

# Prophylactic pectoralis major flap to compensate for increased risk of pharyngocutaneous fistula in laryngectomy patients with low skeletal muscle mass

No registrations found.

<b>Ethical review</b>	Positive opinion
<b>Status</b>	Pending
<b>Health condition type</b>	-
<b>Study type</b>	Interventional

## Summary

### ID

NL-OMON26043

### Source

Nationaal Trial Register

### Brief title

PECTORALIS

### Health condition

Patients who are planned for TL (advanced stage primary laryngeal or hypopharyngeal cancer, salvage patients with recurrent disease after failure of initial organ preserving treatment, dysfunctional larynx)

## Sponsors and support

**Primary sponsor:** UMC Utrecht

**Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** KWF Kankerbestrijding

## Intervention

## Outcome measures

### Primary outcome

The primary outcome of this randomized clinical trial is the PCF rate following TL in patients with low SMM with or without PMMF as onlay for reinforcement.

### Secondary outcome

Secondary outcomes of this study are hospital stay, shoulder- and neck function, swallowing function, voice quality, quality of life, patients' perspective, and costs.

## Study description

### Background summary

Rationale:

In The Netherlands about 160 patients per year undergo total laryngectomy (TL). Postoperative complications including the occurrence of a pharyngocutaneous fistula (PCF) are common and difficult to treat. In a Dutch national study with 324 TL patients the PCF rate was 25.9%. PCF may require additional surgery, prolongs feeding tube dependency, delays or interrupts oral feeding and voice rehabilitation and increases hospital stay and costs. PCF carries a high risk of postoperative infections, wound breakdown and subsequent damage to nearby tissue and structures, including potential carotid artery blowout. PCF may also cause delay of postoperative (chemo)radiotherapy, thus jeopardizing optimal oncological treatment. Surgical closure of PCF, is indicated in half of the cases. Most often a myocutaneous pectoralis major flap (PMMC) is used to restore the mucosal defect. This surgery is associated with complications because of the poor tissue quality due to infection and saliva exposure. A surgical strategy to minimize PCF development following TL is the transfer of a pectoralis major myofascial flap (PMMF) to the neck as onlay for reinforcement of the pharyngeal closure. Systemic reviews show that a prophylactic PMMF reduces the risk of PCF in TL patients significantly. The use of prophylactic PMMF on the pharyngeal closure for reinforcement is recommended for patients with high risk for PCF. Low skeletal muscle mass (SMM) has been related to negative outcomes in a variety of tumour types and treatments. In oncological patients, SMM is most commonly assessed on abdominal computed tomography (CT) imaging at the level of the third lumbar vertebra (L3). Abdominal CT imaging is not routinely performed in head and neck cancer patients, and is often only available in a preselected patient group with advanced disease and high risk features for distant metastasis. Recently, a novel SMM assessment method at the level of the third cervical vertebra (C3) was published. Imaging at the level of C3 is almost always available in TL patients, allowing for the routine assessment of SMM without any extra burden for the patient or healthcare-related costs. Two studies reported that preoperative low SMM is a significant predictor of PCF in patients undergoing TL. Recently we reported on another series of 235 patients undergoing TL either

with or without reconstruction of the pharynx with PMMC/PMMF and SMM measured using CT or MRI scans at the level of C3. Low SMM was observed in 109 patients (46.4%). Patients with low SMM had more frequently PCF than patients with normal SMM (34.9% versus 20.6%,  $p=0.019$ ) and prolonged hospital stay (median 17 versus 14 days,  $p<0.001$ ). In multivariate logistic regression analysis low SMM remained significant predictors of PCF (OR 1.950). After exclusion of the patients who received a reconstruction of the pharynx with PMMC or PMMF from the database, the PCF rate in patients with low skeletal muscle mass was 31.0%. Two systematic reviews of Paleri et al and Sayles et al describe a reduced risk of fistula formation in patients who underwent primary salvage surgery with the prophylactic PMMC or PMMF flap. The incidence of PCF was reduced (47/156 to 11/114), giving a relative risk of 0.32.

#### Objective:

With this prospective randomized clinical trial, we aim to investigate if the use of prophylactic PMMF on the pharyngeal closure for reinforcement in TL patients under high risk for PCF because of low (SMM), can reduce the risk of PCF to a level of TL patients without low SMM.

