

A comparison of skin preparation techniques: povidine-jodine with alcohol versus chlorhexidine with alcohol in forefoot surgery

Published: 25-10-2012

Last updated: 26-04-2024

The main objective of this study is to compare the efficacy, in skin antisepsis in forefoot surgery. Therefore we will compare povidine-jodine with chloride-hexidine both soluted in 70% alcohol.

| | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Ethical review | Approved WMO |
| Status | Recruitment stopped |
| Health condition type | Bone and joint therapeutic procedures |
| Study type | Interventional |

Summary

ID

NL-OMON37348

Source

ToetsingOnline

Brief title

povidine-jodine versus chlorhexidine in forefoot surgery

Condition

- Bone and joint therapeutic procedures

Synonym

hallux rigidus, hallux valgus

Research involving

Human

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: Sint Antonius Ziekenhuis

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: Ministerie van OC&W

Intervention

Keyword: chloridhexidine, forefoot, povidin-jodine, skin

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

The primary end point of this study is the number of positive swab cultures. If positive there will be a qualitative and quantitative analysis.

Secondary outcome

The secondary outcome of the study is the number of post-operative wound infections till 6 weeks postoperative and allergic event against the skin preparative.

Study description

Background summary

Feet are a part of the human body which are usually often contaminated with bacterial species.

In the past several publications have compared chloride-hexidine Alcohol with povidone-iodine. In these studies chloride-hexidine seems in favour for skin antisepsis.

So far there hasn't been a research project which compares chloride-hexidine Alcohol versus povidone-iodine Alcohol.

Our purpose is to evaluate the efficacy of chloride-hexidine soluted in 70% alcohol and povidine-iodine sluted in 70% alcohol in skinpreparation in forefoot surgery

Study objective

The main objective of this study is to compare the efficacy, in skin antisepsis in forefoot surgery. Therefore we will compare povidine-jodine with chloride-hexidine both soluted in 70% alcohol.

Study design

All patient at our outpatient clinic fulfilling the inclusion criteria will be informed about the study.

They will be informed again and asked to participate during a second visit. In case of participation the patient will sign the informed consent.

Prior to skin preparation swabs will be taken from the webspace of the first en second toe and from the place where we will incise the skin.

After skin preparation and after wound closure the same procedure (skinswaps from wapsapce and incision place) will be repeted.

No surgical drapes will be used.

All swabs will have a quantative and qualitative analysis.

Visits will be done 1 day, 2 weeks and 6 weeks post-operative.

Woundinfections will be counted according to the PREZIES criteria.

Intervention

n.v.t.

Study burden and risks

There are no extra visits than for pateints undergoing the same surgery but not participating in this study.

There are no other risk than related to the operation.

Contacts

Public

Sint Antonius Ziekenhuis

Koekoekslaan 1
Nieuwegein 3435 CM
NL

Scientific

Sint Antonius Ziekenhuis

Koekoekslaan 1
Nieuwegein 3435 CM
NL

Trial sites

Listed location countries

Netherlands

Eligibility criteria

Age

Adults (18-64 years)

Elderly (65 years and older)

Inclusion criteria

informed consent

age > 18

indication for hallux valgus correction or arthrodesis of the MTP 1 joint

Exclusion criteria

active infection

allergic for iodine and/or chlorhexidine

skin defect

blood coagulopathy

Study design

Design

Study phase: 4

Study type: Interventional

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Uncontrolled

Primary purpose: Prevention

Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruitment stopped

| | |
|---------------------------|------------|
| Start date (anticipated): | 26-03-2013 |
| Enrollment: | 50 |
| Type: | Actual |

Ethics review

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Approved WMO | |
| Date: | 25-10-2012 |
| Application type: | First submission |
| Review commission: | MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein) |

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

| Register | ID |
|--------------------|------------------------------|
| ClinicalTrials.gov | NCT:identificatienummervolgt |
| CCMO | NL39133.100.12 |