Risk communication about alternative health promotion strategies

No registrations found.

Ethical review	Positive opinion
Status	Recruiting
Health condition type	-
Study type	Observational non invasive

Summary

ID

NL-OMON24480

Source NTR

Brief title RICALTS

Health condition

E-cigarettes and immunication of children

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: National Institute of Public Health and the Environment **Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** National Institute of Public Health and the Environment

Intervention

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

nvt

Secondary outcome

Study description

Background summary

Governmental organizations usually only provide information on the best option to avoid or mitigate a specific risk, such as stop smoking or full vaccination. Many citizens do not follow this advice and do nothing, which is the worst option from a public health point of view. However, they may also seek alternative advices for second best options, such as using ecigarettes or partial vaccination. Communication on alternative health options is currently not evidence based, and often performed by stakeholders with a vested interest. Including a second best option in health communications may increase public health and informed decision-making, but it may also result in counterproductive effects.

Study objective

Aim: Our project aims to assess the effects of including second best options in risk communications that currently only contain best options, by studying two cases: smoking cessation versus e-cigarette use, and full versus partial vaccination. Objectives:

1. Identify the determinants of choices concerning best, second best and worst options and factors that may prevent counterproductive effects;

2. Determine the effects of risk communication about best and second best options on

a. primary outcomes: citizens' intentions towards the best, second best and worst strategy

b. secondary outcomes: citizens' knowledge and accuracy of perceived risks, attitudes, selfefficacy/confidence about the different options, and informed decision making including decreased decisional conflict, increased satisfaction with the decision, increased decision self-efficacy, and reduced proportion remaining undecided.

3. Develop and test a Risk Prevention Decision Aid that can be used by the RIVM for providing risk communication that includes second best options. This will ensure effective

Study design

nvt

Intervention

nvt

Contacts

Public

National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM) - Center for Health Protection

Kim Romijnders Antonie van Leeuwenhoeklaan 9

Bilthoven 3721 MA The Netherlands +31 30 274 4512 **Scientific** National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM) - Center for Health Protection

Kim Romijnders Antonie van Leeuwenhoeklaan 9

Bilthoven 3721 MA The Netherlands +31 30 274 4512

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria

Non smokers - between 13 and 17 years old

Smokers - older than 18

E-cigarette users - older than 18

parents of children between 0 and 4 years old that are over 18 - that use the national immunization program and that do not use the national immunization program.

Exclusion criteria

nvt

Study design

Design

Study type:	Observational non invasive
Intervention model:	Other
Masking:	Open (masking not used)
Control:	N/A , unknown

Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Recruiting
Start date (anticipated):	13-06-2016
Enrollment:	100
Туре:	Anticipated

Ethics review

Positive opinion	
Date:	30-06-2016
Application type:	First submission

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

Register	ID
NTR-new	NL5789
NTR-old	NTR5952
Other	: 16-N-84

Study results

Summary results

nvt