# Distal Radius Plaster Immobilization Period II; A randomized trial comparing four weeks of plaster cast immobilization with six weeks of plaster cast immobilization in adult patients with reduced distal radius fractures.

Published: 28-09-2012 Last updated: 30-04-2024

The aim of the study is to prove that the functional outcome of distal radial fractures is better after four weeks of plaster cast immobilization than after six weeks of plaster cast immobilization in adult patients.

Ethical reviewNot approvedStatusWill not startHealth condition typeJoint disordersStudy typeInterventional

# Summary

### ID

NL-OMON37378

**Source** 

**ToetsingOnline** 

**Brief title** 

DR PIP II

#### Condition

Joint disorders

#### **Synonym**

distal radial fracture, wrist fracture

#### Research involving

## **Sponsors and support**

**Primary sponsor:** Spaarne Ziekenhuis

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: Ministerie van OC&W

#### Intervention

**Keyword:** Dislocated Distal radius, immobilization

## **Outcome measures**

#### **Primary outcome**

Functional outcome in adult patients with a distal radius fracture as assessed

using the

- a. Quick Disability of Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) score and
- b. Patient Related Wrist Evaluation

## **Secondary outcome**

Secondary Objective(s):

- 1. Range of motion
- 2. Pain (assessed by the VAS scale)
- 3. Time to union
- 4. Complications: complex regional pain syndrome and mal/ nonunion

# **Study description**

#### **Background summary**

The incidence of distal radial fractures is 400/100.000 in the Netherlands. Therefore, these fractures represent a large amount of the daily workload for practicing orthopedic and trauma surgeons. Most patients with distal radius fractures can be treated non-operatively in a plaster of Paris, with excellent functional results.

In case of conservative treatment of reduced distal radius fractures a little is known about the duration of plaster cast immobilization. Usually a period of six weeks is chosen. Christensen showed in a clinical controlled trial with 33 patient that immobilization of nearly of non-dislocated distal radius fractures could be reduced from 5 till 3 weeks. Vang Hansen confirmed these findings later with a prospective comparative with 100 patients. Jensen showed in a randomized trial with 62 patients that even one week of immobilization was sufficient.

In the above mentioned studies it has already been shown that a reduction in period of immobilization could be possible. However the above mentioned studies have their limitations in follow up and their modest group of patients. moreover these studies do not represent the reduced distal radial fractures.

## **Study objective**

The aim of the study is to prove that the functional outcome of distal radial fractures is better after four weeks of plaster cast immobilization than after six weeks of plaster cast immobilization in adult patients.

## Study design

This study will be conducted as a prospective randomized controlled clinical trial in which four weeks of plaster cast immobilization is compared to six weeks of plaster cast immobilization. Patients with distal radius fractures will be initially managed on the emergency department. Using the criteria for misalignment (dorsal angulation >15°, volar tilt >20°, radial inclination >15° and, ulnar variance >5mm) the patients will be included if closed reduction is necessary.

Patients will be asked informed consent after fulfilling the above-mentioned inclusion and exclusion criteria. Two (non-treating) trauma surgeons as external referees will also determine the degree of misalignment and the AO classification blinded from the scoring by the treating physician. Thereafter patients will be randomized into a group in which the plaster cast immobilization is continued for four weeks or six weeks.

#### Intervention

One group will have four weeks of plaster immbilization and the other group will have six weeks of plaster immobilization

## Study burden and risks

Literature indicates that both treatment options are accepted for distal radial fractures. No clear advantage for one treatment option is found at present in the literature, although there is no level I evidence present. Both treatment options have their known complications: stiffness of the joint and pain due to

malunion.

The expectation of this study is that four weeks of plaster immobilization is beneficial for the patient with a distal radius fracture. This risk of specific complications is low and generally similar in both treatment options. Moreover, the burden of the study is not much higher compared to standard treatment.

## **Contacts**

#### **Public**

Spaarne Ziekenhuis

Spaarnepoort 1 2134 TM NL

**Scientific** 

Spaarne Ziekenhuis

Spaarnepoort 1 2134 TM NI

# **Trial sites**

## **Listed location countries**

**Netherlands** 

# **Eligibility criteria**

#### Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

## Inclusion criteria

- 1. Age > 18 years
- 2. Unilateral fracture of distal radius with misalignment (dorsal angulation >15°, volar tilt >20°, radial inclination >15° and, ulnar variance >5mm)
- 3. Independent for activities of daily living
  - 4 Distal Radius Plaster Immobilization Period II; A randomized trial comparing fou ... 5-05-2025

## **Exclusion criteria**

- 1. Fracture of contralateral arm
- 2. Other fractures at the ipsilateral arm (excluded carpal fractures)
- 3. Pre-existent abnormalities fractured distal radius
- 4. Open fractures
- 5. Inadequate reduction of distal radius at 1 week follow-up at the outpatient department

# Study design

# **Design**

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Parallel

Allocation: Randomized controlled trial

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Active

Primary purpose: Treatment

## Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Will not start

Enrollment: 66

Type: Anticipated

# **Ethics review**

Not approved

Date: 28-09-2012

Application type: First submission

Review commission: METC Noord-Holland (Alkmaar)

# **Study registrations**

# Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

# Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

# In other registers

Register ID

CCMO NL38463.094.11