

# Pilot study: The use of PET-MRI in the follow-up of RFA treated colorectal liver metastases

Published: 07-06-2013

Last updated: 24-04-2024

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the ability of PET-MRI to detect LSR during the first year of follow-up after RFA treatment of CRLM as compared with ceCT and PET-CT. Standard reference will be histology (when available) or...

|                              |   |
|------------------------------|---|
| <b>Ethical review</b>        | Approved WMO                                      |
| <b>Status</b>                | Recruitment stopped                               |
| <b>Health condition type</b> | Hepatobiliary neoplasms malignant and unspecified |
| <b>Study type</b>            | Observational non invasive                        |

## Summary

### ID

NL-OMON38868

### Source

ToetsingOnline

### Brief title

PET-MRI after RFA

### Condition

- Hepatobiliary neoplasms malignant and unspecified
- Metastases
- Hepatobiliary therapeutic procedures

### Synonym

Local site recurrence after radiofrequency ablation of colorectal liver metastases; synonyme: treatment failure of radiofrequency ablation of metastases in the liver derived from colorectal carcinoma

### Research involving

Human

## Sponsors and support

**Primary sponsor:** Vrije Universiteit Medisch Centrum

**Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** Ministerie van OC&W

## Intervention

**Keyword:** Catheter Ablation, FDG-PET, Liver Neoplasms/secondary, MRI

## Outcome measures

### Primary outcome

Primary outcome is the ability of PET-MRI in the detection of LSR in the liver compared to ceCT of the liver and PET-CT referred to histological findings or clinical follow-up.

### Secondary outcome

Secondary outcomes are the inter-observer variability, the ability to diagnose new intrahepatic lesions and in what way PET-MRI is able to influence future treatment compared to PET-CT and ceCT. The patients satisfaction concerning the PET-MRI will be examined with a questionnaire.

## Study description

### Background summary

The main area of concern regarding radiofrequency ablation (RFA) or microwave ablation (MWA) of colorectal liver metastases (CRLM) is the risk of developing a local site recurrence (LSR). Imaging plays a key role in diagnosing LSR after RFA or MWA liver treatment. When LSR is diagnosed adequately and timely, optimal effect of repeated (minimal invasive) local treatment may be obtained. So far a contrast enhanced (ce) CT scan is generally used for follow-up, However, post-treatment effects in the ablation margin may complicate an adequate diagnosis of LSR for which the addition of FDG-PET helps to differentiate between metabolic active tumor tissue and inactive post-ablation mass. Therefore an integrated FDG PET-CT is favourable over CT alone and the combination of both is standard of care in our centre. Liver MRI with contrast

outperforms CT in the detection of small hepatic metastases (<1 cm), but its role is not yet established for the follow-up after RFA or MWA. Therefore, the combination of FDG PET to determine metabolic activity with the ability of the MRI to detect small lesions may add to timely and correctly diagnosing a LSR. This may lead to earlier initiation of effective treatment. With the recent launch of PET-MRI, these two advantages can be combined.

## **Study objective**

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the ability of PET-MRI to detect LSR during the first year of follow-up after RFA treatment of CRLM as compared with ceCT and PET-CT. Standard reference will be histology (when available) or clinical follow-up.

## **Study design**

Multi centre pilot study

## **Study burden and risks**

All participating patients will receive follow-up according to normal standards in our hospital (ceCT of the liver and a PET-CT 3-4 monthly in the first year). These imaging modalities are currently leading in the evaluation of local response to RFA and MWA treatment and to diagnose new intra- and extrahepatic metastatic disease. Therefore in this study, the decision on treatment will not be made based on the results of the PET-MRI, but based on PET-CT and ceCT, sometimes in combination with CEA at the surgeons or oncologists discretion. The PET-MRI images will be evaluated separately and results will be compared to PET-CT and ceCT. The additional risks for participating patients with normal renal function, when normal in- and exclusion criteria for MRI research are being followed are limited. There is no increased exposure to radiation and the patients only need a single dose of FDG for both PET-CT and PET-MRI. The risk on nephrogenic systemic fibrosis after use of gadolinium is negligible in patients with adequate renal function. Undergoing a PET-MRI of the liver will cost the participant extra time; 45 minutes extra for scanning protocol. We will put much effort in reducing the waiting time in between scans as much as possible. Travel time may be longer for than usual for patients from the Gelderse Vallei.

## **Contacts**

### **Public**

Vrije Universiteit Medisch Centrum

Boelelaan 1117  
Amsterdam 1081 HV  
NL  
**Scientific**  
Vrije Universiteit Medisch Centrum

Boelelaan 1117  
Amsterdam 1081 HV  
NL

## Trial sites

### Listed location countries

Netherlands

## Eligibility criteria

### Age

Adults (18-64 years)  
Elderly (65 years and older)

### Inclusion criteria

Histological or cytological documentation of primary colorectal tumor  
Radiological or histological prove of one or more CRLM or radiological prove of a LSR after previous RFA/MWA treatment for CRLM  
CRLM or LSR treated with RFA/MWA or RFA/MWA in combination with resection  
Follow-up imaging performed in VUmc medical centre  
Age <sup>3</sup> 18 years  
Life expectancy of at least 1 year  
eGFR > 60 or hydration according to protocol before scanning

### Exclusion criteria

Cirrosis or steatosis  
Chemotherapy \* 6 weeks before scanning (during the entire study)  
Pregnant or breast-feeding subjects  
Allergy to contrast media  
Patients developing recurrent intrahepatic disease that require resection of the ablated lesion  
eGFR < 60, unless hydration according to protocol is possible

General exclusion criteria to undergo MRI

## Study design

### Design

|                  |                            |
|------------------|----------------------------|
| Study phase:     | 2                          |
| Study type:      | Observational non invasive |
| Masking:         | Open (masking not used)    |
| Control:         | Uncontrolled               |
| Primary purpose: | Diagnostic                 |

### Recruitment

|                           |                     |
|---------------------------|---------------------|
| NL                        |                     |
| Recruitment status:       | Recruitment stopped |
| Start date (anticipated): | 15-07-2013          |
| Enrollment:               | 20                  |
| Type:                     | Actual              |

## Ethics review

|                    |                    |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| Approved WMO       |                    |
| Date:              | 07-06-2013         |
| Application type:  | First submission   |
| Review commission: | METC Amsterdam UMC |
| Approved WMO       |                    |
| Date:              | 11-09-2013         |
| Application type:  | Amendment          |
| Review commission: | METC Amsterdam UMC |

## Study registrations

## Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

## Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

## In other registers

| Register           | ID             |
|--------------------|----------------|
| ClinicalTrials.gov | NCT01895673    |
| CCMO               | NL43058.029.13 |