# Functional Outcome of Vanguard XP vs CR: a single centre RCT using three dimensional fluoroscopic analysis

No registrations found.

**Ethical review** Positive opinion **Status** Recruiting

Health condition type -

**Study type** Interventional

# **Summary**

#### ID

NL-OMON25871

**Source** 

Nationaal Trial Register

**Brief title** 

**FOX** 

**Health condition** 

Patients receiving a primary total knee with a functional anterior cruciate ligament.

# **Sponsors and support**

**Primary sponsor:** Sint Maartenskliniek

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: Biomet Nederland B.V.

## Intervention

#### **Outcome measures**

### **Primary outcome**

To quantify the kinematics during functional tests the posterior femoral rollback (i.e. translation) in mm from 30° flexion to 0° extension during the step-up test will be measured. The endpoint of this parameter is set at one year post-operative.

## **Secondary outcome**

- The kinematics during functional tests are also quantified by measuring the total anterior femoral rollback in mm from 0° extension to 90° flexion during the lunge test.
- 3D migration (i.e. translation and rotation) in mm of the tibial and femoral component of the implant referenced to the bone.
- Lateral femoral lift-off in mm at 90° knee flexion during lunge test.
- AP laxity of the knee in 20° and 90°, in mm from anterior to posterior using a rolimeter
- Functional power output of the leg using the Leg extension power rig.
- Patient and Clinician Reported Outcome Measures (PROMS and CROMS)

# **Study description**

### Study objective

By retaining both cruciate ligaments with a bicruciate retaining total knee prosthesis will result in better post-operative knee kinematics and functionality.

## Study design

The patients included in the study will be seen at several moments: pre-operative, operation/direct post-operative, and 3 months, 6 months, 1 year and 2 years post-operative.

#### Intervention

Participants will be randomly assigned to receive either the cruciate retaining or bicruciate retaining TKA both of which are commercially available and have a CE mark (Vanguard System, Biomet, Inc., USA).

## **Contacts**

#### **Public**

Sint Maartenskliniek Nijmegen

M.R. Benard Postbus 9011

2 - Functional Outcome of Vanguard XP vs CR: a single centre RCT using three dimensi ... 13-05-2025

Nijmegen 6500 GM
The Netherlands
+31 (0)24 3659396
Scientific
Sint Maartenskliniek Nijmegen

M.R. Benard Postbus 9011

Nijmegen 6500 GM The Netherlands +31 (0)24 3659396

# **Eligibility criteria**

## **Inclusion criteria**

- Patient with non-inflammatory knee osteoarthritis, which is confirmed by radiology.
- Osteoarthritis is unilateral or bilateral with the contralateral knee functioning properly, not operated on in the last 6 months and not planned for TKA in the coming 2 years.
- Patient is set to receive a primary cemented total knee arthroplasty.
- Age between 40 and 75 years, inclusive, on the day of the operation.
- Patient plans to be available for follow-up until two years post-operative.
- Patient is in stable health (ASA-score ≤ 3) and is free of or treated for cardiac, pulmonary, haematological, or other conditions that would pose excessive operative risk.
- $\bullet$  Patient has a correctable or <10° rigid (non-correctable) varus or valgus deformity of the knee.
- Participants must be able to give informed consent.

## **Exclusion criteria**

- Patient has a BMI > 35.
- Patient's expected physical activity after surgery is 2 or less on the UCLA Activity Scale.
  - 3 Functional Outcome of Vanguard XP vs CR: a single centre RCT using three dimensi ... 13-05-2025

- Patient has had previous hip replacement surgery in the last 6 months, or is planned to have a hip replacement in the next 6-12 months (because of the effect on function).
- Patient has had major, non-arthroscopic surgery to the study knee, including HTO.
- Patient has an active, local infection or systemic infection
- Prior high-energy trauma to the affected knee or prior history of anterior and/or posterior cruciate ligament rupture.
- Suspicion of anterior and/or posterior cruciate ligament rupture at clinical examination.
- Documented osteoporosis with patient in active medical treatment.
- Patient has physical, emotional or neurological conditions that would compromise compliance with post-operative rehabilitation and follow-up.
- Bone quality compromised by disease or infection which cannot provide adequate support and/or fixation to the prosthesis
- Severe instability of the knee joint secondary to the absence of collateral ligament integrity and function
- Patient has knee flexion < 90 degrees</li>
- Patient has fixed flexion deformity >10 degrees (passive extension lag)
- Patient has > 30 degrees extension deficit (active restraint to extension)
- Patient does not have a proper functioning patella tendon on the affected side; measured as inability of active extension of the knee
- Patient has quadriceps weakness on the affected side; score on MRC scale < 4 measured by research nurse
- Patient has rheumatoid arthritis, any auto-immune disorder, immunosuppressive disorder or a terminal illness.

# Study design

## Design

Study type: Interventional

4 - Functional Outcome of Vanguard XP vs CR: a single centre RCT using three dimensi ... 13-05-2025

Intervention model: Parallel

Allocation: Randomized controlled trial

Masking: Single blinded (masking used)

Control: Active

## Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruiting
Start date (anticipated): 12-10-2015

Enrollment: 40

Type: Anticipated

# **Ethics review**

Positive opinion

Date: 11-12-2015

Application type: First submission

# **Study registrations**

## Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

# Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

## In other registers

Register ID

NTR-new NL5399 NTR-old NTR5524

Other NL54336.048.15:610

# **Study results**

**Summary results** 

n/a