# A randomized trial comparing the ER-cap technique and the multiband mucosectomy technique for piecemeal endoscopic resection of early Barrett neoplasia.

No registrations found.

**Ethical review** Positive opinion **Status** Recruiting

**Health condition type** -

Study type Interventional

## **Summary**

#### ID

NL-OMON25855

#### Source

Nationaal Trial Register

#### **Brief title**

**RLC-trial** 

#### **Health condition**

Barrett esophagus; Barrett oesophagus; Barrett neoplasia; high-grade dysplasia; early cancer; endoscopic resection; ER-cap technique; multiband mucosectomy technique

## **Sponsors and support**

**Primary sponsor:** Academic Medical Center, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology.

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: fund=initiator=sponsor

#### Intervention

#### **Outcome measures**

#### **Primary outcome**

- Rate of radically resected lesions;
- Number and severity of complications.

#### **Secondary outcome**

- Time per procedure;
- Number of resected pieces per procedure,
- Costs of disposables per procedure;
- Maximum diameter of resected specimens;
- Maximum thickness of submucosa in resected specimens.

# **Study description**

#### **Background summary**

This study will be performed at the AMC in Amsterdam, St. Antonius Hospital in Nieuwegein, Catharina Hospital in Eindhoven and the Gasthuisberg in Leuven.

Endoscopic resection (ER) is an important treatment modality for patients with Barrett esophagus (BE) containing high-grade dysplasia (HGD) or early cancer (EC). The most widely used ER technique, the ER-cap technique, requires submucosal lifting and prelooping of a snare in the cap, making it technically demanding and laborious when used for piecemeal resections. In addition, a new snare is needed for every resection.

The newer multi-band mucosectomy (MBM) technique uses a modified variceal band ligator and requires no submucosal lifting or prelooping of a snare, and multiple resections can be performed with the same snare. Aim of this study is to prospectively compare ER-cap and MBM for piecemeal ER in BE.

A total of 80 patients with BE-HGD/EC scheduled for piecemeal ER will be included. After delineation of the area to be resected, patients will be randomized to ER-cap or MBM. Assessment criteria are: number of resections/procedure, procedure time, time/resected specimen, complications, maximum diameter of specimens, and costs of disposables.

We hypothesize that both techniques will be equally effective, but MBM may be quicker, cheaper and may even be associated with less complications.

#### **Study objective**

We hypothesize that endoscopic resection (ER) of early neoplasia arising in Barrett esophagus (BE) using the multiband mucosectomy (MBM) technique is equally effective in removing early neoplasia, but may be faster, cheaper and possibly safer than the standard ER-cap technique.

#### Study design

The patient will be randomized and treated in the same endoscopy session.

#### Intervention

Patients are randomized to undergo endoscopic resection using either the standard ER-cap technique, or the newer multiband mucosectomy technique.

### **Contacts**

#### **Public**

Academic Medical Center<br/>
Bldg. C2-210, Meibergdreef J.J.G.H.M. Bergman Amsterdam 1105 AZ The Netherlands +31 (0)20 5669111

#### Scientific

Academic Medical Center<br/>
Bldg. C2-210, Meibergdreef J.J.G.H.M. Bergman Amsterdam 1105 AZ The Netherlands +31 (0)20 5669111

# **Eligibility criteria**

#### Inclusion criteria

- 1. BE with biopsy proven high-grade dysplasia (HGD) and/or early cancer (EC);
  - 3 A randomized trial comparing the ER-cap technique and the multiband mucosectomy ... 2-06-2025

- 2. In case of visible lesions: type 0-IIa, 0-IIb, 0-IIc, or combinations of these types;
- 3. No suspicion of submucosal invasion on endoscopy or endosonography;
- 4. No signs of lymph node and/or distant metastases on endosonography and CT-scanning of thorax and abdomen;
- 5. Written informed consent.

#### **Exclusion criteria**

- 1. Lesion with suspicion on submucosal invasion;
- 2. Unable to give informed consent.

# Study design

## **Design**

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Parallel

Allocation: Randomized controlled trial

Masking: Single blinded (masking used)

Control: Active

#### Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruiting

Start date (anticipated): 01-04-2005

Enrollment: 80

Type: Anticipated

# **Ethics review**

Positive opinion

Date: 05-09-2008

# **Study registrations**

## Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

## Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

## In other registers

Register ID

NTR-new NL1375 NTR-old NTR1435 Other : MEC05/160

ISRCTN wordt niet meer aangevraagd

# **Study results**

#### **Summary results**

N/A