PROspective Multi-center study to Evaluate the correlation between safety margin and local recurrence after THErmal ablation USing image coregistration in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma

No registrations found.

| Ethical review | Positive opinion |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Status | Recruiting |
| Health condition type | - |
| Study type | Observational non invasive |

Summary

ID

NL-OMON25324

Source NTR

Brief title PROMETHEUS

Health condition

Hepatocellular carcinoma

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: LUMC **Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** KWF

Intervention

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

Minimal ablation margin that results in a local recurrence rate <10%

Secondary outcome

- Local recurrence at 1 year after thermal ablation at different categories for minimal ablation margin: <0mm, 0-3mm, 3-5mm, >5mm

- Local & overall recurrence rate, disease-free and overall survival at 1, 2 and 3 years
- Relation between local recurrence at 1 year and disease-free and overall survival

Study description

Background summary

Problem description

Compared to thermal ablation, the complication rate in surgically treated HCC patients is much higher with an odds ratio of 8.24 (95% CI: 2.12-31.95). Yet, surgical resection yields better results regarding local recurrence (HR 0.38 (95% CI: 0.17-0.84)). Therefore, surgical resection remains the treatment of choice for most patients with BCLC 0/A HCC, despite higher morbidity and mortality rates. For thermal ablation to become truly competitive with surgical resection, the issue of local recurrence needs to be addressed.

Ablation systems have predefined algorithms, based on in vitro experiments, to predict size and shape of the ablation. In general, ablations setting are chosen that would result in complete tumor ablation with a safety margin of >5mm, but the actual ablation zone may be smaller than expected and deformed as a result of factors such as inhomogeneous tissue density, liver cirrhosis and heat-sink.

After surgical resection, a pathologist examines the resected specimen to confirm complete resection. After ablation, confirmation of successful ablation can only be obtained using imaging modalities. Currently there is no validated, standardized method to accurately determine safety margins. Most commonly, the interventional radiologist performing the procedure estimates the safety margins by visual qualitative assessment of pre- and post-ablation contrast-enhanced CT (CECT). This method is associated with high interobserver variability and lacks accuracy. There is a need for a method that allows more accurate assessment of safety margins after ablation.

Solution

Over recent years, post-processing software has become available that allows co-registration of pre- and post-ablation CECT. This allows three-dimensional quantitative assessment of ablation margins. Such quantification of ablation margin would allow immediate evaluation of ablation margins and reablation during the same treatment session if margins are deemed to be insufficient. It would potentially be the equivalent of the frozen section that is used for

2 - PROspective Multi-center study to Evaluate the correlation between safety margin ... 6-05-2025

real-time margin control during surgery.

Retrospective studies have demonstrated the potential value of quantitative assessment of ablation margins after thermal ablation, but this has neither been validated in prospective studies nor in larger patient groups. In a prospective, multi-center, non-experimental study in patients undergoing ablation for BCLC 0/A/B HCC, safety margins will be quantitatively assessed using dedicated co-registration software.

The aim of this project is to correlate ablation margins with outcome to determine the relationship between ablation margins and local recurrence and set the optimal threshold for minimal ablation margin.

Study objective

Over recent years, post-processing software has become available that allows co-registration of pre- and post-ablation CECT. This allows three-dimensional quantitative assessment of ablation margins. Such quantification of ablation margin would allow immediate evaluation of ablation margins and reablation during the same treatment session if margins are deemed to be insufficient. It would potentially be the equivalent of the frozen section that is used for real-time margin control during surgery.

Study design

- Registration
- Ablation
- Follow-up every 3-4 months until 3 years

Contacts

Public LUMC Clinical Research Center Heelkunde LUMC

+31 71 5263500 **Scientific** LUMC Clinical Research Center Heelkunde LUMC

+31 71 5263500

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria

· Age 18 years or above

· HCC very early (0) or early stage (A) according to the BCLC staging system OR HCC intermediate stage (B) with a maximum of two lesions of \leq 5cm each

- · Either de novo or recurrent HCC (prior locoregional therapy is allowed in the study)
- · Candidate for percutaneous thermal ablation as discussed in a multidisciplinary tumor board

· Absence of any psychological, familial, sociological or geographical condition potentially

hampering compliance with the study protocol and follow-up schedule

 \cdot Written informed consent

Exclusion criteria

- \cdot Estimated GFR <30 ml/min
- · Known severe allergy to contrast medium
- \cdot ASA classification higher than 3
- · Child Pugh C
- · ECOG \geq 1 (tumor-related)
- \cdot Portal vein tumor invasion
- · Extrahepatic metastasis

 \cdot Neoadjuvant transarterial therapy (TACE, TAE or TARE), i.e. combination therapy of transarterial therapy with ablation

· Uncorrectable coagulopathy

Study design

Design

| Study type: | Observational non invasive |
|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Intervention model: | Other |
| Allocation: | Non controlled trial |
| Masking: | Open (masking not used) |
| Control: | N/A , unknown |

Recruitment

. . .

| NL | |
|---------------------------|------------|
| Recruitment status: | Recruiting |
| Start date (anticipated): | 23-08-2021 |

4 - PROspective Multi-center study to Evaluate the correlation between safety margin ... 6-05-2025

| Enrollment: | | |
|-------------|--|--|
| Туре: | | |

165 Anticipated

IPD sharing statement

Plan to share IPD: Undecided

Ethics review

Positive opinionDate:03-09-2021Application type:First submission

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

ID: 55994 Bron: ToetsingOnline Titel:

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

| Register | ID |
|----------|----------------|
| NTR-new | NL9713 |
| ССМО | NL75744.058.21 |
| OMON | NL-OMON55994 |

Study results