Right-sided Hand-Assisted RetroPeritoneoscopic versus standard Iaparoscopic donor nephrectomy.

No registrations found.

| Ethical review | Positive opinion |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Status | Recruiting |
| Health condition type | - |
| Study type | Interventional |

Summary

ID

NL-OMON25138

Source NTR

Brief title HARP-II

Health condition

Donor nephrectomy, Live kidney donors

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: Erasmus Medical Center Source(s) of monetary or material Support: MRace Comittee, Fonds Nuts-Ohra

Intervention

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

Surgery time.

Secondary outcome

1 - Right-sided Hand-Assisted RetroPeritoneoscopic versus standard laparoscopic dono ... 11-05-2025

- 1. Quality of life (SF-36, EQ-5D);
- 2. Costefficacy from a healthcare and societal perspective;
- 3. Intra- and postoperative complications;
- 4. Postoperative pain-recovery;
- 5. Hospital stay and resumption of work;
- 6. Kidney function of donor and recipient;
- 7. Transplantfunction of the recipient.

Study description

Background summary

Transplantation is the only treatment offering long-term benefit to patients with chronic kidney failure. As the number of patients suffering end stage renal disease increases, the recruitment of more kidney donors is important. Live kidney donation is the most realistic option to reduce donor shortage. Increasing the number of donors may reduce patient's mortality and decrease the transplantation waiting list.

Implementation of live donation offers the possibility to transplant before the kidney disease reaches it's terminal phase necessitating dialysis. Thus, this so called pre-emptive transplantation may prevent unnecessary surgical intervention to establish dialysis (including costs and mortality). To date the number of non-related live kidney donations is rising.

Living kidney donor nephrectomy is performed on healthy individuals who receive no direct therapeutic benefit of the procedure themselves. In order to guarantee donor's safety, it is important to optimise the surgical approach. Recently we demonstrated the benefit of laparoscopic nephrectomy to the donor. However, this method is characterized by high costs, long operation times and requires a well-trained surgeon. An alternative to the fully laparoscopic approach may be the hand-assisted retroperitoneoscopic technique.

The peritoneum remains intact and the risk of visceral injuries is reduced. Due to the handassistance the procedure is fast and the time on the operation table may be reduced significantly. The feasibility of this method has been demonstrated recently, but as to date there are no data available advocating the use of one technique above the other. This randomised controlled trial compares the hand-assisted retroperitoneal approach to the current standard, the transabdominal laparoscopic technique, to define the most optimal approach for right-sided donor nephrectomy.

Study objective

To determine the best approach for right-sided live donor nephrectomy to optimise donor's safety and comfort while reducing donation related costs, with equal or better quality of life for the HARP-technique.

Study design

Follow-up will be one year.

Intervention

This trial randomizes donors for either laparoscopic or hand-assisted retroperitoneoscopic (HARP) donor nephrectomy to assess the role of HARP for kidney donation.

Contacts

Public

Postbus 2040 K.W.J. Klop Erasmus MC, kamer Z-838 Rotterdam 3000 CA The Netherlands **Scientific** Postbus 2040 K.W.J. Klop Erasmus MC, kamer Z-838 Rotterdam 3000 CA The Netherlands

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria

1. Potential participants are those willing and approved to donate a kidney by life;

2. Participants must be able to donate the right kidney, not have undergone kidney or adrenal gland surgery on the right side and understand English sufficiently to fill out the questionnaires.

Exclusion criteria

Participants must not have undergone kidney or adrenal gland surgery on the left side and understand English sufficiently to fill out the questionnaires.

Study design

Design

| Study type: | Interventional |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Intervention model: | Parallel |
| Allocation: | Randomized controlled trial |
| Masking: | Double blinded (masking used) |
| Control: | Active |

Recruitment

. . .

| NL | |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| Recruitment status: | Recruiting |
| Start date (anticipated): | 01-04-2011 |
| Enrollment: | 40 |
| Туре: | Anticipated |

Ethics review

| Positive opinion | |
|-------------------|------------------|
| Date: | 10-10-2011 |
| Application type: | First submission |

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

4 - Right-sided Hand-Assisted RetroPeritoneoscopic versus standard laparoscopic dono ... 11-05-2025

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

| Register | ID |
|----------|-------------------------------------|
| NTR-new | NL2949 |
| NTR-old | NTR3096 |
| Other | METC Erasmus MC : 2010-168 |
| ISRCTN | ISRCTN wordt niet meer aangevraagd. |

Study results

Summary results

N/A