Dutch randomized trial comparing standard catheter-directed thrombolysis versus ultrasound-accelerated thrombolysis for thrombo-embolic infrainguinal disease.

Published: 13-10-2009 Last updated: 20-06-2024

Te demonstrate that the use of US-accelerated catheter-derived thrombolysis in patients with recently (between 1 and 7 weeks) thrombosed infra-inguinal bypass grafts or native arteries will significantly reduce (at least 12 hours) therapy time...

Ethical reviewApproved WMOStatusRecruitment stoppedHealth condition typeEmbolism and thrombosis

Study type Interventional

Summary

ID

NL-OMON35325

Source

ToetsingOnline

Brief title

DUET study

Condition

Embolism and thrombosis

Synonym

thrombo-embolic infra-inquinal arterial occlusion / thrombosed leg artery

Research involving

Human

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: Sint Antonius Ziekenhuis

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: De behandeling met trombolyse is een standaardbehandeling en wordt verder niet gefinancierd. Alleen het EKOS Endo Wave System wordt voor deze trial met korting door de industrie geleverd.

Intervention

Keyword: periferal arterial occlusion, thrombo-embolic, thrombolysis, ultrasound-accelerated

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

- 1. Technical success defined as complete lysis of thrombus of the target vessel or bypass without distal thrombo-embolic complications.
- 2. Duration of catheter-derived thrombolysis needed for uninterrupted flow in the thrombosed native infra-inguinal artery or infra-inguinal bypass graft with outflow via at least one crural artery.
- 3. Number of units urokinasis needed for uninterrupted flow mentioned at point

1.

Secondary outcome

- 1. Thrombolysis induced hemorrhagic complications
- 2. 30-day mortality
- 3. Duration of hospital admission
- 4. Costs of hospital admission
- 5. 30-day patency of the target artery or bypass, as evidenced by Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA)
- 6. Drop of serum fibrinogen concentration to below 1.0 g/L during procedure
- 7. Conversion to open surgery
 - 2 Dutch randomized trial comparing standard catheter-directed thrombolysis versus ... 12-05-2025

Study description

Background summary

Thrombosis of an infra-inguinal bypass graft or the native lower leg arteries has been associated with a high rate of limb loss and significant morbidity and mortality. Traditional therapy consisted of thrombectomy and graft revision, whereas currently catheter-directed thrombolysis is often used. Major advantages of catheter-dericted thrombolysis as compared to surgery are: less-invasive character, gentler clot removal, clearing out and visualizing the distal runoff vessels and the involved segment, thereby revealing the underlying lesion, easy combination with additional endovascular intervention to treat stenosis of the inflow or outflow arteries. Drawbacks might be: higher costs, longer time needed to revascularization compared to surgery, thrombolysis induced hemorrhagic complications, a small but significant incidence of stroke, and renal dysfunction related to repeated angiography. To reduce these limitations reduction of thrombolytic therapy time would be necessary. Based on available literature US-accelerated thrombolysis has been shown to reduce therapy time of patients with deep vein thombosis by increasing clot permeability to the thrombolytic agent. A similar result was seen in a recent recies of patients with an acute obstruction of the native lower limb arteries. However, no randomized trials have been performed in the arterial system so far.

Study objective

Te demonstrate that the use of US-accelerated catheter-derived thrombolysis in patients with recently (between 1 and 7 weeks) thrombosed infra-inguinal bypass grafts or native arteries will significantly reduce (at least 12 hours) therapy time compared to standard thrombolysis alone without increasing complication rate.

Study design

Multi centre randomized trial.

Intervention

Group A (standard thrombolysis): During angiography a thrombolysis delivery catheter will be navigated proximally into the thrombus, followed by a control angiography at standardized intervals. During each control angiography the tip of the thrombolysis catheter will be repositioned proximally in the remaining

thrombus.

