

# Research to cost-effectiveness of paricalcitol of the treatment of secondary hyperparathyroidism at hemodialysis patients

Gepubliceerd: 11-06-2008 Laatste bijgewerkt: 18-08-2022

Paricalcitol induce a more effective reduction of the PTH level compared to alfacalcidol at hemodialysispatients with secondary hyperparathyroidism

|                             |                          |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>Ethische beoordeling</b> | Positief advies          |
| <b>Status</b>               | Werving nog niet gestart |
| <b>Type aandoening</b>      | -                        |
| <b>Onderzoekstype</b>       | Interventie onderzoek    |

## Samenvatting

### ID

NL-OMON24822

### Bron

NTR

### Verkorte titel

KEPS

### Aandoening

Chronic Renal Failure: chronisch nierfalen

Secondary Hyperparathyroidism: secundaire hyperparathyreoidie

Vitamin D: vitamine D

Paricalcitol: paricalcitol

### Ondersteuning

**Primaire sponsor:** Sint Lucas Andreas ziekenhuis

**Overige ondersteuning:** Sint Lucas Andreas ziekenhuis

## Onderzoeksproduct en/of interventie

### Uitkomstmaten

#### Primaire uitkomstmaten

Effectiveness: measure the main PTH level

## Toelichting onderzoek

### Achtergrond van het onderzoek

The inadequate treatment of secondary hyperparathyroidism can have severe consequences, for example hyperplastic parathyroid glands, renal osteodystrophy and cardiovascular diseases.

Paricalcitol (Zemlar®) is a recently introduced third generation vitamin D analogon. The treatment with paricalcitol could have several advantages to the treatment with the “old” vitamin D analogon: alfacalcidol (Etalpa®).

Paricalcitol should correct the parathormone (PTH) level faster and reduce the numbers of hypercalcemia episodes. The trial is limited and the database on this subject is small, so the question if paricalcitol is more effective than the “old” vitamin D analoga alfacalcidol and calcitriol is relevant. This trial compares paricalcitol with alfacalcidol, the most used vitamin D in the Netherlands. The treatment with paricalcitol is four times more expensive than the treatment with alfacalcidol. The trial that compares the effectiveness, security and the costs between paricalcitol and alfacalcidol will give a useful insight to optimize the treatment for hemodialysispatients with secondary hyperparathyroidism

### Doel van het onderzoek

Paricalcitol induce a more effective reduction of the PTH level compared to alfacalcidol at hemodialysispatients with secondary hyperparathyroidism

### Onderzoeksopzet

PTH: Every 4 weeks inclusive the Baseline

Ca 2+ tot: Every 2 weeks inclusive the Baseline

Ca2+ ion: Every 2 weeks inclusive the Baseline

Alb serum: Every 2 weeks inclusive the Baseline

P: Every 2 weeks inclusive the Baseline

Ca<sup>2+</sup> x P: Every 2 weeks inclusive the Baseline

Bone-AP: Baseline, month 6, month 12

Hb: Every 4 weeks

Ferritine: Every 4 weeks

Urea (BUN): Baseline, month 6, month 12

Creat: Baseline, month 6, month 12

CRP: Baseline, month 6, month 12

### **Onderzoeksproduct en/of interventie**

After randomisation two groups of hemodialysispatients will be separated.

Group A gets treated with alfacalcitol and Group B with paricalcitol.

After six months will the groups switch.

## **Contactpersonen**

### **Publiek**

Sint Lucas Andreas ziekenhuis

Postbus 9243

1006 AE

Joris J.G Heuvel, van den

Jan Tooropstraat 164

1061 AE

Amsterdam

The Netherlands

+31 (020) 5108911

### **Wetenschappelijk**

Sint Lucas Andreas ziekenhuis

Postbus 9243

1006 AE

Joris J.G Heuvel, van den

Jan Tooropstraat 164

1061 AE  
Amsterdam  
The Netherlands  
+31 (020) 5108911

## Deelname eisen

### Belangrijkste voorwaarden om deel te mogen nemen (Inclusiecriteria)

1. Hemodialysis patients older than 18 years
2. Secondary hyperparathyroidism

### Belangrijkste redenen om niet deel te kunnen nemen (Exclusiecriteria)

1. Severe hypercalcemia
2. Severe liver failure
3. Digoxin overdose
4. Hypersensitive response to vitamin D or vitamin D overdose

## Onderzoeksopzet

### Opzet

|                  |                       |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Type:            | Interventie onderzoek |
| Onderzoeksmodel: | Cross-over            |
| Toewijzing:      | Gerandomiseerd        |
| Blinding:        | Enkelblind            |
| Controle:        | Geneesmiddel          |

## Deelname

|                         |                          |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Nederland               |                          |
| Status:                 | Werving nog niet gestart |
| (Verwachte) startdatum: | 01-07-2008               |
| Aantal proefpersonen:   | 114                      |
| Type:                   | Verwachte startdatum     |

## Ethische beoordeling

|                 |                  |
|-----------------|------------------|
| Positief advies |                  |
| Datum:          | 11-06-2008       |
| Soort:          | Eerste indiening |

## Registraties

### Opgevolgd door onderstaande (mogelijk meer actuele) registratie

Geen registraties gevonden.

### Andere (mogelijk minder actuele) registraties in dit register

Geen registraties gevonden.

### In overige registers

| Register       | ID                                 |
|----------------|------------------------------------|
| NTR-new        | NL1294                             |
| NTR-old        | NTR1341                            |
| Ander register | :                                  |
| ISRCTN         | ISRCTN wordt niet meer aangevraagd |

## Resultaten

### Samenvatting resultaten

N/A