# Recognising Effective Materials By Randomising & Assessing New Donorsite Treatments

Published: 19-11-2009 Last updated: 04-05-2024

This trial will compare the effectiveness and possible adverse effects of five common wound dressing materials to treat donor sites of split skin grafts.

Ethical review	Approved WMO
Status	Pending
Health condition type	Skin and subcutaneous tissue therapeutic procedures
Study type	Interventional

### **Summary**

### ID

NL-OMON33029

**Source** ToetsingOnline

Brief title Rembrandt trial

### Condition

• Skin and subcutaneous tissue therapeutic procedures

#### Synonym

donor sites of split skin grafts

**Research involving** Human

### **Sponsors and support**

**Primary sponsor:** Academisch Medisch Centrum **Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** Nederlandse Brandwondenstichting

### Intervention

Keyword: bandages, donor site, skin transplantation, wound healing

#### **Outcome measures**

#### **Primary outcome**

Primary objective is to promote wound healing.

#### Secondary outcome

Secondary objectives are pain, comfort, infection rate, and costs.

# **Study description**

#### **Background summary**

To date there is a large variability in wound dressings regarding the local treatment of donor site wounds after split skin grafting. This is detrimental to the uniformity and quality of care for such patients.

#### **Study objective**

This trial will compare the effectiveness and possible adverse effects of five common wound dressing materials to treat donor sites of split skin grafts.

#### Study design

Multicentre randomised clinical trial with five study arms.

#### Intervention

Five different wound dressing materials will be compared, namely an alginate, a film, a hydrocolloid, a paraffin gauze, and a silicone dressing.

#### Study burden and risks

The dressing materials used in this trial are already commercially available and therefore do not pose an extra risk. Patioents will be aked to score their pain, itching and comfort with respect to the dressing material used. Patients may benefit from participating because they may receive a dressing material that has advantages, for example in terms of a quicker wound healing or more pain relief.

### Contacts

**Public** Academisch Medisch Centrum

Meibergdreef 9 1105 AZ Amsterdam NL **Scientific** Academisch Medisch Centrum

Meibergdreef 9 1105 AZ Amsterdam NL

### **Trial sites**

### **Listed location countries**

Netherlands

# **Eligibility criteria**

#### Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

#### **Inclusion criteria**

Adults Condition requiring split skin grafting Donor site of at least 10 cm2 Written informed consent.

### **Exclusion criteria**

Patients physically or mentally not able to give informed consent Patients with an impaired wound healing, e.g. undergoing chemotherapy.

# Study design

### Design

Study type:	Interventional
Intervention model:	Parallel
Allocation:	Randomized controlled trial
Masking:	Single blinded (masking used)
Control:	Active
Primary purpose:	Treatment

### Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Pending
Start date (anticipated):	01-10-2009
Enrollment:	250
Туре:	Anticipated

### Medical products/devices used

Generic name:	Wound dressing materials
Registration:	Yes - CE intended use

# **Ethics review**

Approved WMO	
Application type:	First submission
Review commission:	METC Amsterdam UMC

## **Study registrations**

### Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

### Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

### In other registers

Register CCMO ID NL28456.018.09