Group B (US-accelerated thrombolysis): During angiography a thrombolysis delivery catheter will be navigated into the thrombosed segment with a guide wire in such a way that the treatment zone traverses the entire clot and the tip lies distal to the thrombus. After final positioning, the guide wire will be exchanged for a matching US core wire and thrombolytic therapy will be started. Likewise a control angiography will be performed at standardized intervals.

Study burden and risks

The EKOS endowave system has been extensively used for the treatment of venous thrombosis. Furthermore, the EKOS endowave system has been used for the treatment of arterial thrombosis. However, until now no randomized trials have been performed. So far, no serious adverse events of the EKOS system itself have been published. It is believed that the risks of US-accelerated thrombolysis will be similar or less compared to those associated with standard thrombolysis. If not participating in this trial, patients would be eligible for standard thrombolysis therapy.

Contacts

Public

Sint Antonius Ziekenhuis

Koekoekslaan 1 3430 EM Nieuwegein NL

Scientific

Sint Antonius Ziekenhuis

Koekoekslaan 1 3430 EM Nieuwegein NL

Trial sites

Listed location countries

Netherlands

Eligibility criteria

Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

Inclusion criteria

- 1. Patients with recently (between 1 and 7 weeks) thrombosed femoro-popliteal or femoro-crural native arteries with ischemic complaints.
- 2. Patients with recently (between 1 and 7 weeks) thrombosed femoro-popliteal or femoro-crural venous or prosthetic bypass grafts with ischemic complaints.
- 3. Limb ischemia class I and IIa according to the Rutherford classification for acute ischemia.
- 4. Patients >18 years and < 85 years old.
- 5. Patients understand the nature of the procedure and provide written informed consent, prior to enrolment in the study

Exclusion criteria

- 1. Patients with isolated common femoral artery thrombosis including the origin of the superficial femoral artery and profunda femoral artery.
- 2. Patients with localized (<5 cm) emboli / occlusions in the native femoropopliteal arteries
- 3. Patients with clinical complaints of lower limb ischemia due to thrombosis of the native femoro-crural arteries or femoro-popliteal and femoro-crural bypass grafts <1 week and >7 weeks.
- 4. Patients with acute lower limb ischemia class IIb and III according to the Rutherford classification (see below).
- 5. Patients for whom antiplatelet therapy, anticoagulants or thrombolytic drugs are contraindicated
- 6. Recent (< 6 weeks) ischemic stroke or cerebral bleeding
- 7. Patients with recent (<6 weeks) surgery
- 8. Severe hypertension (diastolic blood pressure >110 mmHg, systolic blood pressure >200 mmHg)
- 9. Current malignancy
- 10. Patients with a history of prior life-threatening contrast medium reaction
- 11. Patients with uncorrected bleeding disorders (GI ulcera, mennorrhagia, liver failure)
- 12. Female patients with child bearing potential not taking adequate contraceptives or currently breastfeeding.
- 13. Pregnancy
- 14. Any patient considered to be hemodynamically unstable at onset of procedure
- 15. Patients refusing treatment.
- 16. Patients currently participating in another investigational drug or device study that have not completed the entire follow up period.
 - 5 Dutch randomized trial comparing standard catheter-directed thrombolysis versus ... 12-05-2025

- 17. Patients < 18 years or >85 years old.
- 18. Severe co-morbid condition with life expectancy < 1 month
- 19. Contra-indication for MRI

Study design

Design

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Parallel

Allocation: Randomized controlled trial

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Active

Primary purpose: Treatment

Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruitment stopped

Start date (anticipated): 30-11-2009

Enrollment: 60

Type: Actual

Medical products/devices used

Generic name: EKOS Endo Wave System

Registration: Yes - CE intended use

Ethics review

Approved WMO

Date: 13-10-2009

Application type: First submission

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 11-05-2010

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 25-05-2010

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 07-06-2010

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 03-01-2011

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

Register ID

CCMO NL28737.100.09