# Safety and performance evaluation of the AutoLap system - a feasibility study

Published: 18-12-2012 Last updated: 26-04-2024

The main objectives of this study are to evaluate the safety and performance of the AutoLap system in the following laparoscopic procedures: hernia repair, cholecystectomy, right colectomy, Nissen fundoplication and sigmoidresection. The AutoLap...

Ethical review	Approved WMO
Status	Recruitment stopped
Health condition type	Gastrointestinal signs and symptoms
Study type	Interventional

# Summary

## ID

NL-OMON39368

**Source** ToetsingOnline

**Brief title** Safety and performance of the AutoLap system

## Condition

- Gastrointestinal signs and symptoms
- Gastrointestinal therapeutic procedures

#### Synonym

cholecystolithiasis, colectomie, gallstones, hernia repair, Nissen fundoplication

## Research involving

Human

## **Sponsors and support**

**Primary sponsor:** Medical Surgical technologies Itd **Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** MST Medical Surgical Technologies;Ltd

## Intervention

Keyword: AutoLap, Endoscope, Steering

## **Outcome measures**

#### **Primary outcome**

Performance Evaluation:

- The ability of the AutoLap system to successfully move the laparoscope to the

surgeon's desired position. Success is defined as bringing the laparoscope to

the desired position in at least 85% of the required movements.

Parameters that relate to the performance of an endoscope during endoscopic

surgery

- 1) System set-up time recording
- 2) Average total procedure time recording
- 3) Number of times that the laparoscope was removed for cleaning.
- 4) Usability the AutoLap system usability in laparoscopic procedures will be

assessed by a questionnaire.

#### Secondary outcome

Safety Evaluation:

1) No conversion to open surgery from laparoscopic surgery due to using the

AutoLap system.

2 - Safety and performance evaluation of the AutoLap system - a feasibility study 28-06-2025

2) No AutoLap system related operative complications that require further

clinical intervention.

# **Study description**

#### **Background summary**

During Laparoscopic treatment of surgical diseases an assistant is typically required to hold and position the camera according to the commands of the surgeon.

The assistant\*s ability to anticipate the next camera placement is not always optimal, and communication problems occasionally occur. Holding the camera may also be exhausting in long surgical procedures, leading to fatigue and, potentially, unsteady images. Furthermore, depth perception may be impaired when the surgeon is not directing the camera.

MST has developed the AutoLap system, an active laparoscope positioner. The AutoLap system is designed to hold and position the laparoscope during laparoscopic surgery. When the camera is in position, the AutoLap system holds the laparoscope steady as with any laparoscopic positioner. To maneuver the laparoscope to the desired position, the surgeon presses a single button, which is affixed to his hand or to the surgical instrument.

#### **Study objective**

The main objectives of this study are to evaluate the safety and performance of the AutoLap system in the following laparoscopic procedures: hernia repair, cholecystectomy, right colectomy, Nissen fundoplication and sigmoidresection. The AutoLap system will be evaluated in Smart Joystick mode.

## Study design

Prospective, single arm (non-randomized), multicenter study involving up to 40 subjects in The Netherlands, Israel and Italy, who are planned for the following laparoscopic procedures: hernia repair, cholecystectomy, right colectomy, Nissen fundoplication and sigmoidresection.

#### Intervention

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, hernia repair, right colectomy, Nissen fundoplication and sigmoidresection conform national guidelines

#### Study burden and risks

Minimal/theoretical: damage to internal organs due to unexpected or rude movements of the endoscope potential benefits:

The AutoLap system is designed to enable full control of the surgeon on the desired field of view and of the surgical procedure, thus eliminating the limitation of dis-coordination between the surgeon and the camera holder, possibly providing an image which is more stable, and the ability to maximize the efficacy of the operation room personnel.

# Contacts

**Public** Medical Surgical technologies Itd

kochav yokneam building 5 yokneam illit 20692 IL **Scientific** Medical Surgical technologies Itd

kochav yokneam building 5 yokneam illit 20692 IL

# **Trial sites**

# **Listed location countries**

Netherlands

# **Eligibility criteria**

## Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

## **Inclusion criteria**

Patients between 18 and 85 years of age who were scheduled for elective laparoscopic procedures: cholecystectomy, hernia repair, right colectomy, Nissen fundoplication and

4 - Safety and performance evaluation of the AutoLap system - a feasibility study 28-06-2025

# **Exclusion criteria**

- 1. Previous upper abdominal surgery and contraindications to Pneumoperitoneum.
- 2. Pregnancy.
- 3. Obesity (BMI > 35 Kg/m2).
- 4. Generalized peritonitis.
- 5. Septic shock from cholangitis

# Study design

# Design

Study type: Interventional	
Masking:	Open (masking not used)
Control:	Uncontrolled
Primary purpose:	Treatment

## Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Recruitment stopped
Start date (anticipated):	31-01-2014
Enrollment:	25
Туре:	Actual

## Medical products/devices used

Generic name:	AutoLap System
Registration:	No

# **Ethics review**

Approved WMO	
Date:	18-12-2012
Application type:	First submission

5 - Safety and performance evaluation of the AutoLap system - a feasibility study 28-06-2025

Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	
Date:	26-04-2013
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	
Date:	25-11-2013
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

# **Study registrations**

# Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

## Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

## In other registers

**Register** CCMO **ID** NL41349.100.12