

Cost effectiveness in treatment of Anorexia Nervosa

Gepubliceerd: 14-11-2019 Laatste bijgewerkt: 18-08-2022

Three new time-limited psychological (TLP) treatments for anorexia nervosa (AN) will much reduce both treatment duration and costs relative to top-clinical care (TCC).

Ethische beoordeling	Positief advies
Status	Werving nog niet gestart
Type aandoening	-
Onderzoekstype	Interventie onderzoek

Samenvatting

ID

NL-OMON23072

Bron

NTR

Verkorte titel

TBA

Aandoening

either Anorexia Nervosa or

Other Specific Feeding and Eating Disorder (OSFED) – subtype ‘atypical anorexia nervosa’, according to DSM-5 criteria

Ondersteuning

Primaire sponsor: None

Overige ondersteuning: ZonMw

Onderzoeksproduct en/of interventie

Uitkomstmaten

Primaire uitkomstmaten

Remission defined as a return to a healthy body mass index (BMI>18.5).

Toelichting onderzoek

Achtergrond van het onderzoek

Top-clinical care (TCC) of anorexia nervosa (AN) is associated with an average treatment duration between 12 ~ 24 months.

The treatment duration of the new time-limited psychological (TLP) treatments for AN (MANTRA, CBT-E and SSCM) is

expected to be brought down to 6~12 months. In this context we will test the following primary hypothesis:

1. TLP for AN will reduce treatment duration and hence treatment costs relative to TCC (cost-minimisation hypothesis).

In addition, the study will evaluate the following secondary hypotheses:

2. In the TLP condition 50% of the AN patients will have reached treatment response (BMI>18.5) at 9-months follow-up, but

at that time point the response rate in the TCC condition clocks 25% (cost-effectiveness hypothesis),

3. Compared to TCC, the greater speed of recovery under TLP translates into a larger health gain in terms of EQ-5D-5L

QALYs (cost-utility analysis).

Finally, the flanking implementation study will produce a well-tested implementation plan for future rollout and scale up, should

the new LTP combined with SDM be proven cost-effective.

The study will therefore produce the following deliverables:

1. Clinical evaluation of the relative speed of recovery in TLP vs TCC with regard to BMI>18.5 remission rates at t6, t9 and

t12.

2. Cost-minimisation analysis of the health care costs of TLP vs TCC over the full follow-up period of 24 months.

Cost-effectiveness analysis of the incremental costs per sustained remission over the full 24-months follow-up period.

3. Cost-utility analysis assessing the longer-term incremental cost-utility ratio of TLP vs TCC over the full follow-up period of 24 months.

4. A well-tested implementation plan for future rollout and scale up.

Doel van het onderzoek

Three new time-limited psychological (TLP) treatments for anorexia nervosa (AN) will much reduce both treatment duration and costs relative to top-clinical care (TCC).

Onderzoeksopzet

- Preparation 1-6

- Recruitment and baseline assessment: month 7-18
- Assessment T1: month 10-21
- Assessment T2: month 13-24
- Assessment T3: month 16-27
- Assessment T4: month 19-30
- Assessment T5: month 22-33
- Assessment T6: month 25-36
- Assessment T7: month 28-39
- Assessment T8: month 31-42
- Data analyses and dissemination of results: month 42-48

Onderzoeksproduct en/of interventie

INTERVENTIONS

MANTRA, SSCM and CBT-E have been evaluated, and proven to be equally effective. At present there are no theoretical or empirical grounds for allocating individual patients to one of these new and effective treatments (described below). Although the three treatment methods are different in content, the outcomes are similar in BMI and eating disorder pathology (Schmidt et al., 2016, Zainal et al., 2016, Schmidt et al., 2015, Waterman et al., 2014, Touyz et al., 2013). Further research in to these treatments will be needed to decide which treatment to apply for a specific patient. Until then, offering these three options gives us the opportunity to add to further research. Perhaps more importantly, the equivalence in effectiveness of MANTRA, SSCM and CBT-E offers an excellent opportunity for shared decision-making, where patients receive the option to choose between the three interventions. In this context, it is worth noting that shared decision-making may strengthen the patient's autonomous motivation for treatment on the understanding that autonomous motivation is one of the few predictors of treatment response (Steiger et al., 2017). To be able to allocate patients to these three treatments, we were advised by the authors of these RCTs, all international leading clinical and scientific experts in the field of eating disorders, to employ shared decision-making to allocate patients to one of three treatment methods. After assessment at Altrecht Eating Disorders Rintveld, patients will be informed about the three treatment-options and asked to decide. All the three treatments are time-limited (BMI<16: 40 sessions, BMI=17.5: 30 sessions, BMI<18.5: 25 sessions, BMI>18.5: 20 sessions) and aimed at recovery from AN. Offering these three treatments and asking patients with AN to choose, is advised in the NICE guidelines and in the ZSES (Zorgstandaard Eetstoornissen, 2017).

