

Efficacy and costs of Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery versus conventional treatment of type 2 diabetes patients with a BMI > 35 kg/m²: a prospective randomized controlled trial

Published: 06-12-2010

Last updated: 28-09-2024

To evaluate the effect and costs of a RYGB vs conventional therapy as glucoregulatory treatment for DM2 patients with a BMI>35 kg/m² and HbA1c >7% despite 2 blood glucose lowering agents (not being insulin).

Ethical review	Approved WMO
Status	Recruitment stopped
Health condition type	Glucose metabolism disorders (incl diabetes mellitus)
Study type	Interventional

Summary

ID

NL-OMON34324

Source

ToetsingOnline

Brief title

RCT in DM2 patients with BMI >35 kg/m²: RYGB vs conventional therapy

Condition

- Glucose metabolism disorders (incl diabetes mellitus)

Synonym

diabetes type 2, sugar disease

Research involving

Human

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: ZonMw doelmatigheidsonderzoek

Intervention

Keyword: conventional therapie, obesity, RYGB, type 2 diabetes

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

Difference between the intervention groups in HbA1c, percentage with HbA1c <7%, percentage with diabetes remission (FPG <7.1 mmol/L, HbA1c <6.5% without medication)

cost-utility analysis from a social perspective

Secondary outcome

quality of life, body weight, cardiovascular risk factors and 10-year cardiovascular risk.

Study description

Background summary

600.000-800.000 people have type 2 diabetes (DM2) in the Netherlands, with 70.000 new patients yearly. Most DM2 patients are obese. DM2 is a chronic progressive disease. Presently, no pharmacological intervention integrally treats the underlying pathophysiological process. Weight loss is the most important therapeutical measure, but the effect of diet, exercise and drugs is marginal and short-lived. Bariatric surgery, especially Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB), effectively reduces glucose levels and bodyweight in obese DM2 patients. Dutch treatment guidelines do not include specific recommendations for obese DM2 patients. Most patients are treated with multiple (expensive) drugs while the HbA1c target of <7% is often not achieved and the disease progresses. A RYGB might be a more effective alternative. Initial costs will be

higher than pharmacotherapy, but in the long term savings are expected due to greater effectiveness. Class I evidence endorsing the (cost-)effectiveness of a RYGB versus conventional treatment for obese DM2 patients is lacking.

Study objective

To evaluate the effect and costs of a RYGB vs conventional therapy as glucoregulatory treatment for DM2 patients with a BMI >35 kg/m² and HbA1c >7% despite 2 blood glucose lowering agents (not being insulin).

Study design

prospective, randomized, controlled, multicenter trial.

Intervention

RYGB surgery versus conventional therapy for DM2 (NHG standaard) and obesity (CBO guideline)

Study burden and risks

We compare the costs and effectiveness of 2 registered interventions for the treatment of obese DM 2 patients. The treating physician is the one primarily responsible for the treatment of his/her patient.

The study only measures the outcomes of these 2 interventions. For the study patients have to visit the research physician 8 times over a period of 3 years. Given the importance of the outcome of this investigation this burden is minimal and defiable.

Contacts

Public

Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum

Albinusdreef 2
2333 ZA Leiden
NL

Scientific

Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum

Albinusdreef 2
2333 ZA Leiden
NL

Trial sites

Listed location countries

Netherlands

Eligibility criteria

Age

Adults (18-64 years)

Elderly (65 years and older)

Inclusion criteria

- Informed consent
- Age 18-60 years
- DM2
- . fasting c-peptide > 0.8 ng/ml
- HbA1c > 7.0 % despite diet/lifestyle advises and 2 glucose-lowering drugs with the exception of insulin
- BMI > 35 kg/m² and < 45 kg/m²
- Has attempted to lose weight without (lasting) success

Exclusion criteria

- Use of insulin
- Substance abuse/dependence
- Mentally unstable/psychiatric disorder (according to evaluation psychologist)
- Some eating disorders
- . no diabetes type 2, fasting c-peptide < 0.8 ng/ml
- Physical condition not good enough to sustain operation: IFSO and ASA criteria

Study design

Design

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model:	Parallel
Allocation:	Randomized controlled trial
Masking:	Open (masking not used)
Control:	Active
Primary purpose:	Treatment

Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Recruitment stopped
Start date (anticipated):	01-03-2011
Enrollment:	100
Type:	Actual

Ethics review

Approved WMO	
Date:	06-12-2010
Application type:	First submission
Review commission:	METC Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum (Leiden)
Approved WMO	
Date:	16-02-2012
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum (Leiden)

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

Register

CCMO

ID

NL33979.058.10