# Ticagrelor or prasugrel versus clopidogrel in elderly patients with an acute coronary syndrome and a high bleeding risk: optimization of antiplatelet treatment in high-risk elderly

Published: 06-06-2013 Last updated: 25-04-2024

To assess the safety, efficacy and net clinical benefit of clopidogrel versus the new antiplatelet drugs i.e. ticagrelor and prasugrel in patients older than 70 years.

Ethical reviewApproved WMOStatusRecruitment stoppedHealth condition typeCoronary artery disorders

Study type Interventional

# **Summary**

#### ID

NL-OMON47836

Source

ToetsingOnline

**Brief title** 

POPular AGE

## **Condition**

· Coronary artery disorders

## **Synonym**

coronary artery disease

## **Research involving**

Human

Sponsors and support

**Primary sponsor:** Sint Antonius Ziekenhuis

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: ZonMw

Intervention

**Keyword:** Bleeding, Elderly, NSTEMI, UA

**Outcome measures** 

**Primary outcome** 

The first primary endpoint is the occurrence of any bleeding episode at 1 year

after randomisation and second primary endpoint is the net clinical benefit at

1 year after randomisation.

**Secondary outcome** 

Secondary safety endpoints are bleeding events either CABG related or non-CABG

related, according to TIMI (10), PLATO (2), GUSTO (11), and BARC (12)

classification and composite of bleeding events within each classification at

30 days and 1 year after randomisation.

Secondary net clinical benefit endpoints are composites of death from any cause

other than vascular or bleeding causes, death from vascular causes, death from

bleeding causes (= fatal bleeding), MI (13), stroke, UA, TIA, other arterial

thrombosis, PLATO major and minor bleeding at 30 days and 1 year after

randomisation.

Secondary efficacy endpoints are death from any cause, death from

cardiovascular causes, death from cerebrovascular causes MI (13), stent

2 - Ticagrelor or prasugrel versus clopidogrel in elderly patients with an acute cor ... 31-05-2025

thrombosis as defined by ARC (14), UR, rehospitalization for ACS, stroke, TIA or composites of these endpoints at 30 days and 1 year after randomisation.

Secondary endpoints in terms of quality of life are measurements obtained with EuroQol 5D and SF36 questionnaires and frailty by using the Groningen Frailty Indicator at one month and one year.

A secondary endpoint is the number of patients in whom the antiplatelet drug is prematurely discontinued or switched to another drug leading to a cross-over.

Tertiary endpoints would be the evaluation of genetic variants on the response to clopidogrel and prasugrel or ticagrelor in terms of efficacy and safety in a candidate gene approach, Genome Wide Association Study or (next generation) sequencing.

Tertiary endpoint would be the evaluation of frailty according to the Groningen Frailty indicator (GFI \* 4 would indicate a frail patient).

# **Study description**

## **Background summary**

Dual antiplatelet therapy is crucial in patients with an acute coronary syndrome (ACS) to prevent artherothrombotic events. The recent guideline of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) based on PLATO en TRITON studies, recommends ticagrelor or prasugrel, which also give more bleeding complications. However, the representation of the elderly in clinical trials is low and in a subgroup analysis of patients 75 years of age statistical

significance was not reached. The ESC guideline advises the use of the CRUSADE risk score for risk stratification. However, based on the currently available data it is not clear which antiplatelet treatment should be initiated in those patients with a high bleeding risk, who also appear to have the highest atherothrombotic risk.

## Study objective

To assess the safety, efficacy and net clinical benefit of clopidogrel versus the new antiplatelet drugs i.e. ticagrelor and prasugrel in patients older than 70 years.

## Study design

Randomized, controlled, open label, multicenter study

#### Intervention

Patients randomized to clopidogrel will receive 75 mg daily for one year. Those randomized to the new antiplatelet drugs will be treated with either ticagrelor 90 mg twice daily or prasugrel 10 mg daily (prasugrel 5 mg in patients \*75 years or weight \* 60 kg), according to hospital\*s local standards. The follow-up duration will be 1 year

## Study burden and risks

The burden for patients participating in the study is that patients will be contacted twice during the study; 3 months and 12 months after initial diagnosis to fill out a questionnaire, to get information regarding the use of antiplatelet drugs, changes in prescribed drugs and medical condition. At one month and 12 months patients will also be requested to fill out EuroQol 5D and SF36 questionnaires to assess quality of life and the Groningen Frailty Indicator to assess frailty. The patient may be contacted by telephone if this is necessary to complete follow-up data.

