# Comparison between the multi-electrode Octaray and Pentaray catheters in patients undergoing ablation for atrial tachycardia using Coherent Mapping.

Published: 24-02-2023 Last updated: 06-04-2024

Primary Objective: • To contrast Coherent Mapping quality (electrograms per map) and noise assessment using Octaray (with TRUEREF technology) versus Pentaray catheter for left and right atrium. Secondary Objective(s): • To contrast the Coherent...

Ethical review Approved WMO

**Status** Pending

**Health condition type** Cardiac arrhythmias

Study type Interventional

# **Summary**

#### ID

NL-OMON53474

#### **Source**

ToetsingOnline

**Brief title** 

**OCTOPUS-AT** 

#### **Condition**

• Cardiac arrhythmias

#### Synonym

atrial-flutter, atrial-tachycardia

## Research involving

Human

## **Sponsors and support**

**Primary sponsor:** Erasmus MC, Universitair Medisch Centrum Rotterdam

1 - Comparison between the multi-electrode Octaray and Pentaray catheters in patient ... 12-05-2025

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: Biosense Webster, Inc., Biosense-Webster

#### Intervention

**Keyword:** Ablation, Atriumtachycardia, Octaray, Pentaray

#### **Outcome measures**

## **Primary outcome**

- 1) number of electrograms per map;
- 2) voltage-based signal to noise ratio per map.

## **Secondary outcome**

- 1) electrograms acquisition rate per map;
- 2) electrogram acquisition density;
- 3) number of electrograms acquired per beat;
- 4) the total ablation and fluoroscopy times per group;
- 5) acute mapping success per group
- 6) number of entrainments attempted and best post-pacing interval value at ablation site per group, when available.

# **Study description**

## **Background summary**

Ablation of atrial tachycardia (AT) remains challenging even in the era of sophisticated 3D mapping systems. Among others far-field signals and noise remains an important issue hampering high-resolution mapping of complex ATs, and adequately mapping AT is a time-consuming process. Possibly, the recently developed Octaray catheter, which utilizes a non-contact central electrode and more closely spaced electrodes may tackle these issues and improve the map efficiency, quality and improve identification of the AT mechanism.

## Study objective

## Primary Objective:

• To contrast Coherent Mapping quality (electrograms per map) and noise assessment using Octaray (with TRUEREF technology) versus Pentaray catheter for left and right atrium.

## Secondary Objective(s):

- To contrast the Coherent Mapping times using Octaray versus Pentaray catheters for left and right atrium.
- To assess whether Octaray is more efficient/effective than Petaray in identifying the critical components of the arrhythmia mechanisms and ablation targets (acute success) using Coherent Mapping.
- To assess the radiofrequency applications/duration and fluoroscopy times in patients with Octaray-based Coherent Mapping versus Pentaray-based Coherent Mapping.

## Study design

This is a randomized open-label trial including consecutive patients undergoing ablation for atrial tachycardia of the left and right atrium. Half of the eligible patients will be randomized to undergo Coherent Mapping with Pentaray catheter first followed by Coherent Mapping with the Octaray catheter next. The other half will undergo initial Coherent Mapping with the Octaray catheter followed by Pentaray catheter. All study participants (N=60) will undergo the Coherent Mapping with both catheters. Only the second map created with either Octaray (active arm, N=30) or Pentaray (control arm, N=30) will be used for the subsequent ablation procedure.

#### Intervention

Additional 3D Coherent map with Octaray catheter on top of the standard Coherent map with Pentaray catheter.

## Study burden and risks

The harms of the study are limited. The new catheter (Octaray) has similar shape, is made from similar material and is similar in size as the standard catheter (Pentaray), the only difference is the number of splines and electrodes. The creation of two maps will increase the mapping time, but because of more information provided by two maps, it is possible that the total procedure time is similar or lower due to more precise/efficient ablation. Changing the catheters may in theory increase the chance of air-embolism, however in the hands of experienced operators the risks should be negligibly small and catheter changing during such complex procedures are common practice.

## **Contacts**

#### **Public**

Erasmus MC, Universitair Medisch Centrum Rotterdam

Dr. Molewaterplein 40 Rotterdam 3015 GD NL

## **Scientific**

Erasmus MC, Universitair Medisch Centrum Rotterdam

Dr. Molewaterplein 40 Rotterdam 3015 GD NL

## **Trial sites**

## **Listed location countries**

**Netherlands** 

# **Eligibility criteria**

## Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

## Inclusion criteria

Adult patients planned for ablation of atrial tachycardia or atrial flutter.

## **Exclusion criteria**

Individuals not able to provide informed consent for any reason including language and metal disabilities.

# Study design

## **Design**

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Parallel

Allocation: Randomized controlled trial

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Active

Primary purpose: Diagnostic

## Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Pending

Start date (anticipated): 16-01-2023

Enrollment: 40

Type: Anticipated

## Medical products/devices used

Generic name: Multi-electrode Octaray 3-D mapping catheter

Registration: Yes - CE intended use

# **Ethics review**

Approved WMO

Date: 24-02-2023

Application type: First submission

Review commission: METC Erasmus MC, Universitair Medisch Centrum Rotterdam

(Rotterdam)

Approved WMO

Date: 25-08-2023

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Erasmus MC, Universitair Medisch Centrum Rotterdam

(Rotterdam)

Approved WMO

Date: 05-10-2023

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Erasmus MC, Universitair Medisch Centrum Rotterdam

(Rotterdam)

# **Study registrations**

# Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

# Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

## In other registers

Register ID

Other Clinicaltrials.gov CCMO NL80780.078.22