

Prospective randomised multicenter study comparing the outcome of rubberband ligation and artery ligation of the hemorrhoidal arteries in the treatment of grade II and III hemorrhoids.

Published: 29-10-2009

Last updated: 20-06-2024

In daily practice there are many treatment modalities for hemorrhoids available. Since many years the rubberband ligation is widely used. Recently there are also positive results reported of the artery ligation procedure. This research aims to...

Ethical review	Approved WMO
Status	Recruitment stopped
Health condition type	Anal and rectal conditions NEC
Study type	Interventional

Summary

ID

NL-OMON33371

Source

ToetsingOnline

Brief title

HEMO trial

Condition

- Anal and rectal conditions NEC

Synonym

Hemorrhoids, piles

Research involving

Human

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: Sint Antonius Ziekenhuis

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: Ministerie van OC&W

Intervention

Keyword: artery ligation, Hemorrhoids, rubberband ligation

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

Primary endpoint is defined as a successful treatment out of patient perspective. A treatment is considered successful if the number one complaints has subsided.

Secondary outcome

Secondary endpoints are

- cost effectiveness
- number of treatments necessary
- number of clinical visits
- number of days not able to work
- number of days of hospitalisation
- postoperative pain
- Amount of painmedication used post operative
- Post operative complication in the first week after the procedure and during 6 months of follow-up
- Number of re-interventions

Study description

Background summary

The aim of this study is to determine which of the two common treatment modalities of hemorrhoids known as rubberband ligation and artery ligation procedure is best for the treatment of grade II or III hemorrhoids.

Study objective

In daily practice there are many treatment modalities for hemorrhoids available. Since many years the rubberband ligation is widely used. Recently there are also positive results reported of the artery ligation procedure. This research aims to determine best practice in the treatment of grade II and III hemorrhoids.

Study design

Prospective randomised multicenter study

Intervention

Patients are either treated with the rubberband ligation procedure or with the artery ligation procedure.

Study burden and risks

Both procedures are standard procedures. There are no additional risks in participation in this study.

Contacts

Public

Sint Antonius Ziekenhuis

Wernaarseind 70

3995 BB Houten

NL

Scientific

Sint Antonius Ziekenhuis

Wernaarseind 70

Trial sites

Listed location countries

Netherlands

Eligibility criteria

Age

Adults (18-64 years)

Elderly (65 years and older)

Inclusion criteria

- By means of proctoscopy, physical examination or sigmoidoscopy proved grade II or III hemorrhoids
- No other explanation for rectal bloodloss
- age between 18 and 70 years
- Patient understands the Dutch language
- Patient is able to decide
- informed consent

Exclusion criteria

- grade I or IV hemorrhoids
- asymptomatic hemorrhoids
- other proctologic disorders
- coagulopathy
- use of coumarine derivates
- anal surgery in history

Study design

Design

Study type: Interventional

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Uncontrolled

Primary purpose: Treatment

Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruitment stopped

Start date (anticipated): 13-08-2010

Enrollment: 200

Type: Actual

Medical products/devices used

Registration: No

Ethics review

Approved WMO

Date: 29-10-2009

Application type: First submission

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

Register

CCMO

ID

NL26912.100.09