

# When is the best moment to remove the urinary catheter after laparoscopic hysterectomy?

Gepubliceerd: 01-04-2016 Laatst bijgewerkt: 18-08-2022

We hypothesize that direct removal of the urine catheter after an LH (total laparoscopic hysterectomy and laparoscopic supracervical hysterectomy) is associated with similar (or better) outcomes compared to delayed catheter removal after surgery,...

**Ethische beoordeling** Positief advies

**Status** Werving gestopt

**Type aandoening** -

**Onderzoekstype** Observationeel onderzoek, zonder invasieve metingen

## Samenvatting

### ID

NL-OMON23293

### Bron

NTR

### Verkorte titel

MUCH study

### Aandoening

Urinary catheter

Laparoscopic hysterectomy

Urinary retention

Urinary tract infection

### Ondersteuning

**Primaire sponsor:** none

**Overige ondersteuning:** none

### Onderzoeksproduct en/of interventie

## **Uitkomstmaten**

### **Primaire uitkomstmaten**

Urinary retention, defined as the inability to void (completely) 6 hours after catheter removal.

## **Toelichting onderzoek**

### **Achtergrond van het onderzoek**

During laparoscopic hysterectomy (LH), an indwelling catheter is placed to avoid iatrogenic injuries to the bladder by keeping it empty. After surgery the catheter is in most hospitals left in place until the next day as direct removal of the catheter increases the risk of developing urinary retention. However no evidence based guideline about the best moment to remove the catheter exists and currently in most hospitals protocols are based on doctor's experience. As prolonged stay of catheter is associated with delayed mobilisation, a higher risk of urinary tract infection and discomfort for the patients, it is important to investigate when the best moment to remove the catheter is.

**Objective:** The aim of this study is to evaluate if direct removal of the urine catheter after an LH (total laparoscopic hysterectomy and laparoscopic supracervical hysterectomy) is associated with similar (or better) outcomes compared to delayed catheter removal after surgery, which is the current treatment. In addition, we want to investigate patient's experience on this subject.

**Study design:** Randomized Controlled trial, non-inferiority study.

### **Doel van het onderzoek**

We hypothesize that direct removal of the urine catheter after an LH (total laparoscopic hysterectomy and laparoscopic supracervical hysterectomy) is associated with similar (or better) outcomes compared to delayed catheter removal after surgery, which is the current treatment.

### **Onderzoeksopzet**

6 hours after urinary catheter is removed

6 hours postoperative; 24 hours postoperative; 6 weeks postoperative

## Onderzoeksproduct en/of interventie

Group A (treatment group): the patients in this group will have their catheter directly removed in the OR after LH.

Group B (control group): the patients in the control group will have their catheter removed according to the regular protocol of the hospital (at least 6 hours in place).

## Contactpersonen

### Publiek

Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC),  
Department of Gynaecology,  
P.O. Box 9600  
F.W. Jansen  
Albinusdreef 2

Leiden 2300 RC  
The Netherlands  
+31 (0)71 5262871

### Wetenschappelijk

Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC),  
Department of Gynaecology,  
P.O. Box 9600  
F.W. Jansen  
Albinusdreef 2

Leiden 2300 RC  
The Netherlands  
+31 (0)71 5262871

## Deelname eisen

### Belangrijkste voorwaarden om deel te mogen nemen

## **(Inclusiecriteria)**

Older than 18 years

Scheduled for LH for benign indication or low-grade malignancy (with or without salpingo-oophorectomie)

## **Belangrijkste redenen om niet deel te kunnen nemen (Exclusiecriteria)**

Concomitant procedures such as prolapse surgery, severe endometriose and/or bowel resection

Preoperative known urinary voiding problems (incontinence)

Preoperative known urinary tract infection

Patients suffering from diseases potentially associated with inability to void (e.g. MS)

A Gravid or postpartum hysterectomy

## **Onderzoeksopzet**

### **Opzet**

Type:	Observationeel onderzoek, zonder invasieve metingen
Onderzoeksmodel:	Parallel
Toewijzing:	Gerandomiseerd
Blinding:	Open / niet geblindeerd
Controle:	Geneesmiddel

### **Deelname**

Nederland	
Status:	Werving gestopt
(Verwachte) startdatum:	01-04-2016
Aantal proefpersonen:	160
Type:	Werkelijke startdatum

## Ethische beoordeling

Positief advies

Datum: 01-04-2016

Soort: Eerste indiening

## Registraties

### Opgevolgd door onderstaande (mogelijk meer actuele) registratie

Geen registraties gevonden.

### Andere (mogelijk minder actuele) registraties in dit register

Geen registraties gevonden.

## In overige registers

Register	ID
NTR-new	NL5496
NTR-old	NTR5818
Ander register	NL55504.058.15 : P15.382

## Resultaten

### Samenvatting resultaten

N/A