

# A randomized, Phase III, Multicenter, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study Evaluating the Efficacy and Safety of Onartuzumab (MetMAb) in Combination with Tarceva® (erlotinib) in Patients with Met Diagnostic-Positive Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) Who Have Received Standard Chemotherapy for Advanced or Metastatic Disease

Published: 21-02-2012

Last updated: 26-04-2024

Primary Objective To determine whether the combination of Onartuzumab + \* erlotinib is superior (in terms of OS) to placebo \* erlotinib after standard platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with Met diagnostic\*positive non\*small cell lung cancer (...)

<b>Ethical review</b>	Approved WMO
<b>Status</b>	Recruitment stopped
<b>Health condition type</b>	Respiratory and mediastinal neoplasms malignant and unspecified
<b>Study type</b>	Interventional

## Summary

### ID

NL-OMON39593

### Source

ToetsingOnline

### Brief title

OAM4971g

## Condition

- Respiratory and mediastinal neoplasms malignant and unspecified

### Synonym

Incurable lung cancer, Non-Small Cellular Lung Cancer

### Research involving

Human

## Sponsors and support

**Primary sponsor:** Roche Registration Ltd.

**Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** Farmaceutische industrie

## Intervention

**Keyword:** Met Diagnostic-positive Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC), MetMab, Phase III, Tarceva® (erlotinib)

## Outcome measures

### Primary outcome

Primary Efficacy Endpoint: Overall Survival

OS is defined as the time from randomization to death due to any cause. Data

for patients who are not reported as having died at the time of analysis will

be censored at the date when they were last known to be alive. The data cutoff

is planned for the final analysis when 364 death events have occurred.

The treatment comparison of OS will be based on a stratified log-rank test at

the 0.025 level of significance (one-sided) for all ITT patients. The

stratification factors are Met expression (2 \* vs. 3 \*), prior lines of therapy

(1 vs. 2), histology (nonsquamous vs. squamous), and EGFR activating mutation

status (yes vs. no) and will be based on data collected on eCRFs. Results from

an unstratified log rank test will also be presented. Kaplan\*Meier methodology

will be used to estimate median OS for each treatment arm, and the Kaplan\*Meier

curve will be constructed to provide a visual description of the difference between the treatment arms. Estimates of the treatment effect will be expressed as hazard ratios (HRs) with use of a stratified Cox model, including 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

## **Secondary outcome**

### Secondary Efficacy Endpoints

\* If the primary endpoint of OS is statistically significant at a one-sided 2.5% significance level, the secondary endpoints of PFS and ORR will be tested in order (PFS followed by ORR), each at a one-sided 2.5% significance level.

PFS

ORR

PROs

\* Exploratory Efficacy Outcome Measures

DCR based on tumor responses (based on RECIST v1.1)

DOR (based on RECIST v1.1)

Changes in ECG measures from baseline, including QT/QTc intervals, at Cycle 4 and as clinically indicated; C-QTc assessment as applicable.

## **Study description**

### **Background summary**

EGFR inhibitors are increasingly used as therapy for human cancers, but development of resistance presents a challenge. Recently, genetic amplification and over-expression of Met have been implicated in driving resistance to EGFR inhibitors, both in NSCLC cell lines and in patients (Engelman et al. 2007). Met or EGFR signaling reinforces activation of the other pathway. These data suggest that Met and EGFR cooperate to drive tumor growth and survival and

therefore support a strong rationale for combining Met and EGFR inhibitors in the clinic.

The Phase I study evaluating Onartuzumab in advanced solid malignancies (Study OAM4224g) showed that the drug was tolerable up to 30 mg/kg, with only a single DLT of Grade 3 pyrexia occurring at 4 mg/kg (Moss et al. 2010).

The Phase II randomized trial of erlotinib ± Onartuzumab (IV 15 mg/kg, Q3W) in previously treated patients with advanced NSCLC (Study OAM4558g) showed that patients whose tumors were Met diagnostic positive had an improvement in PFS (stratified HR = 0.53; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.28, 0.99) and in OS (stratified HR = 0.37; 95% CI, 0.19, 0.72), while patients who were Met diagnostic negative showed a worse outcome in PFS (stratified HR = 1.82; 95% CI, 0.99, 3.32) and in OS (stratified HR = 1.78; 95% CI, 0.79, 3.99) (Spigel et al. 2011). The toxicity profile for erlotinib ± Onartuzumab treatment was similar to that for erlotinib alone, with the exception of peripheral edema in patients who received the combination treatment.

These results suggest that Onartuzumab should be further evaluated as second- or third-line treatment in Met diagnostic\*positive NSCLC.

