# Suprapubic vs. transurethral catheterisartion in abdominal surgery: a randomized controlled trial

Published: 09-03-2009 Last updated: 06-05-2024

To identify the preferred method of bladder catheterisation in patients undergoing abdominal surgery (either vascular or gastro-intestinal surgery).

**Ethical review** Approved WMO **Status** Recruiting

**Health condition type** Urinary tract signs and symptoms

**Study type** Interventional

## **Summary**

## ID

NL-OMON32702

#### Source

ToetsingOnline

#### **Brief title**

SPC vs. TUC in abdominal surgery

## **Condition**

Urinary tract signs and symptoms

#### **Synonym**

bladder catheterisation

#### Research involving

Human

## **Sponsors and support**

**Primary sponsor:** IJssellandziekenhuis

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: IJsselland Ziekenhuis

## Intervention

**Keyword:** abdominal surgery, catheterisation, urinary tract infection

## **Outcome measures**

## **Primary outcome**

Urinary tract infection

## **Secondary outcome**

Bacterial load at time of catheter removal

Complications of the introduction technique of the catheter

Tolerance

Total costs

# **Study description**

## **Background summary**

Bladder catheterisation of patients undergoing abdominal surgery is mandatory, since epidural anesthesia causes a temporary dysfunction of the bladder. However, catheterisation leads to bacteriuria in a significant percentage of these patients (5 percent per day). There are two accepted methods for catheterisation: the suprapubis catheter (SPC) and the transurethral catheter (TUC). Although the SPC has the theoretical advantage of a lower rate of bacteriuria and might be better tolerated, the TUC is most commonly applied. Recent literature is unclear about the preferred method of catheterisation.

## Study objective

To identify the preferred method of bladder catheterisation in patients undergoing abdominal surgery (either vascular or gastro-intestinal surgery).

## Study design

Open label randomized controlled trial

#### Intervention

## Study burden and risks

No additional risk for patients.

## **Contacts**

#### **Public**

IJssellandziekenhuis

Prins Constantijnweg 2 2906 ZC Capelle a/d IJssel NL

**Scientific** 

IJssellandziekenhuis

Prins Constantijnweg 2 2906 ZC Capelle a/d IJssel NL

## **Trial sites**

## **Listed location countries**

**Netherlands** 

# **Eligibility criteria**

#### Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

## **Inclusion criteria**

All patients undergoing abdominal surgery, either for gastro-intestinal or for vascular disease

## **Exclusion criteria**

<18 years

urinary tact infection or incontinence at time of admission incapable of giving informed consent

# Study design

## **Design**

Study type: Interventional

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Uncontrolled

Primary purpose: Treatment

## Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruiting

Start date (anticipated): 10-08-2009

Enrollment: 400

Type: Actual

## Medical products/devices used

Generic name: catheter (CAD en SPC)

Registration: Yes - CE intended use

# **Ethics review**

Approved WMO

Date: 09-03-2009

Application type: First submission

Review commission: TWOR: Toetsingscommissie Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek

Rotterdam e.o. (Rotterdam)

# **Study registrations**

# Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

# Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

# In other registers

Register ID

CCMO NL24394.101.08