We

hypothesize that in patients with low SMM the use PMMF as onlay for reinforcement can reduce the PCF rate after TL from 31.0% to 9.9%. Study design: In a multicenter randomized clinical trial patients who are planned for TL without PMMC for reconstruction of the mucosal defect will be asked to participate in this study. SMM is measured on pre-treatment CT or MRI scans at the level of C3 using a previously published method. One hundred twenty-eight patients with low SMM will be randomized between prophylactic PMMF at the time of TL or not. Incidence of PCF will be scored for the three groups: patients with low SMM with PMMF as onlay for reinforcement, patients with low SMM without PMMF and patients without low SMM. In a part of the low SMM patients group' shoulder- and neck function, swallowing function, and voice quality with their consequences on quality of life will be investigated. Patients' experience of the oncological treatment and rehabilitation will be explored by qualitative research with semi-structures interviews. A cost-effectiveness analysis will be performed.

#### Study population:

Patients will be recruited in head and neck centers of the Dutch Head and Neck Society. Patients eligible for this study, are 18 years of age or older, are scheduled for TL are able to understand Dutch, and do not meet any of the exclusion criteria. Patients who are planned for TL without PMMC for reconstruction of the pharynx and without previous chemoradiation of the head and neck will be asked to participate in this study. SMM is measured on pre-treatment CT or MRI scans at the level of C3 using a previously published method. One hundred twenty-eight patients with low SMM will be randomized between prophylactic PMMF at the time of TL or not.

#### Intervention:

PMMF in TL patients with low SMM who are randomized for intervention arm.

#### Main study parameters/endpoints:

The primary outcome of this randomized clinical trial is the PCF rate following TL in patients with low SMM with or without PMMF as onlay for reinforcement. Secondary outcomes of this study are hospital stay, shoulder- and neck function, swallowing function, voice quality,

quality of life, patients' perspective, and costs.

Nature and extent of the burden and risks associated with participation, benefit and group relatedness:

Burdens: Patients in the intervention arm will receive PMMF with potential shoulder morbidity. Patients with low SMM will be asked to complete questionnaires before and 6 months after TL. The EQ-5D-5L will be filled out 3 months after TL also. Shoulder function tests, voice recording and videofluoroscopic swallowing study (VFSS) will be performed dependent on the ability in each participating study and consent of patient. The shoulder function test will be performed before and 6 months after TL. VFSS and voice recording only 6 months after TL. Radiation dose during VFSS will be  $<1.5$  mSv, which is a lot less than a routinely performed CT thorax (4 mSv). It is estimated that it takes 50 minutes to fill out all questionnaires at one time point. Function tests will take 45 minutes. The questionnaires and function tests will be conducted during a routine consultation. Additional operation time for harvesting the PM flap will take 30 minutes. It is expected that the use of PMMF will result in less and limited (duration and extent) PCF, shorter hospital stay, less delay in adjuvant treatment, lower costs and improved quality of life. PMMC for fistula closure is probably associated with more morbidity than prophylactic PMMF. For TL patients, this study may serve as a basis for a reduction of PCF, which is a difficult problem to manage and is associated with severe complications and reduction of quality of life. Prevention of PCF is essential in the management of TL patients.

## **Study objective**

We hypothesize that in patients with low SMM the use PMMF as onlay for reinforcement can reduce the PCF rate after TL from 31,0% to 9.9%.

## **Study design**

Baseline, operation, 6 months follow up (postoperative)

## **Intervention**

Patients with low SMM are centrally randomized to use of prophylactic myofascial pectoralis major flap (PMMF) or not

## **Contacts**

### **Public**

UMC Utrecht

Maartje van Beers

088 7569114

### **Scientific**

## Eligibility criteria

### Inclusion criteria

- Planned for TL.
- Eighteen years of age or older, and able to exercise their free will.
- Sufficient understanding of the Dutch language to give informed consent.

### Exclusion criteria

- Planned for TL with PMMC for reconstruction of the pharynx.
- Major CT or MRI artefacts, impeding accurate muscle tissue identification on CT imaging.
- Interval between TL and imaging > 2 months.
- Concurrent treatment with chemotherapy for a previously diagnosed head and neck carcinoma.

## Study design

### Design

Study type:	Interventional
Intervention model:	Parallel
Allocation:	Randomized controlled trial
Masking:	Open (masking not used)
Control:	Active

### Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Pending
Start date (anticipated):	01-06-2020

Enrollment: 276  
Type: Anticipated

## IPD sharing statement

**Plan to share IPD:** Undecided

## Ethics review

Positive opinion  
Date: 11-05-2020  
Application type: First submission

## Study registrations

### Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

ID: 52422  
Bron: ToetsingOnline  
Titel:

### Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

## In other registers

Register	ID
NTR-new	NL8605
CCMO	NL72319.041.20
OMON	NL-OMON52422

## Study results

### Summary results

N.A.