

# Improved diagnosis of clinically occult breast lesions

Published: 27-09-2011

Last updated: 27-04-2024

To assess whether the use of RFIB instead of LCNB increases the probability of a definitive preoperative histological diagnosis in women with suspicious mammographic breast lesions. assess the \*RFIB success rate\*, defined as the proportion of...

<b>Ethical review</b>	Approved WMO
<b>Status</b>	Recruitment stopped
<b>Health condition type</b>	Breast neoplasms malignant and unspecified (incl nipple)
<b>Study type</b>	Observational invasive

## Summary

### ID

NL-OMON38092

### Source

ToetsingOnline

### Brief title

IDOL

### Condition

- Breast neoplasms malignant and unspecified (incl nipple)

### Synonym

breast neoplasms; breast cancer

### Research involving

Human

### Sponsors and support

**Primary sponsor:** Universitair Medisch Centrum Utrecht

**Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** Ministerie van OC&W

## Intervention

**Keyword:** biopsy, breast, neoplasms, radiology

## Outcome measures

### Primary outcome

Histological diagnosis issued on the biopsy specimen and the surgical excision specimen, the number of cancelled procedures due to a contra-indication for RFIB and the number of unsuccessful RFIB procedures due to technical failure or a nonrepresentative biopsy sample.

### Secondary outcome

A numerical pain score, procedure duration, cosmetic outcome and functional status post RFIB, complication rate, biopsy specimen quality and the chance of complete removal of a lesion.

## Study description

### Background summary

Each year, an increasing number of Dutch women are diagnosed with mammographically suspicious, nonpalpable breast lesions. The diagnostic work-up of these breast lesions has evolved from surgical excision biopsy to image guided, minimally invasive biopsy techniques large core needle biopsy (LCNB) over the past decades. However, the current minimally invasive biopsy techniques LCNB can not match the diagnostic accuracy of surgical excision biopsy yet because of problems such as underestimation of disease severity and unrepresentative sampling. As a result, LCNB is unable to provide a definitive preoperative diagnosis in up to 25% of patients.

In order to reduce this high proportion of women in whom a definitive preoperative diagnosis can not be established, radiofrequency-assisted intact specimen biopsy (RFIB) was introduced. Where LCNB retrieves some 4-8 small tissue fragments (2 x 20 mm), RFIB provides an intact (complete) biopsy specimen of intermediate (10-15 x 20 mm) size. Previous studies have reported substantial reductions in disease underestimation and unrepresentative sampling. Thus, the use of RFIB may lead to higher proportions of patients with

definitive preoperative diagnosis as compared to LCNB.

## **Study objective**

To assess whether the use of RFIB instead of LCNB increases the probability of a definitive preoperative histological diagnosis in women with suspicious mammographic breast lesions. assess the \*RFIB success rate\*, defined as the proportion of patients in which the histopathological diagnosis issued on the RFIB specimen is equal to the final histopathological diagnosis. Secondary objectives are to evaluate patient\*s experiences regarding pain and cosmetic outcome, adverse events and biopsy specimen quality.

## **Study design**

Prospective cohort study.

## **Intervention**

The studied diagnostic intervention is a vacuum assisted percutaneous intact biopsy of mammographically suspicious breast lesions under stereotactic mammographic guidance. The intervention will be performed using the FDA and CE approved Intact™ Breast Lesion Excision System.

## **Study burden and risks**

RFIB will replace the standard biopsy procedure (LCNB) and thus does not burden the patient as an extra investigational procedure. Burdens that are associated with participation are a two-day delay in obtaining the biopsy result, because of additional processing steps that are needed to enable pathological assessment, and the time investment required for completing a questionnaire at 3 different time points. Additional risks associated with participation in the study are hypothetical and consist of an increased chance of non-specific biopsy related complications and burning of the skin.

## **Contacts**

### **Public**

Universitair Medisch Centrum Utrecht

Heidelberglaan 100

Utrecht 3584 CX

NL

### **Scientific**

Universitair Medisch Centrum Utrecht

Heidelberglaan 100  
Utrecht 3584 CX  
NL

## **Trial sites**

### **Listed location countries**

Netherlands

## **Eligibility criteria**

### **Age**

Adults (18-64 years)

Elderly (65 years and older)

### **Inclusion criteria**

- Female gender;
- Age  $\geq 18$  years;
- Nonpalpable mammographically suspicious breast lesion (classified as BIRADS 3-5) requiring stereotactic biopsy.

### **Exclusion criteria**

- Legal incapability;
- Insufficient command of the Dutch language;
- Contraindication for temporarily stopping anticoagulant therapy;
- Inability to maintain a prone position for 1 hour;
- Ipsilateral breast implant;
- Lactation;
- Implanted electronic device;

## **Study design**

## Design

Study type:	Observational invasive
Intervention model:	Other
Allocation:	Non-randomized controlled trial
Masking:	Open (masking not used)
Control:	Active
Primary purpose:	Diagnostic

## Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Recruitment stopped
Start date (anticipated):	10-11-2011
Enrollment:	128
Type:	Actual

## Medical products/devices used

Generic name:	Intact BLES - Breast Lesion Excision System
Registration:	Yes - CE intended use

## Ethics review

Approved WMO	
Date:	27-09-2011
Application type:	First submission
Review commission:	METC Universitair Medisch Centrum Utrecht (Utrecht)
Approved WMO	
Date:	08-02-2012
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC Universitair Medisch Centrum Utrecht (Utrecht)
Approved WMO	
Date:	10-08-2012
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC Universitair Medisch Centrum Utrecht (Utrecht)

## Study registrations

### Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

### Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

### In other registers

Register	ID
CCMO	NL35081.041.11