# Anterior vs posterior hip replacement long term outcomes

No registrations found.

**Ethical review** Positive opinion **Status** Recruiting

Health condition type -

**Study type** Observational non invasive

# **Summary**

#### ID

NL-OMON25504

**Source** 

NTR

**Brief title** 

Anterior vs posterior hip replacement long term outcomes

**Health condition** 

Osteoarthritis, or other indication for total hip replacement surgery

### **Sponsors and support**

Primary sponsor: In kind

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: none

#### Intervention

#### **Outcome measures**

### **Primary outcome**

Long term PROMs (Patient Reported Outcome Measures), the Oxford Hip Score at six weeks, anterior vs posterior approach

### **Secondary outcome**

Long term PROMs (Patient Reported Outcome Measures), the Oxford Hip Score at six months and one year.

Long term PROMs (Patient Reported Outcome Measures), the Eq-5D-5L Score at six weeks, six months and one year.

Long term patient satisfaction at six weeks, six months and one year. Complications

# **Study description**

### **Background summary**

Comparison of anterior versus posterior hip replacements with follow up up to one year postoperatively. Information collected is type of approach, recovery PROMs (Oxford hip score, EQ-5D-5L) pain scores, patient satisfaction. Time points are preoperative (baseline), 6 weeks postoperative, 6 months postoperative and one year.

### Study objective

Anterior approach to total hip arthroplasty (THA) provides superior long term outcomes when compared to posterior THA.

### Study design

Preoperative (baseline), six weeks postoperative, six months postoperative, one year postoperative

#### Intervention

Patients undergoing the direct anterior or posterior approach for THA were and shall be approached, informed consent given, and enrolled. Data shall be collected on surgical technique, complications. Follow-up is by a research assistant preoperatively (baseline), and then at six weeks postoperatively, six months postoperatively and one year postoperatively. Scores collected are the Oxford Hip Score, the EQ-5D-5L Score, patient satisfaction.

# **Contacts**

#### **Public**

AMC (Supervised by Professor R. Jaarsma- AMC Ortho)
D-Yin Lin

0434001819

#### Scientific

AMC (Supervised by Professor R. Jaarsma- AMC Ortho)
D-Yin Lin

0434001819

# **Eligibility criteria**

### Inclusion criteria

All consecutive adult total hip arthroplasty patients at FMC (Flinders Medical Centre) and NHS (Noarlunga Health Services).

### **Exclusion criteria**

Inability to give first person consent

# Study design

### **Design**

Study type: Observational non invasive

Intervention model: Parallel

Allocation: Non-randomized controlled trial

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: N/A, unknown

### Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruiting
Start date (anticipated): 08-01-2018

Enrollment: 100

Type: Anticipated

### **IPD** sharing statement

Plan to share IPD: No

### **Ethics review**

Positive opinion

Date: 16-10-2021

Application type: First submission

# **Study registrations**

### Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

### Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

## In other registers

### **Register ID**

NTR-new NL9803

METC FMC SALHN: The local Human Research Ethics Committee granted multi-Other

centre approval (SALHN/329.17).

# **Study results**