# MONOPOLY: predicting clinical benefit of dupilumab in adult patients with chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP)

Published: 16-12-2020 Last updated: 30-01-2025

Primary objective: to identify predicting phenotypical and endotypical biomarkers for the response to dupilumab in adult patients with CRSwNP, by comparing the type 2 inflammation in the peripheral blood and nasal polyp tissue at baseline and after...

**Ethical review** Approved WMO **Status** Recruiting

**Health condition type** Upper respiratory tract disorders (excl infections)

**Study type** Observational invasive

# **Summary**

#### ID

NL-OMON54163

## **Source**

ToetsingOnline

#### **Brief title**

Dupilumab in patients with CRSwNP

## **Condition**

Upper respiratory tract disorders (excl infections)

#### Synonym

chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps; CRSwNP

## Research involving

Human

## **Sponsors and support**

**Primary sponsor:** Academisch Medisch Centrum

1 - MONOPOLY: predicting clinical benefit of dupilumab in adult patients with chroni ... 25-05-2025

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: Ministerie van OC&W

## Intervention

**Keyword:** biological, dupilumab, polyps, rhinosinusitis

## **Outcome measures**

## **Primary outcome**

Histochemical and single cell suspension flow cytometry analysis

## **Secondary outcome**

does not apply

# **Study description**

## **Background summary**

Chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) is a global commonly prevalent disease negatively effecting the quality of life of patients and with a significant burden on society in terms of healthcare consumption and productivity loss. Traditionally CRS is dichotomously classified by phenotype, either with or without nasal polyps (respectively CRSwNP and CRSsNP). The last decades gave rise to a paradigm-shift, with a focus on the underlying endotype driven immunopathophysiology. Besides dominating the current basic research on this topic, the endotype perspective increasingly seeps into clinical practice, with new current and future treatment possibilities coming to light. In Western countries, CRSwNP is a mainly type-2 inflammatory driven morbidity, involving IL-4, -5, -9 and -13. Human monoclonal antibodies (hMABs, also called biologicals) directed against the cytokines involved have proven effective in other, often related, type-2 driven pathologies, such as asthma, atopic dermatitis and eosinophilic esophagitis. Dupilumab is one of such hMABs, directed against IL\*4R $\alpha$ , a component shared by the IL-4 and IL-13 receptors. By inhibiting IL\*4R signaling of both IL\*4 and IL\*13 it effectively downregulates the molecular pathways that drive the type-2 inflammation. As of 2019, dupilumab has been approved in Europe and the US for the treatment of CRSwNP with type-2 inflammation. The prefacing phase 2 and 3 trials showed dupilumab to be safe and mostly clinically effective, although 10% of patients appeared non-responding to the treatment. It is yet unknown if this number corresponds with the regular care setting. Importantly, these studies did not investigate phenotypical or endotypical biomarkers to predict clinical response to this new and expensive treatment option. This is paramount for improving future

indication assessment and clinical monitoring of dupilumab in the treatment of patients with CRSwNP, enabling precision medicine and optimizing health-care efficiency. By defining such biomarkers, more insight will also be gained into the underlying immunopathophysiologic mechanisms of CRSwNP and the involved endotypes.

### Additional for amendment 1::

- a) less patients are non-responsive to dupilumab in our real-world observational cohort than calculated and expected from the preceding clinical trials. This is probably due to the stricter indication criterion applied as towards dupilumab\*s underlying pharmacological mechanism, being proven Type-2 endotype, in our cohort; we hereby adhere to the currently ruling European guideline, EPOS2020. As such, research specimens can be obtained by biopsy on baseline, but hardly after six months of treatment, impeding comparison between the clinically distinguishable groups of (very) fast responders and (very) slow / non-responders. An additional nasal cyto-brush, with only mild discomfort and no additional risk for the patient, at baseline and six months after treatment initiation can amend this issue.
- b) dupilumab is known to cause (temporary) rise of serum eosinophils. Per interim analysis of provisional study data we found patients with initial serum eosinophilia of  $>= 1.0 \times 109/L$  to be of increased risk of serum eosinophil levels of  $\geq 1.5 \times 109/L$ , necessitating intensified blood checks every two weeks, and adapted dosing frequency when eosinophil levels of  $\geq 3.0 \times 109/L$  are met due to cautiousness for hypereosinophilic syndrome (HES), complicating treatment and possibly necessitating treatment cessation in case of persisting (hyper)eosinophilia (as for now +/- 1% of total treatment cohort). We want to gain insight into these dynamics, evaluate the proportionality of active/inactive eosinophils to assess the chance of developing HES, and evaluate possible biomarkers for (persisting) (hyper)eosinophilia. This can be obtained by performing the regular phlebotomy in patients with initial eosinophilia of  $>= 1.0 \times 109/L$  at week 4 and 12 by our research nurses instead of by the clinical laboratory and taking 1 x 10mL blood extra per person per moment (thus total extra 2 x 10 mL); the total amount of phlebotomies is unchanged and it saves the patients time otherwise spent visiting the clinical laboratory.

