A Randomized, Comparative Trial of Two Posterior Lamellar Keratoplasty Techniques.

Ultrathin Descemet Stripping Automated Endothelial Keratoplasty (UTDSAEK) versus Descemet Membrane Endothelial Keratoplasty (DMEK).

Published: 11-12-2014 Last updated: 21-04-2024

To demonstrate that DMEK is superior to DSAEK with respect to VA.

Ethical review Approved WMO

Status Recruitment stopped

Health condition type Ocular structural change, deposit and degeneration NEC

Study type Interventional

Summary

ID

NL-OMON41108

Source

ToetsingOnline

Brief title

UTDSAEK & DMEK

Condition

Ocular structural change, deposit and degeneration NEC

Synonym

Fuchs' endothelial dystrophy

Research involving

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: Oogziekenhuis Rotterdam

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: ZonMW

Intervention

Keyword: Donor graft preparation, Fuchs' endothelial dystrophy, Lamellar keratoplasty

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

Number of letters gained at 12 months.

Secondary outcome

LogMAR Best Corrected Visual Acuity (BCVA) at 1, 3, 6, 12 months.

Rate of vision recovery.

Contrast sensitivity and stray light at 1, 3, 6 and 12 months.

RMS (root mean square) of Zernike polynomials of total high order aberrations.

Quality of vision questionnaire at 1, 3, 6 and 12 months.

Endothelial cell density of the grafts at 6 and 12 months.

Number of graft detachments.

Number of graft failures.

OT time and costs.

Study description

Background summary

With advanced stages of Fuchs* endothelial dystrophy (FED), keratoplasty is the only manner to restore vision. Although lamellar techniques, nowadays, are generally prefered, there is an ongoing debate whether Ultrathin Descemet

Stripping Automated Endothelial Keratoplasty (UTDSAEK) or Descemet Membrane Endothelial Keratoplasty (DMEK) should be the procedure of choice in FED.

Study objective

To demonstrate that DMEK is superior to DSAEK with respect to VA.

Study design

Randomised, comparative.

Intervention

UTDSAEK or DMEK.

Study burden and risks

The outcome of DMEK may be more favorable but the risk of detachment is higher. Assessments for this study are non-invasive and inconvenience is negligible, extra time required is approximately 1 hour per visit (5X).

Contacts

Public

Oogziekenhuis Rotterdam

Schiedamse Vest 180 Rotterdam 3011 BH NL

Scientific

Oogziekenhuis Rotterdam

Schiedamse Vest 180 Rotterdam 3011 BH NL

Trial sites

Listed location countries

Netherlands

Eligibility criteria

Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

Inclusion criteria

Age * 18 years. Informed consent. Fuchs* endothelial dystrophy. VA < 0.6 (Snellen).

Exclusion criteria

Unable to attend the FU visits.

Previous keratoplasty in the eye to be included.

Severe progressive glaucoma (stable glaucoma on topical therapy is excepted).

History of retinal surgery, glaucoma surgery or age related macular disease.

Amblyopia.

Expected postoperative VA < 0.6.

Corneal neovascularisation > 1 quadrant.

Indication for typed graft.

Study design

Design

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Parallel

Allocation: Randomized controlled trial

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Active

Primary purpose: Health services research

Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruitment stopped

Start date (anticipated): 14-09-2015

Enrollment: 80

Type: Actual

Ethics review

Approved WMO

Date: 11-12-2014

Application type: First submission

Review commission: METC Erasmus MC, Universitair Medisch Centrum Rotterdam

(Rotterdam)

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

Register ID

CCMO NL50956.078.14