## **Study objective**

### **Primary Objective**

To determine whether the combination of Onartuzumab + \* erlotinib is superior (in terms of OS) to placebo \* erlotinib after standard platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with Met diagnostic\*positive non\*small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)

### **Secondary Objectives**

- \* To evaluate and compare the efficacy in terms of progression-free survival (PFS) and (objective response rate) ORR between the two treatment groups
- \* To evaluate and compare the safety and tolerability of Onartuzumab +\* erlotinib versus placebo \* erlotinib in patients with Met diagnostic\*positive NSCLC
- \* To evaluate the impact of Onartuzumab on patient reported outcome (PRO) measures of quality of life
- \* To evaluate the pharmacokinetics of Onartuzumab
- \* To evaluate serum levels and incidence of anti-therapeutic antibodies (ATAs) against Onartuzumab and to explore the potential relationship of the immunogenicity response with pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy

## **Study design**

This is a randomized, Phase III, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled study designed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of Onartuzumab in

combination with erlotinib as compared with treatment with erlotinib alone in patients with incurable NSCLC identified to be Met diagnostic positive. Tumor specimens from patients meeting eligibility criteria will be prospectively tested for Met receptor expression by the Ventana anti-Total c MET (SP44) rabbit monoclonal antibody IHC assay and for EGFR activating mutation status by the RMS cobas\* EGFR Mutation Test. Only patients with Met diagnostic\*positive tumors will be enrolled. Eligible patients will be stratified by Met expression (clinical score of 2 \* vs. 3 \*), number of prior lines of therapy (1 vs. 2), histology (nonsquamous vs. squamous), and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)\*activating mutation status (yes vs. no). Patients will be randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive either Onartuzumab + \* erlotinib or placebo \* erlotinib on a 21-day cycle. Approximately 490 patients are planned to be enrolled. Treatment will continue until disease progression, unacceptable toxicity, patient or physician decision to discontinue, or death. Assessment for tumor response will occur every 6 weeks with use of Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors version 1.1 (RECIST v1.1). Tumor response data collection will continue if the patient ends treatment prior to disease progression. Follow up data capture, including subsequent anti-cancer therapies, will continue for each patient until death or discontinuation from the study. An independent Data Monitoring Committee (IDMC) will monitor safety data. One interim analysis of efficacy and futility is planned for when approximately 67% of the total overall survival (OS) events have been observed.

## **Intervention**

- Arm A: erlotinib and Onartuzumab
- Arm B: erlotinib and placebo (an inactive substance)

On Day 1 of each cycle, the subject will receive either Onartuzumab or placebo (depending on the treatment arm to which you are assigned) administered intravenously (into the vein). For the first cycle, the intravenous dose of study drug will occur over about 60 minutes; subsequent doses may be decreased to about 30 minutes, depending on how the subject tolerates the first dose. The dose of study drug will be based on weight at screening and will remain the same throughout the study.

On Day 1, the subject will be given a sufficient amount of erlotinib tablets for the entire cycle of 21 days, with instructions on how to take the drug at home. The subject will take a 150 mg tablet by mouth with approximately 200 mL (6\*8 ounces) of water on an empty stomach every day (either 1 hour before or 2 hours after eating) for the entire time he/she is participating in the study.

## **Study burden and risks**

Please refer to appendix A of the protocol for an overview of all study visits and procedures.

## Summary of procedures:

- 7x Vital signs
- 7x Physical examination
- 7x Pregnancy Test
- 8x Urine testing
- 6x Administration of study drug
- 3x ECG
- 9x Tumor assessment: CT- / MRI-scan
- 9x Additional blood samples
- 9x Quality of Questionnaires (3)

## This study can have the following side-effects:

### Onartuzumab side effects

The initial experience with Onartuzumab in humans was evaluated in a clinical research study that tested the safety of increasing Onartuzumab doses in patients. The most common side effects reported in at least 10% of the patients receiving Onartuzumab were fatigue, peripheral edema (swelling), nausea, low blood albumin (a protein). The less common side effects reported in less than 10% of the patients receiving Onartuzumab were vomiting, loss of appetite, muscle spasms, abdominal pain, weight gain, fever, low blood sodium, AST (liver function test) high.

Results from a clinical research study evaluating the activity of Onartuzumab in combination with erlotinib in patients with NSCLC showed that this combination resulted in a higher rate (more than 10% difference) of edema compared with erlotinib alone. Results from this study were also analyzed with respect to the expression levels of the Met protein (i.e., levels of the Met protein that each patient's cells are producing). In patients whose tumors had higher levels of the Met expression (as in this study), the addition of MetMAB resulted in an increase (\* 10% difference) in abdominal pain and edema.

The most common side effects reported in \* 10% of the patients receiving Onartuzumab in combination with erlotinib were rash, infections diarrhea, nausea, peripheral edema (swelling), loss of appetite, shortness of breath, acne-like dermatitis, cough, fever, anemia (low red blood cell count), insomnia (trouble sleeping), weakness, dry skin and back pain.

### Erlotinib Side Effects

Side effects that have been reported by at least 10% of patients receiving erlotinib include skin rash, diarrhea, fatigue, loss of appetite, shortness of breath, cough, nausea, infection, vomiting. Mouth sores, itchy skin, dry skin, eye irritation, dry eyes and stomach pain.