At this moment a pilot RCT is being conducted (a cooperation between the University of

Utrecht and Altrecht Eating Disorders

Rintveld) to further implement protocolled shared decision-making and to evaluate its impact on autonomous motivation.

The practitioner conducting the intake will apply shared decision-making following the steps described in Stiggelbout et al.

(2015):

1. The practitioner tells the patient a decision is to be made and the patient's opinion is important.
2. The practitioner shows the video in which the options are explained, and pro's and con's are mentioned. The patient is given a folder and a decision-tool which summarizes the information given in the video.
3. The practitioner and patient discuss the patient's preferences and the practitioner supports the patient in deliberation.
4. The practitioner and patient make or defer the decision, and discuss possible follow-up. This gives patients the opportunity to deliberate with family or friend, prior to making the decision.

Three new treatments:

[1] MANTRA (The Maudsley Anorexia Nervosa Treatment for Adults, Schmidt et al., 2014) is an evidence-based

cognitive-interpersonal treatment of anorexia nervosa. MANTRA incorporates recent findings from the field of

neuropsychological, social cognitive and personality trait research in AN. It includes both intra- and interpersonal maintaining

factors, and proposes strategies for addressing these. It is modularized with a clear hierarchy of procedures and tailored to the

needs of the individual following a workbook (Schmidt et al., 2013). Patient and therapist describe what perpetuating aspects

maintains AN and how to intervene.

Patients are given an active role in treatment. Their personal workbook structures treatment and describes the nine modules

and homework assignments. The patient and the practitioner will meet once a week for 50 minutes in the first phase of the

treatment. Later in the course of treatment appointments can be planned less frequently.

Next to paying attention to weight gain and working towards a normal eating pattern, attention is paid to motivation for change

and the maintaining factors which are specifically important for the individual patient. These factors are described in 'a vicious

flower'. Following this vicious flower, treatment goals are discussed. Issues that can be covered in this treatment are:

- A thinking style characterized by inflexibility, excessive attention to detail and fear of making mistakes
- Impairments in the social-emotional domain
- Positive beliefs about how AN helps the person to manage their life
- Unhelpful responses of others

At end of treatment, attention is paid to relapse-prevention.

[2] CBT-E (Cognitive Behaviour Therapy- Enhanced, Fairburn, 2008) is based on a trans-diagnostic model for eating disorders. The aim is to identify processes that are operating in the individual patient, thus creating a tailor-made treatment that fits the patients' psychopathology. It is emphasized that it is better to do a few essential aspects well in changing behaviour (by focusing on the most perpetuating behaviours), instead of doing many things suboptimal. Secondly, it is based on the idea that people learn things by doing (it is a type of behaviour therapy). Normalising the eating pattern and regaining weight are key-features from the start of treatment.

Maintaining factors described in the individual model and targeted in treatment can be:

- overvaluation of body and weight (in which other way may a person appreciate herself?)
- overvaluation of control over eating behaviour
- dietary restraint
- dietary rules
- being underweight
- changes in eating pattern coherent with event or mood

Patients are asked to fill in a food diary.

In the first weeks sessions (of 50 minutes) are scheduled twice a week, thereafter once a week and at the end of treatment

once in the two weeks. At end of treatment attention is being paid to relapse-prevention.

[3] SSCM (Specialist supportive clinical management, McIntosh et al., 2006) was originally developed by researchers as a control condition to test other psychotherapeutic treatments for AN (McIntosh et al, 2006). They described what therapists should do in their treatment sessions, which turned out to be an effective method. SSCM combines aspects of clinical management and supportive psychotherapy within sessions emphasizing normalization of eating and restoration of weight, specialist psychoeducation and focus on other symptoms, such as vomiting or overexercising. The remainder of the sessions focus on content dictated by the patient (McIntosh et al. 2010).

