# Does supported faecal production accelerates the final diagnosis in children with acute abdominal pain?

Published: 16-05-2013 Last updated: 23-04-2024

The objective of this research is to see if this way of treating a patient ensures a quicker diagnosis, which results in lessabdominal pain for the patient.

Ethical review	Approved WMO
Status	Recruitment stopped
Health condition type	Gastrointestinal motility and defaecation conditions
Study type	Interventional

# Summary

### ID

NL-OMON38636

**Source** ToetsingOnline

#### **Brief title** Supported faecal production and the diagnosis of acute abdominal pain

### Condition

· Gastrointestinal motility and defaecation conditions

#### Synonym

Constipation, obstruction of the intestines

#### **Research involving** Human

### **Sponsors and support**

Primary sponsor: Universitair Medisch Centrum Groningen Source(s) of monetary or material Support: Ministerie van OC&W

### Intervention

Keyword: Constipation, Non-specific abdominal pain, Treatment

### **Outcome measures**

#### **Primary outcome**

The primary outcome is diminished acute abdominal pain, this will be measured

during the anamnesis and physical

examination by using the \*comfort scale\* or the VAS-measurement and the ammount

of used painkillers.

#### Secondary outcome

The time needed for reaching the final diagnosis.

# **Study description**

#### **Background summary**

In children presented with acute abdominal pain, a relative substantial group remains with a nonspecific cause. A suggested part of this group suffers from acute or chronic constipation. The diagnose of constipation is difficult, because it needs multiple evaluations, inclusive abdominal x-rays and various histories of last defecations time. Laxantives are the treatment of choice for constipation.

#### **Study objective**

The objective of this research is to see if this way of treating a patient ensures a quicker diagnosis, which results in less abdominal pain for the patient.

#### Study design

A randomized control interventional trial with and without treatment

#### Intervention

2 - Does supported faecal production accelerates the final diagnosis in children wit ... 13-05-2025

If there is still doubt after clinical examination and laboratory results (where an additional blood sample is taken) if the patient has appendicitis, then he or she will be randomised. In this study half of the patient group will receive laxantives and clysmata, which is the treatment for constipation. The other half of the group will not receive a treatment in the meantime. Both groups will be asked to come back the next day for a new clinical examination. During the clinical examination the pain score will be measured by using the "comfort scale" or the VAS measurement, also the ammount of use of painkillers will be analyzed

### Study burden and risks

For this study the patients who have constipation benefits from the given intervention, because laxantives and clysmata is the standard treatment for constipation. Laxantives and clysmata are not a burden for the health of the children.

Substudy:

The extra blood sample of 6 ml will be collected with the routine blood sampling which takes place in all children with suspected appendicitis. Therefore, no extra venapuncture is necessary.

# Contacts

**Public** Universitair Medisch Centrum Groningen

Hanzeplein 1 Groningen 9700RB NL

**Scientific** Universitair Medisch Centrum Groningen

Hanzeplein 1 Groningen 9700RB NL

# **Trial sites**

### **Listed location countries**

Netherlands

3 - Does supported faecal production accelerates the final diagnosis in children wit  $\ldots$  13-05-2025

# **Eligibility criteria**

#### Age

Adolescents (12-15 years) Adolescents (16-17 years) Children (2-11 years)

### **Inclusion criteria**

All patients between 5 and 18 years, who are referred by first line health care with the suspicion of acute appendicitis.

### **Exclusion criteria**

Severe co-morbidity like malignancy, resent abdominal surgery and known inflammatory bowl disease. Pregnancy

# Study design

### Design

Study type:	Interventional
Intervention model:	Parallel
Allocation:	Randomized controlled trial
Masking:	Open (masking not used)

Primary purpose: Diagnostic

### Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Recruitment stopped
Start date (anticipated):	11-02-2014
Enrollment:	60
Туре:	Actual

# Medical products/devices used

Product type:	Medicine
Brand name:	Colex clysmata
Generic name:	Sodiumphosphate
Registration:	Yes - NL intended use
Product type:	Medicine
Brand name:	Does not exist
Generic name:	Sorbitol clysmata
Registration:	Yes - NL intended use
Product type:	Medicine
Brand name:	Forlax
Generic name:	Macrogol 4000
Registration:	Yes - NL intended use
Product type:	Medicine
Brand name:	Forlax junior
Generic name:	Macrogol 4000
Registration:	Yes - NL intended use

# **Ethics review**

Approved WMO Date:	16-05-2013
Application type:	First submission
Application type.	
Review commission:	METC Universitair Medisch Centrum Groningen (Groningen)
Approved WMO	
Date:	03-09-2013
Application type:	First submission
Review commission:	METC Universitair Medisch Centrum Groningen (Groningen)
Not approved	
Date:	30-03-2016
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC Universitair Medisch Centrum Groningen (Groningen)

# **Study registrations**

## Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

# Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

### In other registers

Register	ID
EudraCT	EUCTR2013-000498-56-NL
ССМО	NL44710.042.13

# **Study results**

Date completed:	06-11-2017
Actual enrolment:	98