

# The use of foley catheter results in less interventions as compared to the use of propress

Published: 08-01-2009

Last updated: 05-05-2024

To objective the most efficacy way to ripening the cervix of pregnant women.

<b>Ethical review</b>	Approved WMO
<b>Status</b>	Recruitment stopped
<b>Health condition type</b>	Maternal complications of labour and delivery
<b>Study type</b>	Interventional

## Summary

### ID

NL-OMON32840

### Source

ToetsingOnline

### Brief title

FLIC

### Condition

- Maternal complications of labour and delivery

### Synonym

ripening/priming

### Research involving

Human

### Sponsors and support

**Primary sponsor:** Universitair Medisch Centrum Groningen

**Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** Ministerie van OC&W

## Intervention

**Keyword:** foley and propess

## Outcome measures

### Primary outcome

percentage of caesarean sectio

### Secondary outcome

- bishop 6 or higher after treatment
- neonatale outcome
- fever
- the amount of syntocinon
- total gifts of propess
- time to delivery
- total costs
- anesthesia

## Study description

### Background summary

Ripening the uterine cervix is an intervention that must be done for about 5% of the pregnant women. There are two options to do.

First by way of intravaginal prostaglandine. Studies show that there is a higher chance on fetal distress because of hyperstimulation for which reason a caesarean sectio is indicated.

The second option is to use a Foley catheter an inexpensive method. There is a lower chance of hyperstimulation and therefore also on caesarean sectio.

Even we like to investigate if there is a higher chance of infection by using a foley catheter, which has never been investigated.

### Study objective

To objective the most efficacy way to ripening the cervix of pregnant women.

### **Study design**

A randomised trial.

### **Intervention**

At the moment there is a indication for induction they get the information letter.

With help of the randomisation procedure they will get into the group of proposs of into the group of the Foley catheter.

### **Study burden and risks**

no extra risk for the patient.

## **Contacts**

### **Public**

Universitair Medisch Centrum Groningen

hanzeplein 1  
9700 VB GRONINGEN  
NL

### **Scientific**

Universitair Medisch Centrum Groningen

hanzeplein 1  
9700 VB GRONINGEN  
NL

## **Trial sites**

### **Listed location countries**

Netherlands

## **Eligibility criteria**

## Age

Adults (18-64 years)

Elderly (65 years and older)

## Inclusion criteria

single pregnancy at term who has a bishop score lower than 6

## Exclusion criteria

broken membranes/who have had a sectio/bad foetal condition

## Study design

### Design

Study phase:	3
Study type:	Interventional
Intervention model:	Parallel
Allocation:	Randomized controlled trial
Masking:	Open (masking not used)
Control:	Active
Primary purpose:	Treatment

### Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Recruitment stopped
Start date (anticipated):	07-03-2009
Enrollment:	194
Type:	Actual

### Medical products/devices used

Product type:	Medicine
Brand name:	propess
Generic name:	dinoproston

Registration: Yes - NL intended use

## Ethics review

Approved WMO

Date: 08-01-2009

Application type: First submission

Review commission: METC Universitair Medisch Centrum Groningen (Groningen)

## Study registrations

### Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

### Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

### In other registers

Register	ID
EudraCT	EUCTR2008-007931-41-NL
CCMO	NL25524.042.08