Risk Communication about Alternative Health Promotion Strategies

No registrations found.

Ethical review Not applicable **Status** Suspended

Health condition type -

Study type Observational non invasive

Summary

ID

NL-OMON28146

Source

NTR

Brief title

RICALTS

Health condition

Informed decision making

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM) **Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM)

Intervention

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

Narrative Review: complete synthesised overview of reasons for e-cigarette use and perceptions regarding e-cigarettes.

Secondary outcome

synthesize overview of reasons and perceptions into survey items.

Study description

Background summary

Governmental organizations usually only provide information on the best option to avoid or mitigate a specific risk, such as stop smoking. Many citizens do not follow this advice and do nothing, which is the worst option from a public health point of view. However, they may also seek alternative advices for second best options, such as using e-cigarettes. Communication on alternative health options is currently not evidence based, and often performed by stakeholders with a vested interest. Including a second best option in health communications may increase public health and informed decision-making, but it may also result in counterproductive effects.

Study objective

1. Which factors influence electronic cigarette use?

Study design

feb 2016 - oct 2017

Intervention

na

Contacts

Public

National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM) - Center for Health Protection

Kim Romijnders Antonie van Leeuwenhoeklaan 9

Bilthoven 3721 MA The Netherlands +31 30 274 4512

Scientific

National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM) - Center for Health Protection

Kim Romijnders Antonie van Leeuwenhoeklaan 9

Bilthoven 3721 MA The Netherlands +31 30 274 4512

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria

english or dutch language, scientific articles, quantitiative population level studies, qualitative studies, clearly defined age limites either below 18 years old or older than 18 years (not a sample 15 to 19 years old), self-reported reasons or perceptions regarding ecigarettes.

Exclusion criteria

topic does not revolve around e-cigarettes, no self-reported reasons or percepitons, topic revolves around toxicology, composition or topography, revolves around marketing or e-cigarettes, effectiveness of e-cigarettes as a harm reduction tool, internal & external validity issues, conflict of interest.

Study design

Design

Study type: Observational non invasive

Intervention model: Other

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: N/A, unknown

Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Suspended Start date (anticipated): 20-02-2016

Enrollment: 0

Type: Anticipated

Ethics review

Not applicable

Application type: Not applicable

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

Register ID

NTR-new NL6577
NTR-old NTR6750
Other : GBO-298

Study results