# A comparison between the effects of icodextrin and glucose 2.27% on plasma volume in peritoneal dialysis patients

Published: 31-01-2007 Last updated: 08-05-2024

The primary hypothesis of the study is that the use of icodextrin leads to a reduction in extracellular volume compared to glucose 2.27%, but that the effects of icodextrin and glucose 2.27% on plasma will not differ.

Ethical review Approved WMO

**Status** Pending

**Health condition type** Renal disorders (excl nephropathies)

Study type Interventional

## **Summary**

#### ID

NL-OMON30588

#### Source

**ToetsingOnline** 

#### **Brief title**

icodextrin and plasmavolume

#### **Condition**

Renal disorders (excl nephropathies)

#### **Synonym**

renal failure, renal insufficiency

#### Research involving

Human

## **Sponsors and support**

Primary sponsor: Academisch Ziekenhuis Maastricht

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: Ministerie van OC&W,Baxter

#### Intervention

**Keyword:** icodextrin, peritoneal dialysis, plasma volume, salt and water removal

#### **Outcome measures**

#### **Primary outcome**

In this study the effects of glucose 2.27% and icodextrin 7.5% will be compared for the long dwell on plasma volume, extracellular volume and blood pressure control.

#### **Secondary outcome**

XX

# **Study description**

#### **Background summary**

Peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients are mostly overhydrated. Overhydration could induce hypertension and increase the cardiac workload. In PD, ultrafiltration of bodywater occurs by dialysis fluids containing different concentrations of glucose. More ultrafiltration could be realised by using icodextrin, a dialysis fluid which contains polymers of glucose. Although use of icodextrin or glucose fluids is not different for blood pressure as expected, because of the better ultrafiltration capacity of icodextrin. In this study we will compare the effect of icodextrin in peritoneal dialysis with glucose-containing dialysis fluids. Especially regulation of the blood pressure and the distribution of the body water will be studied.

#### Study objective

The primary hypothesis of the study is that the use of icodextrin leads to a reduction in extracellular volume compared to glucose 2.27%, but that the effects of icodextrin and glucose 2.27% on plasma will not differ.

#### Study design

Patients will be studied according to a randomized cross-over design. The total duration of the study is 3 months. During a period of one month all patients are prescribed 2.27% glucose solutions for the long dwell. After the run-in

period patients will receive one-monthly treatment with icodextrin for the log dwell followed by one-monthly treatment with glucose 2.27% for the long dwell (A-B), or will start with glucose 2.27% and followed by icodextrin (B-A).

#### Intervention

Blood sampling
24-hours blood pressure monitoring
I-125 albumine method for assessment of plasma volume
Bromide dilution method for assessment of the extracellular volume
Multifrequency bioimpedance analysis for assessment of the total body water
Assessment of peritoneal ultrafiltration volume and sodium removal by 24-hours
dialysate collection
Assessment of residual renal function by 24-hours urine collection

#### Study burden and risks

There are no other risks for the patients than known in peritoneal dialysis.

## **Contacts**

#### **Public**

Academisch Ziekenhuis Maastricht

Postbus 5800 6202 AZ Maastricht NL

#### **Scientific**

Academisch Ziekenhuis Maastricht

Postbus 5800 6202 AZ Maastricht NL

## **Trial sites**

#### **Listed location countries**

Netherlands

# **Eligibility criteria**

#### Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

#### Inclusion criteria

clinical stable patients treated with peritoneal dialysis

#### **Exclusion criteria**

chronic heart failure NYHA III or higher known hypersensitivity to icodextrin pacemaker pregnancy younger than 18 years inability to give informed consent within one month of peritonitis patients unable to stop icodextrin on clinical grounds

# Study design

## Design

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Crossover

Allocation: Randomized controlled trial

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Active

Primary purpose: Treatment

#### Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Pending

Start date (anticipated): 01-01-2007

Enrollment: 12

Type: Anticipated

### Medical products/devices used

Product type: Medicine

Brand name: 2.27% glucose

Generic name: 2.27% glucose

Registration: Yes - NL intended use

# **Ethics review**

Approved WMO

Date: 31-01-2007

Application type: First submission

Review commission: METC academisch ziekenhuis Maastricht/Universiteit

Maastricht, METC azM/UM (Maastricht)

# **Study registrations**

## Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

## Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

## In other registers

Register ID

EudraCT EUCTR2006-006211-80-NL

CCMO NL15242.068.07