Sacrospinous ligament fixation versus Elevate Posterior procedure in treatment of primary prolapse.

No registrations found.

Ethical review Not applicable

Status Pending

Health condition type - Study type Interventional

Summary

ID

NL-OMON27804

Source

NTR

Brief title

Elevate Posterior trial

Health condition

Pelvic organ prolapse. Surgery. Mesh. Recurrence. Sexual function.

Vaginale verzakking. Chirurgie. Mesh/implantaat. Recidief. Seksuele functie.

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: University Medical Center

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: fund = initiator = sponsor

Intervention

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

Sexual function at one year after intervention measured using the PISQ-12.

Secondary outcome

POP-Q, morbidity (including post-operative pain, complications and recovery of normal daily activities), disease specific and generic quality of life, repeated pelvic floor surgery within 12 months after intervention and cost analysis.

Study description

Background summary

Sacrospinous fixation versus Elevate Posterior procedure in treatment of primary apical compartment prolapse stage >= 2: a multi-center randomised controlled trial.

Rationale:

Pelvic organ prolapse is a common health problem, with a life time risk to undergo surgery of 11%. When dealing with an apical compartment prolapse the most frequent proposed procedure is sacrospinous ligamant fixation, but recently a mesh procedure (Elevate Posterior) was introduced. Although mesh is not recommended as primary procedure based on objectified adverse effects like exposure, pelvic pain and dyspareunia, there is theoretical basis to believe that for apical prolapse, Elevate Posterior is beneficial compared to native tissue repair. We propose a multi-center RCT comparing sacropinous ligament fixation to Elevate Posterior in primary apical compartment prolapse.

Objective:

To compare the effects of sacrospinous ligament fixation versus Elevate Posterior procedure on pelvic floor function.

Study design:

A multi-center, randomised, controlled trial.

Study population:

Inclusion criteria: sexually active women with apical compartment prolapse stage ≥ 2

2 - Sacrospinous ligament fixation versus Elevate Posterior procedure in treatment o ... 6-05-2025

requiring	surgical	treatment.	Exclusion	criteria:	previous	prolapse	surgery	and	enteroc	ele
stage >=	2 after	hysterecton	ny.							

Intervention:

Elevate Posterior or sacrospinous ligament fixation.

Main study parameters/endpoints:

Primary outcome: sexual function at one year after intervention measured using the PISQ-12. Secondary outcomes: POP-Q, morbidity (including post-operative pain, complications and recovery of normal daily activities), disease specific and generic quality of life, repeated pelvic floor surgery within 12 months after intervention and cost analysis.

Sample size calculation:

A difference of 10% from the maximum PISQ score (maximum score is 48, 10% being 4.8) was considered to be clinical relevant. To detect a difference in means of 4,8, with a power of 90% and an alpha level of 0.05, 42 patients in each group are needed. Anticipating on a 15% drop-out rate, we intend to include 100 patients (50 patients in each arm)

Nature and extent of the burden and risks associated with participation, benefit and group relatedness:

As we compare two strategies that are already applied in current clinical practice, no additional risks from both procedures are expected. Evaluation will take place after 6 weeks (routine post-operative consultation), by telephone after 6 months and patients will be invited for one extra visit to the hospital at 12 months (in some hospitals also a routine post-operative consultation).

Study objective

Pelvic organ prolapse is a common health problem, with a life time risk to undergo surgery of 11%. When dealing with an apical compartment prolapse the most frequent proposed procedure is sacrospinous ligamant fixation, but recently a mesh procedure (Elevate Posterior) was introduced. Although mesh is not recommended as primary procedure based on objectified adverse effects like exposure, pelvic pain and dyspareunia, there is theoretical basis to believe that for apical prolapse, Elevate Posterior is beneficial compared to native tissue repair. We propose a multi-center RCT comparing sacropinous ligament fixation to

Elevate Posterior in primary apical compartment prolapse.

Study design

Primary endpoint:

To compare the effects of sacrospinous ligament fixation to Elevate Posterior on sexual function measured using the PISQ-12 one year after intervention.