# **Contacts**

### **Public**

Sint Antonius Ziekenhuis

Koekoekslaan 1 Nieuwegein 3430 EM NL

#### **Scientific**

4 - Ticagrelor or prasugrel versus clopidogrel in elderly patients with an acute cor ... 31-05-2025

Sint Antonius Ziekenhuis

Koekoekslaan 1 Nieuwegein 3430 EM NL

# **Trial sites**

## **Listed location countries**

**Netherlands** 

# **Eligibility criteria**

### Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

## Inclusion criteria

- 1) At least 70 years of age.
- 2) Hospitalization for NSTEMI or unstable angina according to the criteria of the ESC guideline.

## **Exclusion criteria**

- 1) Contraindication to P2Y12 inhibitors i.e. clopidogrel, prasugrel or ticagrelor:
- Hypersensitivity to the active substance or to any of the excipients.
- History of intracranial bleeding or active pathological bleeding such as peptic ulcer or intracranial haemorrhage.
- Moderate to severe (Child-Pugh C) hepatic dysfunction.
- Use of strong CYP3A4 inhibitors (i.e. itraconazole, voriconazole, ketoconazole, erytromycin, clarithromycin, rifampicin, nefozodone, lopinavir, carbamazepine, fenytoïne, fenobarbital, ritonavir en atazanavir).
- 2) Unable or unwilling to give informed consent or have a life expectancy of less than one year.
- 3) Having received thrombolytic therapy within the previous 24 hours.
- 4) Severe renal function impairment needing dialysis.
- 5) Confirmed or persistent severe hypertension (Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) > 180 mmHg and/or Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP) > 110 mmHg) at randomization.
- 6) At increased bleeding risk, at the investigator\*s opinion, i.e. because of malignancy.
  - 5 Ticagrelor or prasugrel versus clopidogrel in elderly patients with an acute cor ... 31-05-2025

- 7) Cardiogenic shock (SBP \* 80mmHg for >30 mins) or Intra-Aortic Balloon Pump (IABP) at the time of screening.
- 8) History of major surgery, severe trauma, fracture or organ biopsy within 90 days prior to randomisation.
- 9) Clinically significant out of range values for platelet count or haemoglobin at screening, in the investigator\*s opinion.
- 10) ACS under dual antiplatelet therapy, e.g. aspirin with a P2Y12 inhibitor; clopidogrel, prasugrel, ticagrelor.
- 11) Patients with a known CYP2C19 genotype at the time of randomization.

# Study design

# **Design**

Study phase: 4

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Parallel

Allocation: Randomized controlled trial

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Active

Primary purpose: Health services research

## Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruitment stopped

Start date (anticipated): 08-07-2013

Enrollment: 1000
Type: Actual

# Medical products/devices used

Product type: Medicine

Brand name: Brilique

Generic name: Ticagrelor

Registration: Yes - NL intended use

Product type: Medicine

Brand name: Efient

Generic name: Prasugrel

Registration: Yes - NL intended use

Product type: Medicine

Brand name: Plavix

Generic name: Clopidogrel

Registration: Yes - NL intended use

# **Ethics review**

Approved WMO

Date: 06-06-2013

Application type: First submission

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 25-07-2013

Application type: First submission

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 22-11-2013

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 04-03-2014

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 11-03-2014

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 13-06-2014

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 28-12-2015

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 02-06-2016

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 25-07-2016

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 13-01-2017

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 20-01-2017

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 13-07-2017

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 25-01-2018

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 28-02-2018
Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 20-04-2018

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 27-02-2019
Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 28-03-2019

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 03-04-2019
Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

(Nieuwegein)

# **Study registrations**

# Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

# Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

# In other registers

Register ID

EudraCT EUCTR2013-001403-37-NL

CCMO NL44128.100.13

Other TC = 3991