

# Evaluation of most adequate pain medication in uterine artery embolisation for fibroma: PCA versus Epidural Analgesia.

No registrations found.

<b>Ethical review</b>	Not applicable
<b>Status</b>	Pending
<b>Health condition type</b>	-
<b>Study type</b>	Interventional

## Summary

### ID

NL-OMON29678

### Source

Nationaal Trial Register

### Brief title

PALE

### Health condition

symptomatic uterine leiomyomata  
embolization  
post procedural pain  
epidural analgesia  
patient controlled analgesia

## Sponsors and support

**Primary sponsor:** Onze Lieve Vrouwe Gasthuis, Amsterdam (OLVG)

**Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** initiator

## Intervention

## Outcome measures

### Primary outcome

- painrelief (NRS)

### Secondary outcome

- factors predicting amount of pain:

amount of embolization material used  
reduction of volume of uterine leiomyoma

others:

- hospital stay
- costs
- adverse effects of analgesia
- patient overall satisfaction
- number of readmissions because of pain

## Study description

### Background summary

#### INTRODUCTION

During and after uterine artery embolization for uterin leiomyomata most patients experience severe pain, which was not controlled with current pain medication (PCM, diclofenac and dipidolor). Therefore this method was replaced by means of personal controlled analgesia. Unfortunately PCA was not sufficient to reduce pain to acceptable levels. Based on its promising results used in other procedures, we will compare the use of epidural analgesia with PCA.

### Study objective

Epidural Analgesia shows promising results in other procedures and is therefore thought to be effective in embolization of uterine leiomyomata as well.

## Study design

1. NRS: before, 1, 3, 6, 24 after treatment
2. questionnaire (phone call): 2 and 4 weeks after discharge.
3. overall satisfaction: 3 months after discharge

## Intervention

Uterine artery embolization

group 1: PCA (morphin + ketamin)

group 2: epidural analgesia (bupivacain/naropin)

both groups: PCM, diclofenac, tramadol

## Contacts

### Public

Nanda Meents  
De Boeg 46

Zaandam 1502 GV  
The Netherlands  
+31 (0)6 24849718

### Scientific

Nanda Meents  
De Boeg 46

Zaandam 1502 GV  
The Netherlands  
+31 (0)6 24849718

## Eligibility criteria

### Inclusion criteria

1. Women

2. >18 years
3. Premenopausal
4. Symptomatic uterine leiomyoma confirmed by ultrasonography
5. Scheduled (and suitable) for uterine artery embolisation

## Exclusion criteria

1. Wish for future pregnancy
2. Allergy to contrast material
3. Suspection of uterine malignancy

## Study design

### Design

Study type:	Interventional
Intervention model:	Parallel
Allocation:	Randomized controlled trial
Masking:	Open (masking not used)
Control:	N/A , unknown

### Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Pending
Start date (anticipated):	06-04-2009
Enrollment:	100
Type:	Anticipated

## Ethics review

Not applicable

Application type:

Not applicable

## Study registrations

### Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

### Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

### In other registers

Register	ID
NTR-new	NL1474
NTR-old	NTR1543
Other	:
ISRCTN	ISRCTN wordt niet meer aangevraagd

## Study results

### Summary results

N/A