The effectiveness of two different valgus braces in the treatment of varus medial compartment osteoarthitis of the knee: a randomized clinical trial

Published: 27-09-2010 Last updated: 30-04-2024

Our primary objective is to compare the short term (3 months follow-up) clinical and radiographic results of the Bledsoe Thruster Brace with the SofTec OA Brace in the management of patients with medial knee OA and a varus leg alignment.

Ethical review Approved WMO

Status Recruitment stopped

Health condition type Joint disorders **Study type** Interventional

Summary

ID

NL-OMON34102

Source

ToetsingOnline

Brief title

Valgus bracing

Condition

· Joint disorders

Synonym

arthrosis of the knee, gonarthrosis

Research involving

Human

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: Alysis Zorggroep

1 - The effectiveness of two different valgus braces in the treatment of varus media ... 25-05-2025

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: Bauerfeind Benelux B.V. (Waarderveldweg 1, 2031 BK, Haarlem, Nederland) ,Bauerfeind Benelux B.V. (Waarderveldweg 1;2031 BK;Haarlem;Nederland) en B&CO INC NV (Mutsaardstraat 47;9550 Herzele;België).,en B&CO INC NV (Mutsaardstraat 47, 9550 Herzele, België)

Intervention

Keyword: "valgus bracing", "varus knee", osteoarthritis, unicompartmental

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

Difference in the VAS pain score at 3 months between the Bledsoe Thruster group and the SofTec OA Brace group.

Secondary outcome

Visual Analogue Scale for pijn and satisfactio (VAS-pain, VAS-satisfaction),

the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC), the

SF-12 and the 6-Minutes Walking Test at baseline, 2 weeks and 3 months.

Radiographs of varus leg alignment at baseline without brace and at 3 months

with brace.

Patients need to keep a diary once a week during the 3 months of participation.

In this diary they record mean daily analgesics use, complications and compliance.

Study description

Background summary

Knee osteoarthritis (OA) is one of the most common joint disorders and is a major cause of knee pain and immobility. Treatment can be non-operative or operative. Operative treatment is not suitable for every patient, because of medical comorbidity, old age or other circumstances. In young patients it is desirable to delay primary arthroplasty. Osteoarthritis of the knee is more

located in the medial compartment. Patients with OA of the medial compartment also often have a varus alignment and the varus deformity causes an overload of the medial compartment with increasing symptoms during weight bearing. Malalignment increases risk for progression of knee OA. Valgus braces are designed to unload the medial compartment in order to decrease pain and improve function. Recent systematic reviews concluded that there is very limited evidence for the effectiveness of brace treatment for medial knee osteoarthritis, mainly because of lack of randomized clinical trials. More than 30 commercially available braces are produced nowadays, with al kind of different brace designs. To our knowledge no study has compared the effectiveness of two different kind of braces as treatment of medial knee OA in a randomized clinical trial.

Study objective

Our primary objective is to compare the short term (3 months follow-up) clinical and radiographic results of the Bledsoe Thruster Brace with the SofTec OA Brace in the management of patients with medial knee OA and a varus leg alignment.

Study design

A multicentre prospective parallel-group randomized clinical trial.

Intervention

Intervention (if applicable): Trial arm 1: 3 month prescription of the Bledsoe Thruster Brace. Trial arm 2: 3 month prescription of the SofTec OA Brace.

Study burden and risks

There are not many complication mentioned in the literature. Only minor skin irritations, skin deficits and blisters. The only other expected problem is a possible bad fit and discomfort of the brace. The extra burden associated with participation in this study are the Visual Analogue Scale pain and satisfaction, the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC), the SF-12 and the 6-Minutes Walking Test at baseline, 2 weeks and 3 months and radiographs of varus leg alignment with brace at 3 months. Patients need to keep a diary once a week during the 3 months of participation.

Contacts

Public

Alysis Zorggroep

3 - The effectiveness of two different valgus braces in the treatment of varus media ... 25-05-2025

Wagnerlaan 55 6800 TA Arnhem NI Scientific

Alysis Zorggroep

Wagnerlaan 55 6800 TA Arnhem NL

Trial sites

Listed location countries

Netherlands

Eligibility criteria

Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

Inclusion criteria

Patients with medial knee osteoarthritis (confirmed on X-ray (AP and lateral) using the Kellgren classification).

Medial knee pain.

Varus leg alignment (confirmed on X-ray).

Age between 18-70.

Exclusion criteria

Insufficient command of the Dutch language.

The inability to apply brace because of physical or cognitive limitations.

Symptomatic back/hip/ankle/foot pathology (which makes improvement of pain, function, quality of life and satisfaction, by wearing a brace, impossible).

Other than osteoarthritis causing knee pain (like arthritis).

Pre-existing skin problems.

Study design

Design

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Parallel

Allocation: Randomized controlled trial

Masking: Single blinded (masking used)

Control: Active

Primary purpose: Prevention

Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruitment stopped

Start date (anticipated): 25-11-2010

Enrollment: 80

Type: Actual

Medical products/devices used

Generic name: The Bledsoe Thruster Brace versus the Softec OA Brace

Registration: Yes - CE intended use

Ethics review

Approved WMO

Date: 27-09-2010

Application type: First submission

Review commission: CMO regio Arnhem-Nijmegen (Nijmegen)

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

Register ID

Other Het is aangemeld in het Nederlands Trial Register. We wachten nog op het

registratienummer.

CCMO NL32412.091.10