

# The accuracy of a Bayesian-based, patient-individualized, pharmacodynamic advisory system to optimize propofol administration using the qCON index as a controlled variable. A comparison versus standard of care.

Published: 16-07-2019

Last updated: 12-04-2024

To assess the accuracy of a Bayesian-based, patient-individualized, pharmacodynamic advisory system to optimize propofol effect-compartment-controlled administration using the qCON index as a controlled variable versus qCON-guided effect-compartment...

<b>Ethical review</b>	Approved WMO
<b>Status</b>	Recruitment stopped
<b>Health condition type</b>	Other condition
<b>Study type</b>	Observational non invasive

## Summary

### ID

NL-OMON50457

### Source

ToetsingOnline

### Brief title

BIPAS - Bayesian-based propofol infusion advisory tool validation

### Condition

- Other condition

### Synonym

Narcosis, sleep medication

### Health condition

Anesthesiologie bij operatieduur >1 uur

## **Research involving**

Human

## **Sponsors and support**

**Primary sponsor:** Anesthesiologie

**Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** Ministerie van OC&W

## **Intervention**

**Keyword:** Advisery Tool, Anesthesiology, Propofol, Target Controlled Infusion

## **Outcome measures**

### **Primary outcome**

The percentage of case time the qCON remains within +/- 10 units from targeted qCON

### **Secondary outcome**

- \* time until LOC from the start of propofol infusion and the amount of propofol used during induction.
- \* The control performance during induction will be studied taking into account the following parameters :
  - \* qCONLOC = qCON at the moment of loss of consciousness .
  - \* TqCON TARGET = observed time required for reaching the target qCON range within +/- 10 units from targeted qCON.
  - \* TPEAK, qCON = observed time required for reaching maximal drug effect (lowest qCON value).
  - \* qCONPEAK = observed qCON value at tPEAK, qCON.
  - \* TEQ = observed time required for finally reaching the target range within +/-

10 units from targeted qCON with or without overshoot, also called time to steady-state.

## Study description

### Background summary

Target-controlled infusion has been developed towards a mature technology routinely used in clinical practice to target plasma and effect-site concentration for drug infusion such as propofol, instead of volumetric infusion using inaccurate units per hour. The cerebral drug effect is routine measured by a \*depth of anaesthesia monitor\*, based on a processed EEG system, such as the qCON (Fresenius, Brésins, France). Nowadays, the anesthesiologist has to combine the independent information from the propofol effect-site concentration on the pump display and the qCON index from the EEG monitor to make an accurate decision in order to optimize drug administration. However, the effect-site concentration can be linked to effect as measured by qCON and can be modelled in an sigmoidal \*E-max\* curve. This could allow the clinician to observe the combined information in an advisory display.

As the relationship between effect-site concentration of propofol and qCON is dynamically and continuously changing over time, a computer algorithm could be helpful to personalise the sigmoidal \*Emax\* curve on the advisory display towards the individual patient and his/her condition (e.g. other drugs given simultaneously during propofol-based anesthesia). Adjusting predictions using prior information, based on measurements, could continuously update the E-max curve. This can be done by applying Bayesian-adjustment implemented in an advisory tool that provides dose titration advices for the clinician aiming to reach a corresponding preset desired qCON value in a continuous matter.

### Study objective

To assess the accuracy of a Bayesian-based, patient-individualized, pharmacodynamic advisory system to optimize propofol effect-compartment-controlled administration using the qCON index as a controlled variable versus qCON-guided effect-compartment controlled propofol administration without the input of the advisory system.

### Study design

Randomized-controlled trial for medical equipment (i.e. the new advisory tool)

In both groups, propofol administration will be executed by an anesthesiologist

or nurse anesthesiologist.

The advisory system display will be blinded in the control group (in order to obtain all data for post-hoc analysis).

### **Study burden and risks**

Informed consent is obtained from all patients prior to randomization. During the case, the patients will be monitored according to routinely clinical standards, such as qCON, ECG, Non-invasive blood pressure (NIBP), Pulse Oximetry (SpO2). Each patient will receive a venous access in the non-dominant arm or hand for drug and fluid infusion as per clinical practice.

The administration of propofol following the advices of the Bayesian advisory tool would hypothetically lead to optimize patient care. As such, a benefit might be expected compared to standard clinical practice (less accumulation of drug, faster recovery from anaesthesia, less overshoot in propofol effect when dose adjustments are performed etc.).

Safety of the application of the Bayesian advisory tool is guaranteed by the fact that the decision of dose adjustment remains under control of the anesthesiologist at all times during this study. It is up to this clinician to follow the advices given by the display system or not.

The risk of participating in this study is identical to the risk of the normal clinical procedure.

## **Contacts**

### **Public**

Selecteer

Hanzeplein 1  
Groningen 9713GZ  
NL

### **Scientific**

Selecteer

Hanzeplein 1  
Groningen 9713GZ  
NL

## Trial sites

### Listed location countries

Netherlands

## Eligibility criteria

### Age

Adults (18-64 years)

Elderly (65 years and older)

### Inclusion criteria

- \* 18 years or older
- \* American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) Classification 1-4
- \* Elective surgery under general anaesthesia with propofol
- \* Surgery duration longer than one hour

### Exclusion criteria

- \* The use of psycho-active drugs
- \* alcohol or recreative drug abuse.

## Study design

### Design

Study type:	Observational non invasive
Intervention model:	Parallel
Allocation:	Randomized controlled trial
Masking:	Single blinded (masking used)

**Primary purpose:** Treatment

### Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status:	Recruitment stopped
Start date (anticipated):	23-09-2019
Enrollment:	100
Type:	Actual

## Medical products/devices used

Generic name:	RUGLOOP II
Registration:	No

## Ethics review

Approved WMO	
Date:	16-07-2019
Application type:	First submission
Review commission:	BEBO: Stichting Beoordeling Ethiek Bio-Medisch Onderzoek (Assen)
Approved WMO	
Date:	07-07-2020
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	BEBO: Stichting Beoordeling Ethiek Bio-Medisch Onderzoek (Assen)

## Study registrations

### Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

### Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

### In other registers

**Register**

CCMO

**ID**

NL64961.056.18