The PISQ-12 is a 12-item questionnaire with responses measured on a 5-point Likert scale, which evaluates sexual function of women with UI or POP and is divided into 3 domains: Behavioral Emotive, Physical, and Partner- Related. The Behavioral Emotive domain evaluates sexual desire, frequency of sexual activity, and orgasmic capabilities, whereas the Physical domain assesses more directly the effect of UI on sexual function. The Partner-Related domain assesses the patient's perception of her partner's response to the effect of her pelvic floor disorder on their sexual functioning, as well as her partner's sexual functioning.

Secondary endpoints:

- 1. Objective cure. Anatomical outcome will be be assessed by a POP-Q test pre- en postoperatively. A recurrence is defined as POP-Q stage 2 or more;
- 2. Pain, hospital stay, post- operative recovery. During hospitalisation till the first 6 weeks after surgery all patients are asked to keep a diary. Documented in this diary are: post-operative pain score (measured by the Visual Analogue Scale, on the evening after operation and then daily until the 7th day post-operative and then at 2 weeks and 6 weeks post-operative), used pain medication (daily from day 1 till 7 days post-operative and thereafter at 2 weeks and 6 weeks post-operative), general daily functioning (pre-operative and 1, 2 and 6 weeks post-operative) and number of days from operation till recovery to normal daily activities. After 6 weeks patients will receive a second diary in which they will document all visits to the hospital or physiotherapist because of complications or complaints related to the operation. The used pain medication during hospitalisation will be documented by the patient together with the nurse caring for the patient. Administration of morfine is finished as soon as possible and patients will use paracetamol and/or diclofenac. Used pain medication will be documented in the diary;
- 3. Subjective outcome will be assessed by validated disease-specific quality of life questionnaires (UDI, DDI, IIQ) and for the cost analysis general quality of life will be assessed by a standardized general quality of life questionnaire (EQ-5). These questionnaires will be filled in pre-operative and 6 weeks and 12 months post-operative;
- 4. Complications. The following complications will be registered: injury of bladder, bowel, nerve, vessel; buttock pain, haemorrhage/haematoma requiring transfusion and/or surgical intervention, urinary tract infection, retention bladder, fever or infection requiring antibiotics;
 - 4 Sacrospinous ligament fixation versus Elevate Posterior procedure in treatment o ... 6-05-2025

5. Repeated surgery within 12 months after intervention will be registered.

Intervention

Elevate Posterior or sacrospinous ligament fixation.

Contacts

Public

PO Box 22660

Jan-Paul W.R. Roovers Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Academic Medical Center (AMC) Meibergdreef 9, H4-140-1 Amsterdam 1105 AZ The Netherlands +31 (0)20 5666429 Scientific

PO Box 22660

Jan-Paul W.R. Roovers Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Academic Medical Center (AMC) Meibergdreef 9, H4-140-1 Amsterdam 1105 AZ The Netherlands +31 (0)20 5666429

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria

Sexually active women with a primary apical compartment prolapse stage 2 or more requiring surgery. Patients with co-existing posterior defects or concomitant perineal surgery (perineoplasty) can be included.

Exclusion criteria

- 1. Previous prolapse surgery;
 - 5 Sacrospinous ligament fixation versus Elevate Posterior procedure in treatment o ... 6-05-2025

- 2. Enterocele stage 2 or more after hysterectomy (performed for other reasons than prolapse);
- 3. Known malignancy;
- 4. Pregnancy or wish to become pregnant;
- 5. Unwilling to return for follow-up or language barriers;
- 6. Presence of immunological / haematological disorders interfering with recovery after surgery;
- 7. Abnormal ultrasound findings of uterus or ovaries.

Study design

Design

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Parallel

Allocation: Randomized controlled trial

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Active

Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Pending

Start date (anticipated): 01-01-2012

Enrollment: 100

Type: Anticipated

Ethics review

Not applicable

Application type: Not applicable

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

ID: 37357

Bron: ToetsingOnline

Titel:

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

Register ID

NTR-new NL2928 NTR-old NTR3075

CCMO NL38240.018.11

ISRCTN wordt niet meer aangevraagd.

OMON NL-OMON37357

Study results

Summary results

N/A