# Diagnostic image quality in intra-oral radiography, comparison of hand held and wall mounted X-ray devices; A non-inferiority study with the Nomad Prohand held X-ray device.

Published: 19-07-2019 Last updated: 19-03-2025

To test if diagnostic quality of radiography with portable devices is non-inferior to that of radiography with wall mounted devices.

Ethical reviewApproved WMOStatusRecruitment stoppedHealth condition typeOther conditionStudy typeInterventional

## **Summary**

#### ID

NL-OMON48165

#### Source

ToetsingOnline

#### **Brief title**

Diagnostic quality of hand held intra-oral radiography

#### **Condition**

Other condition

#### **Synonym**

cavities in teeth, tooth decay

#### **Health condition**

gebitaandoeningen: cariës: parodontale aandoeningen

#### Research involving

Human

### **Sponsors and support**

Primary sponsor: Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam ACTA

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: Ministerie van OC&W,bedrijf,Kavo Kerr

Group

#### Intervention

Keyword: dental equipment, dental radiography, diagnostic equipment, diagnostic imaging

#### **Outcome measures**

#### **Primary outcome**

Preference for HH, for WM or no preference for the diagnostic image quality rated by 3 observers. The majority of the three observers determines the verdict, if no majority is present (all scored differently) the score no preference will be given.

#### **Secondary outcome**

- \* Inter-examiner reliability
- \* Differences between left and right sided exposure, and between first and second exposure
- \* Dependency of the rating of images within the patients

# **Study description**

#### **Background summary**

Hand held X-ray devices (HH) potentially provide advantages for dental intra-oral radiography over fixed wall mounted devices (WM). They can be used when visiting non-mobile patients or in part time dental clinics in remote, sparsely populated areas in developing countries. Moreover, in the dental office, one HH device can serve multiple suites instead of one WM device for

each suite. This limits financial investments in devices and cabling. This potentially improves the accessibility of dental care. Recent studies have proved that with judicious use, Conformité Européenne (CE) approved devices such as the Nomad Pro, do not pose a threat for the operator or patient. An issue that has not been clarified in an adequate clinical study is whether the diagnostic image quality of HH devices is not inferior to WM devices.

#### **Study objective**

To test if diagnostic quality of radiography with portable devices is non-inferior to that of radiography with wall mounted devices.

#### Study design

We compare the 2 diagnostic modalities in a clinical experimental non inferiority study. Bitewing (BW) exposures are made twice, once with HH and once with WM in random order without changing any other factor. Blinded observers rate the pairs of images displayed side by side for their preference regarding diagnostic quality. Three outcomes are possible: preference for WM, for HH or no preference. The hypothesis of non-inferiority will be rejected by a difference found larger than 10% (p <.05)

#### Intervention

performing extra bitewing exposures, one on right side and one on the left side

#### Study burden and risks

The inconvenience for the patient exists in the fact that the sensor holder has to stay in the mouth longer to facilitate the second ecxposure with the other modality. This will be less then a minute.

The extra dosage that is administered is the dose needed to perform the extra exposures. The dose and risk of these exposures is trivial being 0.4  $\mu$ Sv per BW exposure. The extra dose a participant of this study receives is 0.8  $\mu$ Sv. The individual risk for the participant of a lethal stochastic event from this dose would be 1 in 25 million.(ICRP 2007)

There are no direct benefits for the health of the participant, the yield lies in advancing knowledge about dental radiography which could in the log run indirectly benefit the participant.

The group relatedness is exellent as portable X-ray devices will be deployed for the targeted patients in daily general dental practice.

## **Contacts**

#### **Public**

Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam ACTA

Gustav Mahlerlaan 3004 Amsterdam 1081LA NL

#### **Scientific**

Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam ACTA

Gustav Mahlerlaan 3004 Amsterdam 1081LA NL

## **Trial sites**

#### **Listed location countries**

**Netherlands** 

# **Eligibility criteria**

#### Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

#### Inclusion criteria

justification for bitewing radiography age 18 or older legally competent dentate willing to cooperate signing consent form

#### **Exclusion criteria**

- The patient is not able to cooperate during the BW procedure.
- The patient has no post-canine teeth to be depicted on the BW in upper and or
  - 4 Diagnostic image quality in intra-oral radiography, comparison of hand held and ... 2-05-2025

lower jaw.

- The patient is or might be pregnant

# Study design

## **Design**

Study type: Interventional

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Uncontrolled

Primary purpose: Diagnostic

## **Recruitment**

NL

Recruitment status: Recruitment stopped

Start date (anticipated): 08-10-2019

Enrollment: 205

Type: Actual

## Medical products/devices used

Generic name: Hand held X-ray device Nomad Pro 2

Registration: Yes - CE intended use

## **Ethics review**

Approved WMO

Date: 19-07-2019

Application type: First submission

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

# **Study registrations**

# Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

## Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

ID: 24818 Source: NTR

Title:

## In other registers

Register ID

CCMO NL69402.029.19 OMON NL-OMON24818

# **Study results**