

# The role of specific disease knowledge in counteracting bias in diagnostic reasoning

Gepubliceerd: 15-12-2020 Laatste bijgewerkt: 18-08-2022

Overall, diagnostic accuracy will be lower on subjected-to-bias than on not-subjected-to-bias cases, but the difference in accuracy will be smaller in the group of physicians with higher knowledge of critical diagnostic features. Overall, the...

<b>Ethische beoordeling</b>	Niet van toepassing
<b>Status</b>	Werving nog niet gestart
<b>Type aandoening</b>	-
<b>Onderzoekstype</b>	Interventie onderzoek

## Samenvatting

### ID

NL-OMON25411

### Bron

NTR

### Verkorte titel

KnowBias

### Aandoening

Inflammatory bowel disease; Hyperthyroidism; Vitamin B12 deficiency; Addison's disease; Acute appendicitis; Acute bacterial endocarditis

## Ondersteuning

**Primaire sponsor:** Institute of Medical Education Research Rotterdam (iMERR) and Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus Medical Center; Department of Psychology, Education and Child Studies, Erasmus University Rotterdam

**Overige ondersteuning:** Erasmus MC, iMERR

## Onderzoeksproduct en/of interventie

## **Uitkomstmaten**

### **Primaire uitkomstmaten**

Frequency of wrong diagnoses induced by the bias

## **Toelichting onderzoek**

### **Achtergrond van het onderzoek**

Diagnostic errors have been attributed to flaws in physicians' reasoning associated with the use of heuristics. Experimental studies have provided evidence that the use of heuristics may eventually induce bias in reasoning, causing physicians to make diagnostic errors. However, this research suggests that among physicians at the same level of training some seem to be more susceptible to bias than others. The sources of these differences in ability to overcoming the influence of bias are unclear. The present study examines whether specific disease knowledge predicts susceptibility to bias in diagnostic reasoning. Physicians will diagnose the same set of clinical cases both under conditions that make them subjected to bias and not subjected to bias in a counterbalanced design. Physicians' knowledge of critical diagnostic features for the diseases presented in the cases will be evaluated and it will be analyzed whether differences in knowledge predict the frequency of bias-induced errors.

### **Doel van het onderzoek**

Overall, diagnostic accuracy will be lower on subjected-to-bias than on not-subjected-to-bias cases, but the difference in accuracy will be smaller in the group of physicians with higher knowledge of critical diagnostic features.

Overall, the frequency of the wrong diagnoses induced by the bias will be higher on subjected-to-bias than on not-subjected-to-bias cases but lower in the higher-knowledge than in the lower-knowledge group.

Overall, physicians will spend more time to diagnose subjected-to-bias than not-subjected-to-bias cases.

Overall, the rating of confidence in the diagnosis will be lower on subjected-to-bias than on not-subjected-to-bias cases, but the difference will be lower in the higher-knowledge group.

Overall, the diagnosis triggered by the bias will be mentioned more frequently when physician recall which diagnoses they had considered on subjected-to-bias than on not-subjected-to-bias cases, without differences between the two knowledge groups.

### **Onderzoeksopzet**

Outcomes measured in the knowledge evaluation phase and in the diagnostic performance phase, with 4-48 hours in between.

## Onderzoeksproduct en/of interventie

Each clinical case will be manipulated to become either subjected to bias or not subjected to bias. Bias will be induced by adding to the case salient distracting features, findings that though irrelevant to the case tend to catch physicians' attention because they are strongly associated with a particular disease that seems at first glance a plausible diagnosis.

## Contactpersonen

### Publiek

Erasmus Medical Center  
Silvia Mamede

+ 31 10 7038270

### Wetenschappelijk

Erasmus Medical Center  
Silvia Mamede

+ 31 10 7038270

## Deelname eisen

### Belangrijkste voorwaarden om deel te mogen nemen (Inclusiecriteria)

Residents in internal medicine or emergency medicine in hospitals in the Netherlands who have at least 1 year of clinical experience

### Belangrijkste redenen om niet deel te kunnen nemen (Exclusiecriteria)

Medical students; residents in internal medicine or emergency medicine with less than 1 year of clinical experience or from other specialties

## Onderzoeksopzet

### Opzet

Type:	Interventie onderzoek
Onderzoeksmodel:	Cross-over
Toewijzing:	Gerandomiseerd
Blinding:	Dubbelblind
Controle:	Geneesmiddel

### Deelname

Nederland	
Status:	Werving nog niet gestart
(Verwachte) startdatum:	15-12-2020
Aantal proefpersonen:	62
Type:	Verwachte startdatum

### Voornemen beschikbaar stellen Individuele Patiënten Data (IPD)

**Wordt de data na het onderzoek gedeeld:** Nee

## Ethische beoordeling

Niet van toepassing	
Soort:	Niet van toepassing

## Registraties

### Opgevolgd door onderstaande (mogelijk meer actuele) registratie

Geen registraties gevonden.

### Andere (mogelijk minder actuele) registraties in dit register

Geen registraties gevonden.

## In overige registers

Register	ID
----------	----

NTR-new	NL9119
---------	--------

Ander register EC-DPECS Erasmus University Rotterdam : EC-DPECS 20-026

## Resultaten