

# Response to pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccination in healthy adults

Published: 18-07-2019

Last updated: 09-04-2024

Primary Objective: • To develop new criteria for the interpretation of the IgG response to pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccination using serotype-specific normal values. Secondary Objectives: • To develop criteria for the interpretation of the IgG1,...

|                              |                            |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Ethical review</b>        | Approved WMO               |
| <b>Status</b>                | Recruiting                 |
| <b>Health condition type</b> | Immunodeficiency syndromes |
| <b>Study type</b>            | Interventional             |

## Summary

### ID

NL-OMON55592

### Source

ToetsingOnline

### Brief title

Pneumococcal vaccination in healthy adults

### Condition

- Immunodeficiency syndromes

### Synonym

specific antibody deficiency; immunodeficiency

### Research involving

Human

### Sponsors and support

**Primary sponsor:** Sint Antonius Ziekenhuis

**Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** St. Antonius Onderzoeksfonds

## Intervention

**Keyword:** antibody deficiency, pneumococcal vaccination, vaccination response

## Outcome measures

### Primary outcome

Distribution of serotype-specific IgG antibody titers to 13 pneumococcal serotypes four weeks after vaccination.

### Secondary outcome

Distribution of serotype-specific IgG antibody titers to 13 pneumococcal serotypes eight weeks after vaccination.

Distribution of serotype-specific IgG1, IgG2, IgM and IgA antibody titers to 13 pneumococcal serotypes four and eight weeks after vaccination.

Distribution of serotype-specific percent change in IgG, IgG1, IgG2, IgM and IgA antibody titers to 13 pneumococcal serotypes four and eight weeks after vaccination in comparison to pre-vaccination.

## Study description

### Background summary

Polysaccharide vaccination can be used to assess the functioning of the humoral immune system. An impaired response to vaccination supports the diagnosis of an antibody deficiency, and can be an indication for starten immunoglobulin substitution therapy.

However, the current classification of the response to pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccination is not optimal. According to the current diagnostic criteria over 40% of healthy individuals, will be classified as an impaired responder. Because there is significant inter-serotype variation in post-vaccination antibody levels, it should be endeavoured to develop serotype-specific normal values, and study these in cohorts of healthy

individuals, as well as in patients with suspected or proven immunodeficiency.

## **Study objective**

Primary Objective:

- To develop new criteria for the interpretation of the IgG response to pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccination using serotype-specific normal values.

Secondary Objectives:

- To develop criteria for the interpretation of the IgG1, IgG2, IgM and IgA response to pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccination using serotype-specific normal values.
- To study the effect of age and gender on the response to pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccination in healthy adults

## **Study design**

Single-arm intervention study.

## **Intervention**

One-time administration of the 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine.

## **Study burden and risks**

These study procedures have negligible risk for adverse effects on patients\* health and/or well-being. The blood draws might cause some minor inconvenience, but carry a negligible risk for any serious damage. Administration of the vaccine might cause minor local side effects, and is associated with a very small probability of more severe side effect. Importantly, the vaccine is currently registered for use in all adults, to prevent pneumococcal infections. Furthermore, nation-wide vaccination recommendations already include the pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine for healthy elderly because the risk of serious harm was deemed to be very small. Potentially, participants will be protected against invasive pneumococcal infections. 10 Otherwise, there are no direct benefits that derive from participating in the study. We deem the potential inconvenience caused by study procedures to be outweighed by the potential benefits of the study results for future patients.

## **Contacts**

### **Public**

Sint Antonius Ziekenhuis

Koekoekslaan 1  
Nieuwegein 3435CM  
NL  
**Scientific**  
Sint Antonius Ziekenhuis

Koekoekslaan 1  
Nieuwegein 3435CM  
NL

## Trial sites

### Listed location countries

Netherlands

## Eligibility criteria

### Age

Adults (18-64 years)

Elderly (65 years and older)

### Inclusion criteria

- Age  $\geq 18$  years and  $\leq 80$  years
- Fits within pre-specified age and gender stratification blocks
- Informed consent

### Exclusion criteria

- Previous vaccination with pneumococcal polysaccharide or conjugate vaccination
- Chronic disease that requires the use of immunosuppressive agents
- Known or highly suspected primary or secondary immunodeficiency
- Pregnancy
- Fever or active infection
- History of allergic reaction to one of the vaccine components

## Study design

### Design

**Study type:** Interventional

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Uncontrolled

Primary purpose: Diagnostic

### Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruiting

Start date (anticipated): 01-01-2020

Enrollment: 210

Type: Actual

### Medical products/devices used

Product type: Medicine

Brand name: pneumovax 23

## Ethics review

Approved WMO

Date: 18-07-2019

Application type: First submission

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 22-08-2019

Application type: First submission

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 24-06-2021

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United

|                    |   |
|--------------------|---|
|                    | (Nieuwegein)  |
| Approved WMO       |   |
| Date:              | 01-07-2021  |
| Application type:  | Amendment   |
| Review commission: | MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein) |

## Study registrations

### Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

### Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

### In other registers

| Register | ID                     |
|----------|------------------------|
| EudraCT  | EUCTR2019-001118-40-NL |
| CCMO     | NL69183.100.19         |