

# Compound Muscle Action Potential amplitude reproducibility as a function of site and size of the recording electrode.

Published: 10-06-2010

Last updated: 30-04-2024

To investigate the effects of three different methods of finding a suitable recording site for CMAP and three different electrode sizes on CMAP amplitude and its reproducibility

<b>Ethical review</b>	Approved WMO
<b>Status</b>	Recruitment stopped
<b>Health condition type</b>	Muscle disorders
<b>Study type</b>	Observational non invasive

## Summary

### ID

NL-OMON34506

### Source

ToetsingOnline

### Brief title

CMAP reproducibility as a function of site&size of the recording electrode

### Condition

- Muscle disorders

### Synonym

not applicable

### Research involving

Human

### Sponsors and support

**Primary sponsor:** Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum

**Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** Ministerie van economische zaken

## Intervention

**Keyword:** CMAP, Reproducibility

## Outcome measures

### Primary outcome

CMAP parameters (latency, duration, amplitude and area of distally evoked CMAPs) as well as conduction parameters (motor nerve conduction velocity (MNCV), and the percentile changes of amplitude, duration and area over the length of nerve) described as means with standard deviation (SD), as well as with the coefficient of variation (CV).

### Secondary outcome

not applicable

## Study description

### Background summary

Because of large interindividual variability and poor reproducibility, the Compound Muscle Action Potential (CMAP) as an estimate of the functional motor units has a relatively poor diagnostic validity. Improving reproducibility and reducing site-induced variability by defining the most optimal approach for measuring CMAP parameters will lead to improved accuracy and diagnostic yield of nerve conduction studies.

### Study objective

To investigate the effects of three different methods of finding a suitable recording site for CMAP and three different electrode sizes on CMAP amplitude and its reproducibility

### Study design

Repeated comparison of CMAP recording methods

## Study burden and risks

Very little. Only 2 short-lasting visits. CMAP measurements could be a bit painful, but usually this is not the case.

## Contacts

### Public

Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum

Albinusdreef 2  
2300 RC Leiden  
Nederland

### Scientific

Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum

Albinusdreef 2  
2300 RC Leiden  
Nederland

## Trial sites

### Listed location countries

Netherlands

## Eligibility criteria

### Age

Adults (18-64 years)  
Elderly (65 years and older)

### Inclusion criteria

aged between 18 and 50

### Exclusion criteria

evidence of diseases of or predisposing to peripheral nerve damage of the leg

## Study design

### Design

**Study type:** Observational non invasive

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Uncontrolled

Primary purpose: Other

### Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruitment stopped

Start date (anticipated): 18-08-2010

Enrollment: 15

Type: Actual

## Ethics review

Approved WMO

Date: 10-06-2010

Application type: First submission

Review commission: METC Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum (Leiden)

## Study registrations

### Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

### Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

## In other registers

### Register

CCMO

### ID

NL32319.058.10