Effect of Automated Closed-loop Ventilation versus Conventional Ventilation on Duration and Quality of Ventilation (*ACTiVE*) -a randomized clinical trial in intensive care unit patients

Published: 01-10-2020 Last updated: 12-10-2024

To compare automated closed-loop ventilation (INTELLiVENT-ASV) to conventional, non-automated ventilation in patients in the ICU.

Ethical review Approved WMO **Status** Recruiting

Health condition type Lower respiratory tract disorders (excl obstruction and infection)

Study type Interventional

Summary

ID

NL-OMON52461

Source

ToetsingOnline

Brief title

ACTIVE

Condition

• Lower respiratory tract disorders (excl obstruction and infection)

Synonym

respiratory insufficiency

Research involving

Human

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: Academisch Medisch Centrum

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: ZonMW

Intervention

Keyword: artificial, INTELLiVENT-ASV, intensive care, respiration

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

The primary endpoint is the number of ventilator-free days and alive at day 28 after ICU admission. The co-primary endpoint is the quality of breathing, defined as time spent within predefined zones of optimal ventilation.

Secondary outcome

Secondary study parameters include:

- ICU length of stay
- Hospital length of stay
- 28-day mortality
- 90-day mortality
- Incidence of ICU mortality
- Incidence of hospital mortality
- Incidence of: development of Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS), pneumonia, severe atelectasis, pneumothorax, respiratory muscle weakness, severe hypoxemia and severe hypercapnia
- Incidence of use of rescue therapies for severe hypoxemia or severe atelectasis (e.g. recruitment maneuver, prone positioning, bronchoscopy for opening atelectasis)

Study description

Background summary

INTELLiVENT-adaptive support ventilation (ASV) is an automated closed-loop ventilation mode, in which ventilator settings are continuously and automatically adjusted in accordance to a set of broadly accepted guidelines for invasive ventilation and in response to feedback which the machine uninterruptedly receives regarding the situation of the patient. While the safety and feasibility of INTELLiVENT-ASV has been confirmed in several studies, robust evidence for clinical benefit in comparison to conventional ventilation is currently missing. The here proposed investigator-initiated international multicenter randomized clinical trial will provide high-level evidence for clinical benefit of INTELLiVENT-ASV in a general population of invasively ventilated intensive care unit (ICU) patients.

Study objective

To compare automated closed-loop ventilation (INTELLiVENT-ASV) to conventional, non-automated ventilation in patients in the ICU.

Study design

International, multicenter, superiority, randomized controlled trial in intubated and ventilated adult ICU patients.

Intervention

Patients randomized to the automated closed-loop ventilation-arm are ventilated with INTELLiVENT-ASV mode; patients randomized to the conventional ventilation-arm are ventilated with a non-automated mode of ventilation.

Study burden and risks

Differences in burden and risk of the two ventilation strategies are not expected. Both methods of ventilation are currently used as standard care on the intensive care unit. No other study interventions are performed. Collection of demographic data, ventilation data and outcome data causes no harm for the patients.

Contacts

Public

Academisch Medisch Centrum

Meibergdreef 9 Amsterdam 1105 AZ NL

Scientific

Academisch Medisch Centrum

Meibergdreef 9 Amsterdam 1105 AZ NL

Trial sites

Listed location countries

Netherlands

Eligibility criteria

Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

Inclusion criteria

- Admission to an ICU participating in this trial
- Need for invasive ventilation
- An expected duration of ventilation > 24 hours

Exclusion criteria

- Age less than 18 years
- Invasive ventilation longer than 1 hour in the intensive care unit before randomization
- Invasive ventilation longer than 6 hours directly preceding intensive care unit admission
 - 4 Effect of Automated Closed-loop Ventilation versus Conventional Ventilation on D ... 13-05-2025

- Patients who have recently undergone a pneumectomy or lobectomy
- Patients with suspected or confirmed pregnancy
- Patients with morbid obesity (body mass index > 40)
- Patients with premorbid restrictive pulmonary disease (evidence of chronic interstitial infiltration on chest radiographs)
- Patients in whom pulse oximetry is known to be unreliable (e.g., patients with carbon monoxide poisoning)
- Patients with any neurologic diagnosis that can prolong duration of mechanical ventilation (e.g., patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome, high spinal cord lesion or amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, multiple sclerosis, or myasthenia gravis)
- Patients receiving veno-venous, veno-arterial or arterio-venous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO)
- Previous randomization in this randomized controlled trial
- Patients participating in another study with the same endpoint or interventions possibly comprising this study outcome
- No informed consent

Study design

Design

Study type: Interventional

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Uncontrolled

Primary purpose: Treatment

Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruiting

Start date (anticipated): 19-10-2020

Enrollment: 1040

Type: Actual

Medical products/devices used

Generic name: Intellivent-ASV closed-loop mechanical ventilation

Registration: Yes - CE intended use

Ethics review

Approved WMO

Date: 01-10-2020

Application type: First submission

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 23-02-2021

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 06-08-2021

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 09-03-2022

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 10-02-2023

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC Academisch Medisch Centrum (Amsterdam)

Kamer G4-214

Postbus 22660

1100 DD Amsterdam

020 566 7389

mecamc@amsterdamumc.nl

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

Register ID

CCMO NL72786.018.20