# Long-term treatment for cancer patients with deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism - a randomized open label study

Published: 07-09-2009 Last updated: 04-05-2024

The primary efficacy objective is to evaluate whether LMWH is superior to VKAs in the longterm treatment of symptomatic VTE in cancer patients who completed 6 to 12 months of anticoagulant treatment. Two aspects are important: the efficacy with...

Ethical review Approved WMO

**Status** Recruitment stopped

Health condition type Miscellaneous and site unspecified neoplasms malignant and

unspecified

Study type Interventional

# **Summary**

#### ID

NL-OMON39493

Source

ToetsingOnline

**Brief title** 

Longheva

## **Condition**

- Miscellaneous and site unspecified neoplasms malignant and unspecified
- Embolism and thrombosis

#### **Synonym**

venous thrombosis / clot

## Research involving

Human

## **Sponsors and support**

**Primary sponsor:** Academisch Medisch Centrum

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: Ministerie van OC&W,Leo

Pharma, Leo Pharma

#### Intervention

**Keyword:** anticoagulants, cancer, venous thrombosis

#### **Outcome measures**

#### **Primary outcome**

The primary efficacy outcome is symptomatic recurrent VTE, i.e. the composite of recurrent DVT and fatal or non-fatal PE. The primary efficacy analysis is based on the time to the first symptomatic recurrent VTE event. The principal safety outcome is major bleeding.

## **Secondary outcome**

Not applicable.

# **Study description**

## **Background summary**

Venous thromboembolism (VTE), including deep venous thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE), represents a major cause of morbidity and mortality in cancer patients. The risk of VTE is increased several-fold in patients with cancer with incidences ranging between 4% and 20%. Treatment of VTE aims at preventing recurrent events, including potentially fatal PE, which in turn could reduce the morbidity, use of health care resources and, above all, mortality for cancer patients. Recently, randomized clinical trials and prospective cohort studies in cancer patients with acute VTE have shown that low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) is more effective in preventing VTE recurrences at a comparable bleeding risk as compared to oral anticoagulants. In the large CLOT study, dalteparin treatment was associated with a 50% reduction in recurrent VTE compared to Vitamin K antagonists (VKA). The guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology and the American College of Chest Physicians, and also the recently published Dutch guideline of

the CBO all advice LMWH for acute and long-term treatment in cancer patients with acute VTE. Generally, after six months of LMWH, indefinite anticoagulant therapy is recommended for patients with active cancer, such as those with metastases or receiving chemotherapy, because of the greater risk for recurrent VTE. For most patients, this means lifelong anticoagulant therapy. The relative benefits and risks of continuing LMWH beyond 6 months versus switching to oral VKA are unknown and therefore remain a clinical judgment in the individual patient.

Therefore, there is an urgent need for a randomized trial that directly compares LMWH and VKA as extended anticoagulant treatment in cancer patients to assess which has the best risk-benefit ratio.

## Study objective

The primary efficacy objective is to evaluate whether LMWH is superior to VKAs in the long-term treatment of symptomatic VTE in cancer patients who completed 6 to 12 months of anticoagulant treatment. Two aspects are important: the efficacy with which it prevents recurrent DVT/PE and the bleeding risk.

## Study design

This is a multicenter, randomized, open, superiority trial for efficacy.

Cancer patients with confirmed DVT or PE who have completed 6-12 months of treatment with LMWH are eligible for this trial. After randomization, patients will be allocated to VKA or LMWH. The treatment duration will be 6 months. Allocation to treatment will be done by block randomization via a web based application and will be stratified by country.

All outcomes will be evaluated by a central, blinded, independent adjudication committee. Adjudication results will be the basis for the final analysis. An independent data and safety monitoring board will monitor the patient\*s safety during the study and give recommendations to the executive committee.

