# Comparison between the Manometric brace and conventional plaster braces for patients with osteoarthritis of the trapeziometacarpal joint: a randomised crossover trial

Published: 19-02-2019 Last updated: 12-04-2024

The aim of this randomised crossover trial is to compare the Manometric TMC brace with conventional plaster braces in terms of patient satisfaction, pain, hand function, compliance and patient preference.

**Ethical review** Approved WMO

**Status** Recruitment stopped

**Health condition type** Joint disorders **Study type** Interventional

## **Summary**

#### ID

NL-OMON48108

#### Source

ToetsingOnline

#### **Brief title**

Manometric TMC brace: a randomised crossover trial

#### **Condition**

• Joint disorders

#### **Synonym**

Osteoarthritis; degenerative joint disease

#### Research involving

Human

Sponsors and support

**Primary sponsor:** Reinier de Graaf Groep

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: Afdeling orthopedie; Reinier de Graaf

Gasthuis

Intervention

**Keyword:** Orthoses, Osteoarthrosis, Trapeziometacarpal joint

Outcome measures

**Primary outcome** 

Patient satisfaction, the primary outcome, is measured using the validated

Dutch version of the Ouebec User Evaluation of Satisfaction with Assistive

Technology (D-QUEST). Since no literature is published yet about the minimally

clinically important difference for the D-QUEST, we defined a value for

ourselves. A difference in score on the D-QUEST of more than 10%, this means

0.5 point difference on the 5-point outcome score, was considered to be the

margin of clinical significance in this study.

**Secondary outcome** 

Secondary outcomes includes pain, measured by a 10-cm visual analogue scale

(VAS), and hand function, measured in terms of grip and pinch strength. In

addition, hand function and symptoms are assessed using the Dutch version of

the QuickDASH. Compliance is quantified by the wearing time per day in hours,

reported using a self-reported diary of each patient. At the end of the two

treatment periods, patient preference for one of the two braces is asked.

**Study description** 

#### **Background summary**

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a degenerative joint disease. The trapeziometacarpal (TMC) joint is the carpometacarpal joint of the thumb. OA in the TMC joint is a common disease with a prevalence of 30% to 40% for postmenopausal women. One of the conservative treatments for TMC OA is a TMC brace. Evidence showed that TMC braces can reduce pain in TMC OA. Plaster braces are the current conventional treatment of TMC OA in the Reinier de Graaf hospital. Recently, Manometric developed a 3D printed custom-made brace, based on a 3D scan of the patient's\* hand. It is made out of light materials and options for personalisation are provided.

(for references, refer to the research protocol introduction, page 10 and references, page 39))

#### **Study objective**

The aim of this randomised crossover trial is to compare the Manometric TMC brace with conventional plaster braces in terms of patient satisfaction, pain, hand function, compliance and patient preference.

#### Study design

Randomised crossover trial with two 4-week treatment periods and 1-week wash-out period in between.

#### Intervention

Patients will wear both the Manometric brace and the plaster brace for four weeks. A washout period of 1 week is between the treatment periods.

#### Study burden and risks

After risk analysis, it can be concluded that there are minimal risks for participating patients. Participating in this study requires extra appointments in the hospital.

## **Contacts**

#### **Public**

Reinier de Graaf Groep

Reinier de Graafweg 5

Delft 2625 AD NL

Scientific

Reinier de Graaf Groep

Reinier de Graafweg 5 Delft 2625 AD NL

### **Trial sites**

#### **Listed location countries**

**Netherlands** 

# **Eligibility criteria**

#### Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

#### Inclusion criteria

- Clinical and radiological diagnosis osteoarthritis (OA) in the TMC joint
- OA grade 1, 2 or 3 (according to the Kellgren-Lawrence classification system)
- Age \*18
- Signed informed consent
- Sufficiently able to understand Dutch, In case of bilateral TMC OA, patients who only need a brace for one hand are included, or if they are willing to wait till after the study for a brace for their second hand. The most symptomatic side is included in the study, based on clinical and radiological assessment.

#### **Exclusion criteria**

- Disease in the affected hand or wrist other than TMC OA that may interfere with treatment or bias the study outcome (OA in radiocarpal joints, underlying inflammatory rheumatic disease, neurovascular disorder affecting the upper limb, fracture in the past 6 months, significant hand injuries)
- Other (current) therapy for TMC OA (corticosteroid injection in the past 6 months, surgery in the affected TMC joint)

# Study design

## **Design**

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Crossover

Allocation: Randomized controlled trial

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Active

Primary purpose: Treatment

#### Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruitment stopped

Start date (anticipated): 21-05-2019

Enrollment: 52

Type: Actual

## Medical products/devices used

Generic name: Manometric TMC brace

Registration: No

## **Ethics review**

Approved WMO

Date: 19-02-2019

Application type: First submission

Review commission: METC Leiden-Den Haag-Delft (Leiden)

metc-ldd@lumc.nl

Approved WMO

Date: 12-06-2019

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Leiden-Den Haag-Delft (Leiden)

metc-ldd@lumc.nl

Approved WMO

Date: 02-07-2019

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Leiden-Den Haag-Delft (Leiden)

metc-ldd@lumc.nl

# **Study registrations**

## Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

## Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

## In other registers

Register ID

CCMO NL67750.098.18