Sessions can be weekly or once every two weeks, depending on the patients' needs.

In this treatment, attention is paid to:

- Psychoeducation on eating disorders and food
- The history of the eating disorder
- The causes of the eating disorder
- Motivation for change and what might happen if the AN is not treated

At end of treatment attention is being paid to relapse-prevention.

When required treatment can be upscaled from outpatient care to day-care or inpatient treatment. This will be done when

outpatient treatment does not lead to weight gain or improvement of the eating pattern, or when the somatic condition requires inpatient care. Also focused treatment for co-morbid disorders such as schema therapy and EMDR can be offered. A medical

doctor, dietician, and psychiatrist are available for consultation when needed. Treatment uptake will be closely documented.

Staff has been trained to follow the new treatment protocols. Therapists have been trained in the treatments and have to attend inter-vision sessions to discuss their therapies. In addition, supervision will be used to ensure protocol adherence and treatment integrity.

TREATMENT AS USUAL / COMPARISON

As comparator the best alternative treatment is used, which is offered at the top-clinical centre for eating disorders:

Rivierduinen Eating Disorders Ursula. At Ursula care is offered according the following principles: at assessment diagnoses are

made by a multidisciplinary team of experienced clinicians (psychologists and psychiatrists) specialized in eating disorders,

according to the DSM-5 criteria using questions of two standardized semi-structured interviews: the Eating Disorder

Examination and the Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation. The Dutch multidisciplinary guidelines for eating disorders are

the starting point of treatment. The guidelines offer a large range of treatments based on evidence and expert consensus, and

can be applied flexibly. Treatment is tailored to the needs of the individual patient and innovative therapies can be applied when

the guidelines are not sufficient. The vast majority of patients are treated as outpatients (95%). A minority receives intensive

(day and residential) treatment. The program consists of normalization of eating behaviours, discussion of daily problems,

goals, and evaluations. Also Cognitive Behavioural Therapy, (multi) family therapy, psycho-education, social skills training,

psychomotor therapy, and art therapy are part of the programme. Most of the therapy is conducted in groups. A medical doctor,

dietician, and psychiatrist are available for consultation when needed.

Contactpersonen

Publiek

Altrecht Eetstoornissen Rintveld
Alberte Jansingh

030-6965477

Wetenschappelijk

Altrecht Eetstoornissen Rintveld
Alberte Jansingh

030-6965477

Deelname eisen

Belangrijkste voorwaarden om deel te mogen nemen (Inclusiecriteria)

The group of eating disordered patients at the two participating centres encompasses adult (age between 18-60) patients who are referred to secondary and tertiary treatment at the participating centers, and who have either anorexia nervosa or Other Specific Feeding and Eating Disorder (OSFED) – subtype ‘atypical anorexia nervosa’, according to DSM-5 criteria. All three new TLP treatments are aimed at recovery of the eating disorder pathology. Hence, patients included in the study, will be selected on their wish to recover from their eating disorder.

Belangrijkste redenen om niet deel te kunnen nemen (Exclusiecriteria)

Patients treated under a legal measure will be excluded.

Onderzoekopzet

Opzet

Type:	Interventie onderzoek
Onderzoeksmodel:	Parallel
Toewijzing:	Niet-gerandomiseerd
Blinding:	Open / niet geblindeerd
Controle:	Geneesmiddel

Deelname

Nederland
Status: Werving nog niet gestart
(Verwachte) startdatum: 02-01-2020
Aantal proefpersonen: 166
Type: Verwachte startdatum

Voornemen beschikbaar stellen Individuele Patiënten Data (IPD)

Wordt de data na het onderzoek gedeeld: Nog niet bepaald

Toelichting

We will discuss this with our legal department: we want to share our data but have to be mindful of regulations concerning patients' data

Ethische beoordeling

Positief advies
Datum: 14-11-2019
Soort: Eerste indiening

Registraties

Opgevolgd door onderstaande (mogelijk meer actuele) registratie

Geen registraties gevonden.

Andere (mogelijk minder actuele) registraties in dit register

Geen registraties gevonden.

In overige registers

Register	ID
NTR-new	NL8162
Ander register	Altrecht : 852002029

Resultaten