

# Palliation of Obstructive Local Disease of the Esophagus by Radiotherapy

Published: 02-02-2015

Last updated: 21-04-2024

The aim of this study is to compare the palliative effect of external beam radiotherapy with the palliative effect of intraluminal brachytherapy in the palliation of patients with obstruction of incurable oesophageal cancer. The outcome of the study...

<b>Ethical review</b>	Approved WMO
<b>Status</b>	Will not start
<b>Health condition type</b>	Gastrointestinal neoplasms malignant and unspecified
<b>Study type</b>	Interventional

## Summary

### ID

NL-OMON42160

### Source

ToetsingOnline

### Brief title

POLDER study

### Condition

- Gastrointestinal neoplasms malignant and unspecified

### Synonym

esophageal cancer, esophageal carcinoma

### Research involving

Human

### Sponsors and support

**Primary sponsor:** Academisch Medisch Centrum

**Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** KWF subsidie voor datamanagement is aangevraagd

## Intervention

**Keyword:** dysphagia, esophageal neoplasm, palliative care, radiotherapy

## Outcome measures

### Primary outcome

Improvement of dysphagia on the dysphagia scale according to Mellow en Pinkas ,  
without reintervention.

### Secondary outcome

Duration of palliation of dysphagia

overall survival

quality of life

complication risk

## Study description

### Background summary

National guidelines for the preferred treatment of patients with obstructive symptoms of oesophageal cancer differ between countries by the lack of randomised trials. Intraluminal brachytherapy is the advocated treatment according to the Dutch guidelines for patients with a life expectancy of more than 3 months. However external beam radiotherapy is mostly used in daily clinical practice in the Netherlands and is mostly advocated in foreign guidelines.

### Study objective

The aim of this study is to compare the palliative effect of external beam radiotherapy with the palliative effect of intraluminal brachytherapy in the palliation of patients with obstruction of incurable oesophageal cancer. The outcome of the study will reveal the best radiation treatment option for patients with obstructive symptoms and will improve treatment uniformity.

### Study design

open, randomised study.

### **Intervention**

One group will be treated with external beam radiotherapy in 5 fractions of 4 Gy whereas the other group will be treated with intraluminal brachytherapy in one fraction of 12 Gy.

### **Study burden and risks**

No additional risks compared to the two standard treatment options. Both treatments can give short term increasement of pain during swallowing. Strictures, filsula formation, bleeding, ulceration and fever can occur in both treatments.

## **Contacts**

### **Public**

Academisch Medisch Centrum

Meibergdreef 9  
Amsterdam 1105 AZ  
NL

### **Scientific**

Academisch Medisch Centrum

Meibergdreef 9  
Amsterdam 1105 AZ  
NL

## **Trial sites**

### **Listed location countries**

Netherlands

## **Eligibility criteria**

### **Age**

Adults (18-64 years)

Elderly (65 years and older)

## Inclusion criteria

Histological proven large cell carcinoma of the esophagus  
M+ disease stage or patients otherwise not a candidate for curative locoregional treatment  
Life expectancy \* 3 months  
Dysphagia grade \*2  
Written study-specific informed consent at the time of registration

## Exclusion criteria

Age <18 years  
Pregnancy  
Macro- or microscopic tumour growth into the tracheal lumen, or suspicion of ingrowth.  
Tumour length of more than 12 cm, including multifocal tumors over a length of more than 12 cm.  
Prior radiotherapy to the oesophagus/mediastinum more than 20 Gy or any prior brachytherapy to the oesophagus.  
(partial) resection of the oesophagus  
Chemotherapy for esophageal cancer <6 weeks prior to (or during) radiotherapy  
Esophageal stent in situ  
Tumoral extension of > 4 cm in the cardia of the stomach  
CT-thorax more than 3 months before start of treatment  
Intraluminal brachytherapy medically or technically not possible  
Inability to understand the nature and possible consequences of the study or unwilling to undergo follow-up assessments.

## Study design

### Design

**Study type:** Interventional

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Uncontrolled

Primary purpose: Treatment

### Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status:	Will not start
Enrollment:	210
Type:	Anticipated

## Ethics review

Approved WMO	
Date:	02-02-2015
Application type:	First submission
Review commission:	METC Amsterdam UMC
Approved WMO	
Date:	26-02-2015
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC Amsterdam UMC
Approved WMO	
Date:	06-03-2015
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC Amsterdam UMC
Approved WMO	
Date:	13-03-2015
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC Amsterdam UMC

## Study registrations

### Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

### Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

## In other registers

### Register

CCMO

### ID

NL50774.018.14