Oropharyngeal space in videolaryngoscopy: a randomised crossover trial measuring remaining space adjacent to the video laryngoscope blade.

Published: 18-04-2012 Last updated: 26-04-2024

Measuring the space on the right side of the videolaryngoscope blade and the palatopharyngeal wall in a cohort of ASA I-III patients with a normal mouth opening (Mallampati I, II and III). We also want to investigate how this space differs from the...

| Ethical review | Approved WMO |
|-----------------------|---|
| Status | Recruitment stopped |
| Health condition type | Procedural related injuries and complications NEC |
| Study type | Observational invasive |

Summary

ID

NL-OMON37542

Source ToetsingOnline

Brief title Oropharyngeal space in videolaryngoscopy.

Condition

- Procedural related injuries and complications NEC
- Upper respiratory tract disorders (excl infections)

Synonym

Phalatopharyngeal distance; distance between blade and buccal mucous membrane

Research involving

Human

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: Catharina-ziekenhuis **Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** Afdelingsbudget

Intervention

Keyword: Intubation, Orofaryngeal space, Videolaryngoscopy

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

Our primary parameter is: Measuring the space on the right side of the videolaryngoscope blade and the palatopharyngeal wall in a cohort of ASA I-III patients with a normal mouth opening (Mallampati I, II and III).

Secondary outcome

Investigating how this space differs from the space that remains on the right

side of the blade of the classic Macintosh laryngoscope and the

palatopharyngeal wall in the same cohort of patients.

Comparing the difference in remaining space between the different

videolaryngoscopes.

Registering difficulty of intubation (Cormack-Lehane score), successful intubation, use of rigid stylet, number of attempts, time until picking up endotracheal tube, epiglottic downfolding and any complication during intubation.

Study description

Background summary

Intubation using indirect videolaryngoscopy has many advantages over classic

direct laryngoscopy using the Macintosh laryngoscope. The laryngoscope blade differs between the different brands of videolaryngoscopes. The size and angle of these blade differ significantly, which may have an impact in the space available for insertion of the endotracheal tube. The space between the blade and the palatopharyngeal wall may be reduced significantly, so that there is less room in the mouth to insert an endotracheal tube. Positioning and manoeuvring of the endotracheal tube may consequently be more difficult and may traumatize the pharynx as was described in a few case reports, especially when a rigid styletted endotracheal tube was used.

Study objective

Measuring the space on the right side of the videolaryngoscope blade and the palatopharyngeal wall in a cohort of ASA I-III patients with a normal mouth opening (Mallampati I, II and III). We also want to investigate how this space differs from the space that remains on the right side of the blade of the classic Macintosh laryngoscope and the palatopharyngeal wall in the same cohort of patients.

Study design

Randomised crossover trial

Study burden and risks

The burden for patients will be minimal, since the patient will be anesthetized during the time of the study.

Probably the risk of dental trauma is slightly increased, since two laryngoscopes will be placed (instead of 1). However, videolaryngscopy has been proven to result in reduced forces on teeth, and more importantly, patients with bad or fragile dentition will be excluded. Also, other risk factors for dental trauma will be excluded as much as possible (intubation will only be performed by an experienced anesthesiologist, emergency surgery is excluded as are patients with external features predicting a difficult airway).

Contacts

Public Catharina-ziekenhuis

Michelangelolaan 2 Eindhoven 5623 EJ NL Scientific

Catharina-ziekenhuis

Michelangelolaan 2 Eindhoven 5623 EJ NL

Trial sites

Listed location countries

Netherlands

Eligibility criteria

Age Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

Inclusion criteria

ASA I, II and III patients, aged > 18 years, who will undergo an elective surgical procedure under general anaesthesia.

Exclusion criteria

ASA IV patients undergoing emergence surgery with pre-operatively expected difficult airway, bad or fragile dentition, dental crowns and/or fixed partial denture

Study design

Design

| Study type: | Observational invasive |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Intervention model: | Crossover |
| Masking: | Open (masking not used) |
| Control: | Uncontrolled |

Primary purpose:

Diagnostic

Recruitment

| NL | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Recruitment status: | Recruitment stopped |
| Start date (anticipated): | 02-05-2012 |
| Enrollment: | 450 |
| Туре: | Actual |

Medical products/devices used

| Generic name: | Videolaryngoscope |
|---------------|-----------------------|
| Registration: | Yes - CE intended use |

Ethics review

| Approved WMO | |
|--------------------|---|
| Date: | 18-04-2012 |
| Application type: | First submission |
| Review commission: | MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein) |
| Approved WMO | |
| Date: | 25-10-2012 |
| Application type: | Amendment |
| Review commission: | MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein) |

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

Register CCMO **ID** NL38570.060.12