## Additional for amendment 2:

in daily practice the dupilumab treatment is tapered from 24 weeks onward. Every >=24 weeks the interdose interval of dupilumab is prolonged with 2 weeks, conditional to adequate treatment response and CRS-control, as defined by EPOS2020. This reduces the patients\* treatment burden and reduces the direct costs related to dupilumab purchase. Intermediate evaluation demonstrates general feasibility up to interdose intervals of 6 - 8 weeks. Advanced tapering hereafter demonstrates heterogeneous results. We want to evaluate (predictive) biomarkers for the maximal interdose interval. This will eventually benefit patient centered care and gain further insight into underlying pheno- and

endotypes.

## Study objective

Primary objective: to identify predicting phenotypical and endotypical biomarkers for the response to dupilumab in adult patients with CRSwNP, by comparing the type 2 inflammation in the peripheral blood and nasal polyp tissue at baseline and after 6 months of treatment with dupilumab between responders and non-responders, and between clusters of maximally tapered interdose interval.

Secondary objective: defining phenotypical differences in the peripheral blood and nasal polyp ILC2s, eosinophils, basophils, and mast cells between responders and non-responders to dupilumab, and between clusters of maximally tapered interdose interval.

## Study design

Open prospective observational study with consecutive patient inclusion

## Study burden and risks

On the visits at baseline and six months, extra blood (100 instead of 10mL) will be drawn during the standard phlebotomy and an endoscopic nasal polyp biopsy will be taken on one side and a nasal cyto-brush on the other side.

In patients with initial serum eosinophilia of  $>= 1.0 \times 109$ /L, extra blood will also be drawn during the standard check-ups 4 and 12 weeks after treatment initiation (total 2 x 10 mL extra).

From 6 months on every 24 weeks up until and including 36 months: if during the standard check-up it is decided to prolong the interdose interval (conform daily practice), extra blood will be drawn during the standard phlebotomy (100mL instead of 10mL) and a nasendoscopic polyp tissue biopsy (if polyps are present) and cyto-brush is performed.

Risks of trial participation include:

- phlebotomy: possible increased discomfort
- biopsy: discomfort, minor bleeding
- cyto-brush: mild discomfort

## **Contacts**

#### **Public**

Academisch Medisch Centrum

4 - MONOPOLY: predicting clinical benefit of dupilumab in adult patients with chroni ... 25-05-2025

Meibergdreef 9 Amsterdam 1100 DD NI

## Scientific

Academisch Medisch Centrum

Meibergdreef 9 Amsterdam 1100 DD NL

## **Trial sites**

## **Listed location countries**

Netherlands

# **Eligibility criteria**

## Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

## Inclusion criteria

bilateral CRSwNP (EPOS2020 criteria)
>= 18 years
indication for biological (EPOS2020 criteria) and starting treatment with
dupilumab
(for EPOS 2020 criteria see reference in METC protocol)

## **Exclusion criteria**

- age < 18 years
- pregnancy
- patient is not able to complete SNOT-22 questionnaire in NL or EN
- strong indication for surgical treatment (e.g.: mucoceles)
- systemic diseases affecting the nose (e.g.: GPA, EGPA, sarcoid, primary ciliary dyskinesia, cystic fibrosis)
- antrochoanal polyps (isolated benign polyps originating from the mucosa of the maxillary sinus with a distinctive small stalk)
- inverted papilloma and malignant polyps
  - 5 MONOPOLY: predicting clinical benefit of dupilumab in adult patients with chroni ... 25-05-2025

- acute upper or lower respiratory tract infections within 2 weeks before the inclusion visit
- use of systemic corticosteroids within 4 weeks before the inclusion visit
- need of continuous systemic corticosteroid treatment for other disease than CRSwNP
- systemic diseases preventing participation in the study (all comorbidities that have a higher impact on quality of life than CRSwNP and/or making the patient at risk during the study period)
- other systemical medical treatments influencing disease or primary and secondary study outcome measurements such as (non-)selective immunosuppressants (e.g.: azathioprine, methotrexate), excluding co-treatment with other type-2 targeting

biologicals for indication of CRSwNP and/or Asthma.

# Study design

## **Design**

Study phase: 4

Study type: Observational invasive

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Uncontrolled

Primary purpose: Diagnostic

## Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruiting
Start date (anticipated): 14-01-2021

Enrollment: 130

Type: Actual

# **Ethics review**

Approved WMO

Date: 16-12-2020

Application type: First submission

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 26-11-2021

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 14-07-2023

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC Academisch Medisch Centrum (Amsterdam)

Kamer G4-214

Postbus 22660

1100 DD Amsterdam

020 566 7389

mecamc@amsterdamumc.nl

# **Study registrations**

# Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

# Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

# In other registers

Register ID

CCMO NL75291.018.20