Endoscopic ultrasonography-guided gastroenterostomy versus surgical gastrojejunostomy for palliation of malignant gastric outlet obstruction (ENDURO-study)

Published: 18-11-2021 Last updated: 19-03-2025

Primary objective:to investigate and compare the effect of EUS-GE and SGJ on patients* short- and long-term ability to eat* (time to oral intake, and reinterventions for persistent or recurrent symptoms of GOO within 6 months of follow-up,...

Ethical review Approved WMO **Status** Recruiting

Health condition type Gastrointestinal stenosis and obstruction

Study type Interventional

Summary

ID

NL-OMON54213

Source

ToetsingOnline

Brief title

ENDURO-study

Condition

Gastrointestinal stenosis and obstruction

Synonym

Malignant gastric outlet obstruction, Malignant GOO

Research involving

Human

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: Universitair Medisch Centrum Utrecht

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: KWF Kankerbestrijding

Intervention

Keyword: Malignant gastric outlet obstruction, Surgical gastrojejunostomy, Ultrasonographyguided gastroenterostomy

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

The main study endpoint is the ability to eat, measured with co-primary endpoints: 1) time to oral intake, and 2) persistent or recurrent gastric outlet obstruction (GOO) symptoms requiring endoscopic or surgical reintervention.

Secondary outcome

- Technical success:
- Clinical success;
- Gastroenterostomy dysfunction;
- Reintervention;
- Time to reintervention due to recurrent symptoms;
- Adverse events; .
- Quality of life;
- Time to start chemotherapy;
- Length of hospital stay;
- Readmission rate;
- Weight is defined as patients* weight in kilograms;
- Survival:
 - 2 Endoscopic ultrasonography-guided gastroenterostomy versus surgical gastrojejuno ... 4-05-2025

Study description

Background summary

Malignant gastric outlet obstruction (GOO) is a common problem in patients with advanced primary or metastatic malignancy located at the distal stomach and (peri)pancreatic region. With a reasonable life expectancy, surgical gastrojejunostomy (SGJ) is indicated to bypass this obstruction and palliate obstructive symptoms. Despite high technical success rates and a durable effect, SGJ is an invasive treatment that is associated with significant short-term morbidity, such as gastroparesis, resulting in an ongoing inability to eat and prolonged hospital stay. Endoscopic ultrasonography-guided gastroenterostomy (EUS-GE) using a Lumen Apposing Metal Stent (LAMS) is the newest technique in the palliative treatment of malignant GOO. EUS-GE creates a bypass in a minimally invasive manner, with the potential of providing both fast and lasting relief of obstructive symptoms. Despite promising preliminary data, current literature is limited to small and retrospective series. A prospective and comparative study is warranted, to compare short and long term efficacy of EUS-GE with SGJ.

Study objective

Primary objective:

to investigate and compare the effect of EUS-GE and SGJ on patients* short- and long-term ability to eat* (time to oral intake, and reinterventions for persistent or recurrent symptoms of GOO within 6 months of follow-up, respectively)

Secondary objectives/questions:

- What is the technical success rate of EUS-GE vs SGJ?
- What is the clinical success rate of EUS-GE vs SGI?
- What is the rate of gastroenterostomy dysfunction of EUS-GE vs SGJ?
- What is the reintervention rate of EUS-GE vs SGI?
- What is the time to reintervention in case of recurrent symptoms after EUS-GE vs SGI?
- What is the adverse events rate of EUS-GE vs SGJ?
- What is the effect of EUS-GE vs SGJ on the quality of life?
- What is the time to start chemotherapy (if applicable) after EUS-GE vs SGJ?
- What is the length of hospital stay of EUS-GE vs SGJ?
- What is the rate of readmissions after EUS-GE vs SGJ?
- What is the patients* weight after EUS-GE vs SGJ (baseline vs one month after treatment)?

- What is the overall survival time after EUS-GE vs SGJ?
- What are the costs involved in EUS-GE vs SGJ?

Study design

National multicenter study Randomized controlled trial

Intervention

After randomization, one group will receive the standard treatment (surgical gastrojejunostomy; SGJ), the other group will receive the experimental treatment (endoscopic ultrasonography-guided gastroenterostomy; EUS-GE)

Study burden and risks

The burden and risks of EUS-GE are expected to be lower than those of the standard treatment (SGJ). Participation in this therapeutic study offers patients with malignant GOO the opportunity to undergo EUS-GE, an investigational and minimally invasive treatment, instead of surgery. No additional visits or physical examinations are required for this study, unless medically indicated. The burden of follow-up within this study is limited and mainly concerns time that is spent to fill in the diary, short quality-of-life questionnaires and receive four short follow-up phone calls. Though the short-term results of EUS-GE are promising and seem to be beneficial, the long-term patency of EUS-GE has yet to be established and compared with the current standard treatment (SGJ). This can only adequately be achieved by comparing the efficacy of EUS-GE versus SGJ in these patients, in a randomized and prospective study with solid follow-up.

Contacts

Public

Universitair Medisch Centrum Utrecht

Heidelberglaan 100 Utrecht 3584 CX NL

Scientific

Universitair Medisch Centrum Utrecht

Heidelberglaan 100 Utrecht 3584 CX NL

Trial sites

Listed location countries

Netherlands

Eligibility criteria

Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

Inclusion criteria

- Adult patients with symptomatic malignant gastric outlet obstruction, presenting with nausea, vomiting and/or inability to eat
- Gastric Outlet Obstruction Scoring System Score of 0 (no oral intake) or 1 (liquids only)
- Obstruction due to irresectable or metastatic malignancy without curative treatment options
- Radiologically or endoscopically confirmed gastric outlet obstruction
- Location of obstruction extending from the distal 1/3 of the stomach (antrum) to the distal duodenum (third part)
- Both treatments (SGI and EUS-GE) are technically and clinically feasible
- Written informed consent

Exclusion criteria

- Radiological or clinical suspicion of other strictures or obstructions along the gastrointestinal tract (distal of Treitz), with small intestine intestinal dilation/ileus. Note: patients with diffuse dilatation of the intestines should not be excluded;
- Cancer extending into the body of the stomach or around the ligament of Treitz;
- Duodenal tube feeding is not tolerated by the patient, despite adequate position of the tube;
- Altered anatomy after previous gastric, periampullary or duodenal surgery;
- Previous SGI as palliative treatment for the same condition;
- Inability to undergo surgery or upper endoscopy due to severe comorbidities;
- WHO performance score of 4 (in bed 100% of time);

- Uncorrectable coagulopathy, defined by INR>1.5 or platelets < 50 x 10^9/L;

Study design

Design

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Parallel

Allocation: Randomized controlled trial

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Active

Primary purpose: Treatment

Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruiting

Start date (anticipated): 18-02-2022

Enrollment: 96

Type: Actual

Medical products/devices used

Generic name: Hot AXIOS stent & electrocautery-enhanced delivery system

Registration: Yes - CE outside intended use

Ethics review

Approved WMO

Date: 18-11-2021

Application type: First submission

Review commission: METC NedMec

Approved WMO

Date: 08-02-2022

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC NedMec

Approved WMO

Date: 08-08-2023

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC NedMec

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

ID: 25505 Source: NTR

Title:

In other registers

Register ID

CCMO NL77548.041.21

Other NL9592

OMON NL-OMON25505