

# Forward viewing ultrasonic endoscope versus standard oblique viewing ultrasonic endoscope in transmural drainage of pancreatic fluid collections: A randomized controlled trial

Published: 17-04-2008

Last updated: 07-05-2024

To compare endoscopic pancreatic fluid collection drainage using a standard oblique-viewing US endoscope versus a prototype forward viewing ultrasonic endoscope with emphasis on ease of endoscopic drainage measured by procedural time.

<b>Ethical review</b>	Approved WMO
<b>Status</b>	Pending
<b>Health condition type</b>	Gastrointestinal inflammatory conditions
<b>Study type</b>	Interventional

## Summary

### ID

NL-OMON32157

### Source

ToetsingOnline

### Brief title

Forward vs oblique viewing US endoscope

### Condition

- Gastrointestinal inflammatory conditions
- Gastrointestinal therapeutic procedures

### Synonym

Symptomatic pancreatic fluid collections

### Research involving

Human

## Sponsors and support

**Primary sponsor:** Academisch Medisch Centrum

**Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** Ministerie van OC&W

## Intervention

**Keyword:** Pseudocyst, Ultrasonic endoscopy

## Outcome measures

### Primary outcome

The primary endpoint will be ease of endoscopic drainage measured by procedural time.

### Secondary outcome

Successful drainage procedures, resolution of pancreatic fluid collections, procedure related complications, US endoscope preference according to post-procedural questionnaire.

## Study description

### Background summary

Transmural endoscopic drainage has become treatment of first choice for uncomplicated pancreatic fluid collections. Drainage is mostly performed with presently available therapeutic oblique-viewing (45°) ultrasonic endoscopes. Puncturing under an angle sometimes hampers successful completion of the procedure because the force that is applied while introducing instruments through the working channel is not fully exerted at the tip of the accessory, but instead drives the endoscope away from the gut wall. A prototype forward viewing ultrasonic endoscope was developed to overcome this difficulty.

### Study objective

To compare endoscopic pancreatic fluid collection drainage using a standard oblique-viewing US endoscope versus a prototype forward viewing ultrasonic endoscope with emphasis on ease of endoscopic drainage measured by procedural

time.

## Study design

A multicenter randomised controlled, clinical trial

## Intervention

Patients will be randomly assigned to receive either endoscopic drainage with a forward viewing or standard oblique viewing ultrasonic endoscope.

## Study burden and risks

Because endoscopic drainage will be indicated in all patients, the extra burden will be limited. There will be a small chance that endoscopic drainage is not successful with the prototype forward viewing US endoscope. Consequently, the procedure need to be repeated with a standard oblique viewing endoscope. On the other hand, it may happen that a pancreatic fluid collection can be drained with the forward viewing and NOT with the standard oblique viewing, and participating in this study may appear to be beneficial.

## Contacts

### Public

Academisch Medisch Centrum

Meibergdreef 9  
1105 AZ Amsterdam  
Nederland

### Scientific

Academisch Medisch Centrum

Meibergdreef 9  
1105 AZ Amsterdam  
Nederland

## Trial sites

### Listed location countries

Netherlands

## Eligibility criteria

### Age

Adults (18-64 years)

Elderly (65 years and older)

### Inclusion criteria

- \* Presence of a large (> 6 cm) pancreatic fluid collection.
- \* Window for endoscopic drainage
- \* Age > 17 years
- \* Written informed consent

### Exclusion criteria

- \* Previous surgical or endoscopic drainage
- \* Participation in another intervention trial that would interfere with the intervention and outcome of this study.
- \* Transduodenal as the preferred route

## Study design

### Design

Study phase:	3
Study type:	Interventional
Intervention model:	Parallel
Allocation:	Randomized controlled trial
Masking:	Open (masking not used)
Control:	Active
Primary purpose:	Treatment

### Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Pending
Start date (anticipated):	01-04-2008

Enrollment: 10  
Type: Anticipated

## Ethics review

Approved WMO  
Application type: First submission  
Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

## Study registrations

### Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

### Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

### In other registers

Register	ID
CCMO	NL22387.018.08