Cost4Visit

Gepubliceerd: 11-08-2020 Laatst bijgewerkt: 30-11-2024

We hypothesize that video consultations will be valued equivalent with F2F-visits, with a reduction in associated patient costs and total healthcare costs. In addition to that, we hypothesize that patients consider F2F-visits worth the effort and...

Ethische beoordeling	Positief advies
Status	Beëindigd
Type aandoening	-
Onderzoekstype	Observationeel onderzoek, zonder invasieve metingen

Samenvatting

ID

NL-OMON25758

Bron
Nationaal Trial Register

Verkorte titel
Cost4Visit

Aandoening

- Tumors of the digestive tract (GI-oncology) - Soft tissue tumors (GI-oncology) - Ischemia (vascular) - Aortic disease (vascular) - Other (vascular) - Fractures (trauma) - Other (trauma)

Betreft onderzoek met
Mensen

Ondersteuning

Primaire sponsor: Radboud University Medical Center
Overige ondersteuning: Radboud University Medical Center

Onderzoeksproduct en/of interventie

Uitkomstmaten

Primaire uitkomstmaten

Costs associated with each visit from societal perspective include monetary costs (e.g.

parking costs, travel costs), but also loss of productivity, costs associated with loss of productivity from informal caregiver and costs for childcare or an occasional sitter for a (chronically) ill inmate. Healthcare costs include costs such as attending staff costs, video consultation technology costs, direct health service costs etc.

Toelichting onderzoek

Achtergrond van het onderzoek

Telemedicine in general and video consultations in particular have gained popularity among several clinical departments such as dermatology, orthopedics and surgery. In the light of the COVID-19 pandemic, the need to continue patient care while minimizing the spread of the virus is great. Video consultations provide patients with the opportunity to continue care without having to be physically present. It allows convenient and timely access to qualitative care, while minimizing travel and saving traveling costs. Logically, it is tempting to implement video consultation rapidly in routine care based on the positive experience with it. Nevertheless, evidence on value of medical consultations in general from a patient's point of view is sparse. Besides, consistent evidence supporting its use from an economic point of view is lacking as well.

The primary aim of this study is to analyze costs borne by patients, formal caregivers and the healthcare system for a face-to-face visit and a video consultation in a surgical patient population. Since costs are considered as one of the antecedents of perceived value, the study aims to investigate how often and in which specific situation the consultations are worth the costs incurred as well.

Doel van het onderzoek

We hypothesize that video consultations will be valued equivalent with F2F-visits, with a reduction in associated patient costs and total healthcare costs. In addition to that, we hypothesize that patients consider F2F-visits worth the effort and costs depending on the reason of the visit and their diagnosis. Moreover, we hypothesize that certain patient characteristics are influencing their preference as well.

Onderzoeksopzet

Baseline

Onderzoeksproduct en/of interventie

None

Contactpersonen

Publiek

Radboudumc
Demi van Dalen

0646612937

Wetenschappelijk

Radboudumc
Demi van Dalen

0646612937

Deelname eisen

Leeftijd
Volwassenen (18-64 jaar)
65 jaar en ouder

Belangrijkste voorwaarden om deel te mogen nemen (Inclusiecriteria)

- aged 18 years or older;
- with a scheduled appointment at the surgical outpatient clinic (GI-oncology surgery, vascular surgery or trauma surgery);
- fluent in speaking and reading Dutch;
- availability of informed consent.

Belangrijkste redenen om niet deel te kunnen nemen (Exclusiecriteria)

Patients who have an appointment other than with the surgeon/surgical resident, nurse specialist or physician assistant will be excluded.

Onderzoeksopzet

Opzet

Fase onderzoek:	N.V.T.
Type:	Observationeel onderzoek, zonder invasieve metingen
Onderzoeksmodel:	Anders
Toewijzing:	N.v.t. / één studie arm
Blindering:	Open / niet geblindeerd
Controle:	N.v.t. / onbekend
Doel:	Organisatorisch/zorgonderzoek

Deelname

Nederland

Status:	Beëindigd
(Verwachte) startdatum:	01-09-2020
Aantal proefpersonen:	1000
Type:	Werkelijke startdatum

Voornemen beschikbaar stellen Individuele Patiënten Data (IPD)

Wordt de data na het onderzoek gedeeld: Nog niet bepaald

Ethische beoordeling

Positief advies

Datum:	16-07-2020
Soort:	Eerste indiening
Toetsingscommissie:	METC Oost-Nederland

Registraties

Opgevolgd door onderstaande (mogelijk meer actuele) registratie

Geen registraties gevonden.

Andere (mogelijk minder actuele) registraties in dit register

Geen registraties gevonden.

In overige registers

Register	ID
NTR-new	NL8825
Ander register	CMO Regio Arnhem-Nijmegen : 2020-6671

Resultaten