinion

Date: 24-07-2019

Application type: First submission

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

Register ID

NTR-new NL7895

Other The Medical Ethical Committee of the Academic Medical Center, (approval granted 15/01/2018) : 2017_316

Study results

Summary results

Planned publication in a high-impact peer reviewed journal, with the intent to publish the results in one year following overall trial end date