## Contacts

### Public

Roche Registration Ltd.

1 DNA Way MS# 241B  
South San Francisco, CA 94080-4990  
US

### Scientific

Roche Registration Ltd.

1 DNA Way MS# 241B  
South San Francisco, CA 94080-4990  
US

## Trial sites

### Listed location countries

Netherlands

## Eligibility criteria

### Age

Adults (18-64 years)

Elderly (65 years and older)

### Inclusion criteria

- \* ECOG performance status of 0\*1
- \* Stage IIIb/IV NSCLC
- \* Tumor sample to be centrally tested for Met and EGFR (tumor results must be Met diagnostic-positive)
- \* Prior treatment with at least one platinum-based line of treatment for locally advanced, unresectable / inoperable disease or metastatic disease, and no more than one additional line of chemotherapy treatment

### Exclusion criteria

- \* More than 30 days with an EGFR inhibitor (such as gefitinib, erlotinib, cetuximab)

- \* Pleural effusion, pericardial fluid, or ascites requiring drainage every other week or more frequently
- \* Brain metastasis or spinal cord compression unless treated and stable
- \* Another malignancy in the past 5 years except for appropriately treated carcinoma in situ of the cervix, non-melanoma skin carcinoma, Stage I uterine cancer, or other malignancies with an expected curative outcome
- \* Life expectancy less than 12 weeks
- \* Abnormal granulocytes, platelets, hemoglobin
- \* Abnormal serum AST (SGOT), ALT (SGPT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), bilirubin, albumin, calcium, creatinine
- \* Significant history of cardiac disease
- \* Serious active infection or other serious medical conditions
- \* Inflammatory eye changes
- \* Clinically significant gastrointestinal abnormalities
- \* Unable to take oral medication, need for intravenous feeding, poor absorption, or active peptic ulcer disease
- \* Symptomatic hypercalcemia requiring bisphosphonate therapy
- \* Patients with uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, as evidenced by fasting serum glucose level > 200 mg/dL
- \* Recent major surgery

## Study design

### Design

Study phase:	3
Study type:	Interventional
Intervention model:	Parallel
Allocation:	Randomized controlled trial
Masking:	Double blinded (masking used)
Control:	Placebo
Primary purpose:	Treatment

### Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Recruitment stopped
Start date (anticipated):	26-10-2012
Enrollment:	10
Type:	Actual



## Medical products/devices used

Product type:	Medicine
Brand name:	Onartuzumab / MetMAb
Generic name:	Onartuzumab
Product type:	Medicine
Brand name:	Tarceva
Generic name:	Erlotinib
Registration:	Yes - NL intended use

## Ethics review

Approved WMO	
Date:	21-02-2012
Application type:	First submission
Review commission:	METC academisch ziekenhuis Maastricht/Universiteit Maastricht, METC azM/UM (Maastricht)

Approved WMO	
Date:	11-06-2012
Application type:	First submission
Review commission:	METC academisch ziekenhuis Maastricht/Universiteit Maastricht, METC azM/UM (Maastricht)

Approved WMO	
Date:	07-08-2012
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC academisch ziekenhuis Maastricht/Universiteit Maastricht, METC azM/UM (Maastricht)

Approved WMO	
Date:	02-08-2013
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC academisch ziekenhuis Maastricht/Universiteit Maastricht, METC azM/UM (Maastricht)

Approved WMO	
Date:	14-08-2013
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC academisch ziekenhuis Maastricht/Universiteit Maastricht, METC azM/UM (Maastricht)

Approved WMO	
Date:	15-10-2013
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC academisch ziekenhuis Maastricht/Universiteit Maastricht, METC azM/UM (Maastricht)
Approved WMO	
Date:	24-10-2013
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC academisch ziekenhuis Maastricht/Universiteit Maastricht, METC azM/UM (Maastricht)
Approved WMO	
Date:	18-12-2013
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC academisch ziekenhuis Maastricht/Universiteit Maastricht, METC azM/UM (Maastricht)
Approved WMO	
Date:	23-12-2013
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC academisch ziekenhuis Maastricht/Universiteit Maastricht, METC azM/UM (Maastricht)
Approved WMO	
Date:	22-07-2014
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC academisch ziekenhuis Maastricht/Universiteit Maastricht, METC azM/UM (Maastricht)
Approved WMO	
Date:	05-08-2014
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC academisch ziekenhuis Maastricht/Universiteit Maastricht, METC azM/UM (Maastricht)
Approved WMO	
Date:	20-01-2015
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC academisch ziekenhuis Maastricht/Universiteit Maastricht, METC azM/UM (Maastricht)
Approved WMO	
Date:	22-01-2015
Application type:	Amendment

Review commission:

METC academisch ziekenhuis Maastricht/Universiteit  
Maastricht, METC azM/UM (Maastricht)

## Study registrations

### Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

### Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

### In other registers

Register	ID
EudraCT	EUCTR2011-002224-40-NL
ClinicalTrials.gov	NCT01456325
CCMO	NL39298.068.12