# What should be done in women with an incomplete evacuation of the uterus after treatment with misoprostol for miscarriage? An analysis of costs and effects.

Published: 14-02-2012 Last updated: 19-03-2025

To assess costs and effects of curettage versus expectant management in women with incomplete evacuation of a miscarriage, as diagnosed with sonography, after misoprostol.

Ethical reviewApproved WMOStatusRecruitment stoppedHealth condition typeAbortions and stillbirth

Study type Interventional

# **Summary**

#### ID

NL-OMON39356

#### Source

ToetsingOnline

## **Brief title**

**MisoREST** 

## **Condition**

Abortions and stillbirth

## **Synonym**

miscarriage, retained products of conception

## Research involving

Human

## **Sponsors and support**

**Primary sponsor:** Academisch Medisch Centrum

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: ZonMw

## Intervention

**Keyword:** Cost-effectiveness, Miscarriage, Misoprostol, Vacuum evacation

## **Outcome measures**

## **Primary outcome**

The primary outcome is the success rate in reaching an empty uterus, as substantiated by normal sonographic findings.

## **Secondary outcome**

Secondary outcomes are Quality of Life scores assessed with the SF-36, the need of additional treatments, complications, quality of recovery pain and costs.

# **Study description**

#### **Background summary**

Recent studies have shown that in women with miscarriage misoprostol is a cost-effective alternative for immediate curettage. A problem with misoprostol is that after initial treatment, sonographic findings during follow-up frequently show incomplete evacuation of uterine contents, which often leads to additional interventions, i.e. suction curettage, thereby limiting the benefits of primary misoprostol treatment.

## **Study objective**

To assess costs and effects of curettage versus expectant management in women with incomplete evacuation of a miscarriage, as diagnosed with sonography, after misoprostol.

#### Study design

Multicentre randomized clinical trial with a cost-effectiveness analysis

alongside it.

## Intervention

Curettage versus expectant management.

## Study burden and risks

Participants fill out questionnaires at five points in time, which will take them about 5 minutes every time. Furthermore, a fingerstick will be performed in order to determine Hb.

## **Contacts**

#### **Public**

Academisch Medisch Centrum

Meibergdreef 9 Amsterdam 1105 AZ NL

#### **Scientific**

Academisch Medisch Centrum

Meibergdreef 9 Amsterdam 1105 AZ NL

## **Trial sites**

## **Listed location countries**

**Netherlands** 

# **Eligibility criteria**

## Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

## Inclusion criteria

Patients with a miscarriage treated with misoprostol, and sonographic evidence of remnant of the miscarriage at the follow-up visit 7 days after initial treatment.

## **Exclusion criteria**

Women aged below 18 years

Women with severe vaginal bleeding

Women with severe abdominal pain

Women with fever (> 38.0) or sepsis requiring antibiotic treatment and curettage,

Women with contraindications for curettage

Women with a failed misoprostol-induced miscarriage, as substantiated by the sonographic

finding of an intact gestational sac still in situ.

# Study design

## **Design**

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Parallel

Allocation: Randomized controlled trial

Masking: Open (masking not used)

**Primary purpose:** Treatment

## Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruitment stopped

Start date (anticipated): 04-07-2012

Enrollment: 162

Type: Actual

# **Ethics review**

Approved WMO

Date: 14-02-2012

Application type: First submission

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 30-05-2012

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 01-06-2012

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 06-06-2012

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 18-07-2012

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 20-07-2012

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 12-03-2013

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 05-04-2013

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 21-05-2013

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 25-06-2013

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 19-08-2013

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 04-11-2013

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 15-10-2014

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

# **Study registrations**

## Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

# Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

ID: 28421

Source: Nationaal Trial Register

Title:

## In other registers

Register ID

CCMO NL38637.018.11 OMON NL-OMON28421