For all patients, contacts are scheduled at regular time intervals. Including the screening/randomization visit, 4 visits and one phone call are planned. Patients who are randomized but who did not receive study treatment or did discontinue anticoagulant treatment prematurely will at least be seen at the end of the respective treatment periods. During all contacts, the treatment and the clinical course of the patient will be evaluated using a contact report. Patients with suspected efficacy outcomes or bleeding will undergo confirmatory testing. All outcomes are adjudicated by the central independent adjudication committee.

#### Intervention

Patients will be treated for 6 months with either LMWH or VKA. The type of LMWH

or VKA used, is up to preferences of the local physician and the local guidelines. In case of treatment with VKA, INR values will be monitored. A target INR of 2.5 will be used. LMWH will be used in 2/3 of normal doses (conform the treatment regime in the CLOT study).

## Study burden and risks

There is no additional risk associated with participation, because the decision to give long-term (sometimes life long) anticoagulation has already been made prior to participation in the study. Doctors and patients can then choose between LMWH and VKA, based on the current literature there is no preference for one of them. This study only dictates whether LMWH or VKA should be given. Participation will not interfere with the oncological treatment.

The burden of this study is minimally. Next to the screening/randomization visit, there are only 3 visits and 1 phone call. Visits will take maximally 30 minutes (probably much less) and will probably be interwoven with a standard clinical care.

Information from this study will benefit future patients with cancer and PE or DVT and an indication for long-term anticoagulant treatment.

## **Contacts**

#### **Public**

Academisch Medisch Centrum

Meibergdreef 9 Amsterdam 1100 DD NI

#### **Scientific**

Academisch Medisch Centrum

Meibergdreef 9 Amsterdam 1100 DD NL

## **Trial sites**

## **Listed location countries**

Netherlands

# **Eligibility criteria**

## Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

## Inclusion criteria

- 1. Patients with cancer and confirmed PE or DVT of the leg who have been treated for minimally 6 and maximally 12 months with therapeutic doses of anticoagulants, i.e. LMWH or VKA or a new anticoagulant in a trial
- 2. Written informed consent
- 3. Indication for long-term anticoagulant therapy (e.g. because of metastasized disease, chemotherapy)

## **Exclusion criteria**

- 1.\* 18 years of age or below the legal age of consent as per country specific regulations
- 2. Indications for anticoagulant therapy other than DVT or PE
- 3. Any contraindication listed in the local labeling of enoxaparin, dalteparin, tinzaparin, nadroparin, warfarin, acenocoumarol or fenprocoumon
- 4. Childbearing potential without proper contraceptive measures, pregnancy or breastfeeding
- 5. Life expectancy of less than 3 months

# Study design

## **Design**

Study phase: 3

Study type: Interventional

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Uncontrolled

Primary purpose: Treatment

## Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruitment stopped

Start date (anticipated): 18-01-2010

Enrollment: 220

Type: Actual

## Medical products/devices used

Product type: Medicine

Brand name: clexane

Generic name: enoxaparin

Registration: Yes - NL intended use

Product type: Medicine

Brand name: fragmin

Generic name: dalteparin

Registration: Yes - NL intended use

Product type: Medicine

Brand name: fraxiparin or fraxodi

Generic name: nadroparin

Registration: Yes - NL outside intended use

Product type: Medicine

Brand name: marcoumar

Generic name: phenprocoumon

Registration: Yes - NL intended use

Product type: Medicine

Brand name: sinthrom

Generic name: acenocoumarol

Registration: Yes - NL intended use

# **Ethics review**

Approved WMO

Date: 07-09-2009

Application type: First submission

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 21-07-2010

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 28-06-2011

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 03-09-2013

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

# **Study registrations**

## Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

## Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

## In other registers

Register ID

EudraCT EUCTR2009-015336-15-NL

ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01164046
CCMO NL29462.018.09

# **Study results**

Date completed: 15-11-2013

Actual enrolment: 10

## **Summary results**

Trial is onging in other countries