

# 10 to 15 year follow-up after distal radius fracture. A retrspective study on the relation between anatmical position and radiological osteoarthritis

Published: 20-04-2016

Last updated: 17-04-2024

The primary objectives are to assess the degree of OA that patients develop 10 to15 year after a DRF, find out whether there is there is a difference in degree of osteoarthritis between conservative treated patients with and without an indication...

<b>Ethical review</b>	Approved WMO
<b>Status</b>	Recruitment stopped
<b>Health condition type</b>	Bone and joint injuries
<b>Study type</b>	Observational non invasive

## Summary

### ID

NL-OMON43457

### Source

ToetsingOnline

### Brief title

10 to 15 year follow-up after distal radius fracture

### Condition

- Bone and joint injuries
- Fractures
- Bone and joint therapeutic procedures

### Synonym

degenerative joint disease, degenerative osteoarthritis

### Research involving

Human

## Sponsors and support

**Primary sponsor:** Reinier de Graaf Groep

**Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** vakgroep orthopedie

## Intervention

**Keyword:** Anatomical position, Distal radius fracture, Elderly, Osteoarthritis

## Outcome measures

### Primary outcome

Difference in degree of osteoarthritis

### Secondary outcome

- Wrist dysfunction/pain
- SLAC.
- Radial inclination
- Palmar tilt
- Radial length difference and loss
- Intra articular step off
- Distal radioulnar joint instability
- Intercarpal angles (DISI or VISI)
- Scapholunate distance.
- Signet ring sign
- Radiocarpal instability
- SLD

## Study description

### Background summary

2 - 10 to 15 year follow-up after distal radius fracture. A retrspective study on ... 24-05-2025

Distal radius fractures (DRF) are common in emergency rooms and the incidence of DRFs is expected to increase with the ageing of the population and the increased life expectancy. However there is still much controversy in the treatment of DRFs. Since the development of volar plating with fixed angle screws the tendency to perform surgery as a primary treatment has increased when a DRF is dislocated. It is generally thought that restoring the anatomical position will lead to less osteoarthritis (OA). However, it is not completely clear if this is right. Through this retrospective study the relation between (the degree of) radiological OA and achieving an anatomical position will be studied.

## **Study objective**

The primary objectives are to assess the degree of OA that patients develop 10 to 15 years after a DRF, find out whether there is a difference in degree of osteoarthritis between conservatively treated patients with and without an indication for surgery nowadays of the non-anatomical position of their distal radius fracture and compare the degree of OA between the fractured and contralateral wrist.

Our secondary objective is to measure the functional outcome after treatment, assess the prevalence of OA in our cohort, study the difference in degree of OA between patients with the same non-anatomical position but different treatment (operative versus conservative) and assess the prevalence of SLD in our cohort.

## **Study design**

This retrospective pilot study, with a follow-up of 10 to 15 years, is a continuation of a pilot study. All patients diagnosed with DRF between 2001 and 2005, who were between the age of 50-70 years at that time, will be included. Initial treatment and X-ray will be reassessed. The patients with an anatomical position of their wrist fracture will be in group 1. The second group will consist of all the other patients who did not have an anatomical position. Group 2 will then be subdivided in three groups. Group 2a will consist of patients who, under the current guidelines would have received the same conservative treatment. Group 2b will consist of patients who would be operated under the current guidelines but were nevertheless treated conservatively at the time of trauma. Group 2c will consist of patients who would be operated under the current guidelines and were also operated at that time. To study the difference between OA of the fractured and contralateral wrist, the non-fractured wrist of all patients will be assessed as well.

## **Study burden and risks**

The patients will have to come to the hospital once for the questionnaire, four X-rays of their wrists and a physical

examination of the wrists. The dosage of radiation exposure is approximately 4 x 0.001 mSv which is a negligible dosage. In contrast: the yearly exposure to radiation from natural sources is about 2 mSv. The radiation exposure due to the wrist X-rays represents about 1/500 of a normal yearly exposure.

## Contacts

### Public

Reinier de Graaf Groep

Reinier de Graafweg 3

Delft 2625 AD

NL

### Scientific

Reinier de Graaf Groep

Reinier de Graafweg 3

Delft 2625 AD

NL

## Trial sites

### Listed location countries

Netherlands

## Eligibility criteria

### Age

Adults (18-64 years)

Elderly (65 years and older)

### Inclusion criteria

Able to speak, read and write Dutch or English.

Diagnosed with a distal radius fracture between 2001 and 2005 at an age between 50 and 70 years.

## Exclusion criteria

Deceased

Unable to understand or answer the questionnaires, irrespective of the reason

Unwilling to participate

Unable to find primary X-rays in the archive

Distal radius fracture both left and right

Fractured the same distal radius twice

## Study design

### Design

**Study type:** Observational non invasive

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Uncontrolled

Primary purpose: Diagnostic

### Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruitment stopped

Start date (anticipated): 13-05-2016

Enrollment: 202

Type: Actual

## Ethics review

Approved WMO

Date: 20-04-2016

Application type: First submission

Review commission: METC Leiden-Den Haag-Delft (Leiden)

metc-ldd@lumc.nl

## Study registrations

### Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

### Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

### In other registers

Register	ID
CCMO	NL56566.098.16