# The Implant Removal Trial

No registrations found.

**Ethical review** Positive opinion **Status** Recruiting

Health condition type -

Study type Interventional

## **Summary**

#### ID

NL-OMON27207

**Source** 

Nationaal Trial Register

**Brief title** 

N/A

**Health condition** 

implant, removal, hardware, osteosynthesis, refracture, plates, nails, complications verwijderen osteosynthese, platen, pennen, refractuur, complicaties

## **Sponsors and support**

**Primary sponsor:** fund = initiator = sponser

**Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** fund = initiator = sponser

#### Intervention

#### **Outcome measures**

#### **Primary outcome**

Is the pre-operative suspected result of the operation being achieved?

#### **Secondary outcome**

The incidence of the complications per- and post-operatively.

# **Study description**

#### **Background summary**

In the Netherlands each year about 18.000 times operations are carried out to remove metal implants. The indication for removing this material is not always well defined. The Arbeidsgemeinschaft fur Osteosynthesefragen (the AO) used to advise to remove all the materials. The reason for this was the supposed risk for corrosion and loosening of metal parts.

But routinely removing osteosynthetic implants has some disadvantages. The operation itself can be difficult to perform and there is a realistic chance for complications, general complications, but also specific operation related complications, like the risk for nerve damage and the risk for a refracture, small scars can become bigger and patients without complaints previous to the operation can get complaints after the operation. All these complications are frequently described in literature, uptill 40%, depending on the experience level of the surgeon. Apart from the operation risk's, each intervention has it's own recovery period and employment loss with social consequences and costs.

Most studies about the removing metal implants are from a older data and generally discus about metal implants made of iron, chroom, nickel and molybdenum. There is less known about the indications of removing the more modern materials made of titanium. Complications hereby are just described in some case reports.

The indications for implant removal can be divide into two groups. There are absolute and relative indications. For example material which is perforating the skin, like an external fixator, should always be removed. Also growing bone and infections of the bone still are absolute indications, though in literature there is some discussion about this. A relative indication for implant removal is for example the argument that in the lower extremity the material can give a problem in future when a hip or knee replacement should be done. Rather important relative indications are complaints of the patient about pain, swelling and stiffness without that it is clear that the material is the underlying cause of this, like in intramedullairy nails. There also are functional and/or cosmetically "disturbing" implants, mostly lying just under the skin like the clavicle plate or at the olecranon. Often the material is being removed because of the patient is asking as precaution e.g. with sporting or because somebody told him to do so. The question is whether removing metal implants is a useful operation in this group of patients.

Up till now no prospective studies are undertaken to look for the best strategy whether to remove or not to remove osteosynthesis.

#### AIM OF THE STUDY

This study aims to investigate all the medical, historical, psychological and other indications for implant removal.

Also the per- and post-operative complications related to this operation shall be registered. We'll look at in which way the pre-operative expected results are being obtained when it comes to complaints and restrictions. Also the costs of the operation and the social consequences shall be looked at.

Ultimately we hope to have structured arguments that should contribute to a consensus and guidelines for the indication of implant removal.

#### **METHODS**

A prospective, multicentre, clinical cohort study will be done. All adult patients with a healed fracture, clinically and radiologically, of the clavicle, radius, ulna, femur or tibia, after nail- or plate implantation, for which there is a relative indication for implant removal will be included. This 'relative indication' means complaints of the patient like pain, stiffness, swelling of the operated limb, without sings of infection. Using a pre-operative list all the indications and complaints of the patient shall be recorded. The follow up after removal of a nail shall be 6 month and after removal of a plate one year. During this follow up complications will be recorded like re-bleeding, wound infection, nerve damage and refracture. The complaints and the satisfactory of the surgeon will be scored (at 2 weeks, 6 weeks, 6 months and / or one year). Also x-rays shall be made on standard times (preoperatively, 2 weeks, 6 months and one year (only in plate removal) post-operatively).

After the indication for implant removal has been made the possibility of participation in the study will be discussed with the patient. He or she has to fill in the informed consent and after this permission the patient has to fill in a pre-operative list, one after 6 weeks and one after 6 months and one year (only in plate removal). These questionnaires quantitate pre-and post-operative symptoms and problems that can lead to the operation indication. Approve for this study has been gained by the medical ethical commission.

### **Study objective**

Implant removal has no effect on the pre-operative complaints of the patient like pain, stiffness, functional problems and/or daily problems.

### Study design

The patient and surgeons questionarry needs to be filled in pre-operatively, during the operation, after 2 weeks, after 6 weeks, after 6 months and when a plate has being removed also after a year.

This means a follow up from half a year after nail removal and a year after plate removal.

#### Intervention

The operation in which the osteosynthesis is being removed takes place in the same manner as usual under local or general anesthesia. The one who operates is an orthopedic or general surgeon or a resident (junior or senior). The surgeon makes up wether the C-arm has to be used, the use of antibiotics, bloodspareness and/or venous tromboembolism prophylaxis has to be used. Also the surgeon decides how the post-operative care, like immobilisation, restarting work or sports, has to be done. Postoperative pain is being treated according to the local protocol for analgesia.

### **Contacts**

#### **Public**

Amphia Hospital Molengracht 21 D.I. Vos Breda 4818 CK The Netherlands +31 (0)765954012

#### Scientific

Amphia Hospital Molengracht 21 D.I. Vos Breda 4818 CK The Netherlands +31 (0)765954012

# **Eligibility criteria**

#### Inclusion criteria

- 1. All patients of 18 years and older who have an osteosynthesis (plate or nail) of their clavicle, radius, ulna, femur or tibia and who are planned for an implant removal.
- 2. The fracture needs to be healed (consolidation) clinically and on radiology.
- 3. The patient has to give permission by a signed informed consent form.

#### **Exclusion criteria**

- 1. All patients younger than 18 years.
- 2. Patients who had an other trauma in their previous history of the same extremity, through which the already had a limitation of mobility, pain and/or stiffnes which could explain their complaints (when supposed that the complaints of the patient are possibily caused by the osteosynthesis material than the patient can be included for the trial.
- 3. Non consolidated fractures, other osteosynthesis material and/or implanted in other than the mentioned bone.
- 4. No informed consent.

# Study design

### **Design**

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Other

Allocation: Non controlled trial

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: N/A, unknown

#### Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruiting
Start date (anticipated): 01-09-2007

Enrollment: 200

Type: Anticipated

## **Ethics review**

Positive opinion

Date: 25-04-2008

Application type: First submission

# **Study registrations**

## Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

ID: 33611

Bron: ToetsingOnline

Titel:

## Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

### In other registers

RegisterIDNTR-newNL1251NTR-oldNTR1297

CCMO NL15133.008.07

ISRCTN wordt niet meer aangevraagd

OMON NL-OMON33611

# **Study results**

### **Summary results**

N/A